

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

FILED ALAMEDA COUNTY

FOR THE COUNTY OF ALAMEDA

MAY	1	3	2019	
			, ,	

COORDINATION PROCEEDING SPECIAL TITLE (RULE 3.550)

JCCP NO. 4953

Deputy

ROUNDUP PRODUCTS CASES

ASSIGNED FOR ALL PURPOSES TO JUDGE WINIFRED SMITH

DEPARTMENT 21

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

VERDICT FORM FOR ALBERTA PILLIOD

Pilliod, et al. v. Monsanto Company, et al. Case No.: RG17862702

Trial Date: March 18, 2019

1	VERDICT FORM
2	PLAINTIFF ALBERTA PILLIOD
3	We, the Jury, answer the questions submitted to us as follows:
4	
5	CLAIM OF DESIGN DEFECT
6	1. Did Roundup fail to perform as safely as an ordinary consumer would have expected when used or misused in an intended or reasonably foreseeable way?
7	
8	Yes No
9	
10	
11	If your answer to question 1 is yes, then answer question 2. If you answered no, proceed to question 3.
12	
13	2. Was the design of Roundup a substantial factor in causing harm to Alberta Pilliod?
14	Yes No
15	
16	
17	Answer question 3.
18	
19	CLAIM OF STRICT LIABILITY—FAILURE TO WARN
20	3. Did Roundup have potential risks that were known or knowable in light of the scientific and
21	medical knowledge that was generally accepted in the scientific community at the time of their manufacture, distribution or sale?
22	manufacture, distribution of safe:
23	Yes
24	
25	·
26	If your answer to question 3 is yes, then answer question 4. If you answered no, proceed to
27	question 8.
28	

1	4.	Did the potential risks of Roundup present a substantial danger to persons when used in accordance with widespread and commonly recognized practice?
2		
3		Yes No
4		
5		If your answer to question 4 is yes, then answer question 5. If you answered no, proceed to
6		question 8.
7	5.	Would ordinary consumers have recognized the potential risks?
8		
9		Yes No
10		
11		
12		If your answer to question 5 is no, then answer question 6. If you answered yes, proceed to question 8.
13		question o.
14	6.	Did Monsanto fail to adequately warn of the potential risks?
15		Yes No
16		
17		
18		If your answer to question 6 is yes, then answer question 7. If you answered no, proceed to question 8.
19	19 question 8.	question 6.
20	7.	Was the lack of sufficient warnings a substantial factor in causing harm to Alberta Pilliod?
21		Yes No
22		
23	23	
24		Go to question 8.
25		Co to question o.
26		
27		
28		

1		CLAIM OF NEGLIGENGE
2	8.	Was Monsanto negligent in designing, manufacturing, or supplying Roundup?
3		Yes No
4		
5		
6		If your answer to question 8 is yes, then answer question 9. If you answered no, proceed to question 10.
7		
8	9.	Was Monsanto's negligence a substantial factor in causing harm to Alberta Pilliod?
9		Yes No
10		
11		Go to Question 10.
12		30 to Questi on 10.
13		CLAIM OF NEGLIGENT FAILURE TO WARN
14	10.	Did Monsanto know or should it reasonably have known that Roundup was dangerous or was
15		likely to be dangerous when used in accordance with widespread and commonly recognized practice?
16		
17		Yes No
18		
19		
20		If your answer to question 10 is yes, then answer question 11. If you answered no, proceed to
21		question 15.
22	11.	Did Monsanto know or should it reasonably have known that users would not realize the danger?
23		Yes No
24		
25		
26		
27		If your answer to question 11 is yes, then answer question 12. If you answered no, proceed to question 15.
28		
	I	

VERDICT FORM FOR ALBERTA PILLIOD

1	12.	Did Monsanto fail to adequately warn of the danger or instruct on the safe use of Roundup?
2		Yes No
3		
4		
5		If your answer to question 12 is yes, then answer question 13. If you answered no, proceed to question 15.
6		
7	13.	Would a reasonable manufacturer, distributor, or seller under the same or similar circumstances have warned of the danger or instructed on the safe use of Roundup?
8		Yes No
9		\boxtimes
10		
11		If your answer to question 13 is yes, then answer question 14. If you answered no, proceed to question 15.
12		question 15.
13	14.	Was Monsanto's failure to warn a substantial factor in causing harm to Alberta Pilliod?
14		Yes No
15		
16		
17		
18		Go to Question 15.
19		CLAIM OF DAMAGES
20	If you a	answered yes to question 2, 7, 9, or 14, then answer the questions below about damages for
21 22	Alberta	a Pilliod. If you did not answer or answered no to question 2, 7, 9, and 14, stop here, answer no questions, and have the presiding juror sign and date this form.
23		
24	15.	What are Alberta Pilliod's damages?
		- 111126
25 26	Past ec	onomic loss:* \$ \(\frac{70 \lambda \beta \cdot \frac{166 \cdot \frac{16}{6}}{16} \)
27	Future	onomic loss: \$ 201,166.76 economic loss: \$ 2,757,710
28	* If liabi	ility is found, the amount stipulated by the parties for past economic damages is \$201,166.76

VERDICT FORM FOR ALBERTA PILLIOD

1	Past noneconomic loss:
2	Past noneconomic loss: \$ V M\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\
3	Future noneconomic loss: \$ 26 million
4	
5	Go to Question 16.
6	PUNITIVE DAMAGES
7	Did Monsanto engage in conduct with malice, oppression or fraud committed by one or mor officers, directors or managing agents of Monsanto acting on behalf of Monsanto?
8	Yes No
9	
10	
11	
12	If your answer to question 16 is yes, then answer question 17. If you answered no, stop here, answer no further questions, and have the presiding juror sign and date this form.
13	
14	17. What amount of punitive damages, if any, do you award to Alberta Pilliod?
15	A lail.
16	s_1 billion
17	
18	
19	Signed:
20	
21	
22	Dated:5/13/19
23	
24	
25	
26	



SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA ALAMEDA COUNTY

FOR THE COUNTY OF ALAMEDA

MAY 1 3 2019

Deputy

CLERK OF THE SUFERIOR COUR

COORDINATION PROCEEDING SPECIAL TITLE (RULE 3.550)

ROUNDUP PRODUCTS CASES

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

Pilliod, et al. v. Monsanto Company, et al. Case No.: RG17862702

JCCP NO. 4953

ASSIGNED FOR ALL PURPOSES TO JUDGE WINIFRED SMITH

DEPARTMENT 21

VERDICT FORM FOR **ALVA PILLIOD**

Trial Date: March 18, 2019

1	<u>VERDICT FORM</u>
2	PLAINTIFF ALVA PILLIOD
3	We, the Jury, answer the questions submitted to us as follows:
4	
5	CLAIM OF DESIGN DEFECT
6	Did Roundup fail to perform as safely as an ordinary consumer would have expected when used or misused in an intended or reasonably foreseeable way?
7	Yes No
8	
9	
10	If your answer to question 1 is yes, then answer question 2. If you answered no, proceed to
11	question 3.
12	
13	2. Was the design of Roundup a substantial factor in causing harm to Alva Pilliod?
14	Yes No
15	
16	Answer question 3.
17	Answer question 3.
18	
19	CLAIM OF STRICT LIABILITY—FAILURE TO WARN
20	3. Did Roundup have potential risks that were known or knowable in light of the scientific and
21	medical knowledge that was generally accepted in the scientific community at the time of their manufacture, distribution or sale?
22	Yes No
23	
24	
25	
26	If your answer to question 3 is yes, then answer question 4. If you answered no, proceed to question 8.
27	quodion o.
28	
1.1	

1	4.	Did the potential risks of Roundup present a substantial danger to persons when used in accordance with widespread and commonly recognized practice?
2		
3		Yes No
4		
5		If your answer to question 4 is yes, then answer question 5. If you answered no, proceed to
6		question 8.
7	5.	Would ordinary consumers have recognized the potential risks?
8		Yes No
9		
10		
11		
12		If your answer to question 5 is no, then answer question 6. If you answered yes, proceed to question 8.
13		
14	6.	Did Monsanto fail to adequately warn of the potential risks?
15	-	Yes No
16		
17		
18		If your answer to question 6 is yes, then answer question 7. If you answered no, proceed to question 8.
19		question 8.
20	7.	Was the lack of sufficient warnings a substantial factor in causing harm to Alva Pilliod?
21		Yes No
22		
23		
24		Cata matian 9
25		Go to question 8.
26		
27		
28		

1		CLAIM OF NEGLIGENGE
1	8.	Was Monsanto negligent in designing, manufacturing, or supplying Roundup?
2		Yes No
3		
4		
5		If your answer to question 8 is yes, then answer question 9. If you answered no, proceed to
6		question 10.
7	9.	Was Monsanto's negligence a substantial factor in causing harm to Alva Pilliod?
8		
9		Yes No
10		
11		Go to Question 10.
12		
13		CLAIM OF NEGLIGENT FAILURE TO WARN
14	1.0	Did Monsanto know or should it reasonably have known that Roundup was dangerous or was
15	10.	likely to be dangerous when used in accordance with widespread and commonly recognized
16		practice?
17		Yes No
18		
19		
20		If your answer to question 10 is yes, then answer question 11. If you answered no, proceed to
21		question 15.
22		The second secon
23	11.	Did Monsanto know or should it reasonably have known that users would not realize the danger
24		Yes No
25		
26		
27		If your answer to question 11 is yes, then answer question 12. If you answered no, proceed to
28		question 15.
20		

VERDICT FORM FOR ALVA PILLIOD

1	12.	Did Monsanto fail to adequately warn of the danger or instruct on the safe use of Roundup?
2		Yes No
3		
4		
5		If your answer to question 12 is yes, then answer question 13. If you answered no, proceed to question 15.
6		
7	13.	Would a reasonable manufacturer, distributor, or seller under the same or similar circumstances have warned of the danger or instructed on the safe use of Roundup?
8		Yes No
9		
10		
11		If your answer to question 13 is yes, then answer question 14. If you answered no, proceed to question 15.
12		
13	14.	Was Monsanto's failure to warn a substantial factor in causing harm to Alva Pilliod?
14		Yes No
15		
16 17		
18		Go to Question 15.
19		Go to Question 15.
20		CLAIM OF DAMAGES
21	If you	answered yes to question 2, 7, 9, or 14, then answer the questions below about damages for Alva
22	Pilliod questic	. If you did not answer or answered no to question 2, 7, 9 and 14, stop here, answer no further ons, and have the presiding juror sign and date this form.
23		
24	15.	What are Alva Pilliod's damages?
25	Pact ac	conomic loss:* \$ 47.296.01
26	1 asi ec	onomic loss:* \$ 47,296.01 oneconomic loss: \$ million
27	Past no	oneconomic loss: \$
28	* If liab	ility is found, the amount stipulated by the parties for past economic damages is \$47,296.01

VERDICT FORM FOR ALVA PILLIOD

I	Future noneconomic loss: \$ D million
2	Future noneconomic loss: \$ D million
3	Go to Question 16.
4	PUNITIVE DAMAGES
5	Did Monsanto engage in conduct with malice, oppression or fraud committed by one or more officers, directors or managing agents of Monsanto acting on behalf of Monsanto?
6	V
7	Yes
8	
9	
10	If your answer to question 16 is yes, then answer question 17. If you answered no, stop here,
11	answer no further questions, and have the presiding juror sign and date this form.
12	17 WI - 4
13	What amount of punitive damages, if any, do you award to Alva Pilliod?
14	s 1 billion
15	
16	*
17	
18	Signed: _
19	
20	Dated: 5-13-19
21	Butou.
22	
23	
24	
25	