

From: [Blair, Aaron \(NIH/NCI\) \[V\]](#)
To: [Kathryn Guyton](#)
Subject: RE: Monograph Meeting
Date: Friday, March 21, 2014 8:44:21 AM

Kate,

Thanks the information.

The pesticides under consideration are appropriate in that I think there might be sufficient literature to consider an evaluation. Others you might consider are: dichlorvos, parathion, methyl bromide, trifluralin, picloram, Zineb, Ziram, captan, and old organochlorines such as aldrin, chlordane, dieldrin, and Mirex. There might be enough new information for a review of DDT.

I do not think I would be interested in serving on the Working Group mainly because I have done too much work in this area. Actually I would suggest that you not invite anyone from NCI who has been engaged in the many case-control studies on pesticides or the Agricultural Health Study. I think our effort accounts for too much of the epidemiologic literature and leaves the Working Group open to a charge of bias. It would be less of a problem for scientists who have not been engaged in so many pesticide studies.

Some Candidate Working Group members:

Jeroen Douwes
Andrea t'Mannetje
Pietro Comba
Ellen Eisen
Lora Fleming
Irva Hertz-Picciotti
Marie-Elise Parent
Beate Ritz
Paige Tolbert
Bengt Jarvholm
Richard Hayes
Daniel Wartenburg
John Vena

Good luck in your effort.

Aaron

From: Kathryn Guyton [REDACTED]
Sent: Friday, March 21, 2014 7:22 AM
To: Blair, Aaron (NIH/NCI) [V]
Subject: FW: Monograph Meeting

Bonjour Aaron!

Kurt forwarded your below message on the volume 112 meeting. I'll be the Responsible Officer (I separated from EPA in January 2014 to join the IARC monographs program :-)). We chose the topic based on numerous nominations to evaluate pesticides, and intend to refine the specific pesticides or classes to be evaluated in the coming months, based on expert input, including from an upcoming advisory group meeting in April. Given the large number of compounds lacking IARC evaluations, or whose IARC evaluations may be outdated, I wouldn't be surprised if the advisory group may recommended further monograph meetings on the pesticides. We will nevertheless commence with the volume 112 monograph meeting in March 2015.



We'd be very interested in your perspective on the agents most appropriate for evaluation. In terms of compounds under consideration, Michael Alavanja at NCI submitted a number of nominations (including IARC group 3 compounds [carbaryl, permethrin and malathion] and compounds without IARC classifications [fonofos, lindane, diazinon, pendimethalin, EPTC, terbufos]. Atrazine was recommended for evaluation by the 2008 advisory group. Also nominated were glyphosate (Roundup), the phenoxy herbicides including 2,4-D, synergists (e.g., piperonyl butoxide), and any of the 23 compounds classified by EPA as "likely" or "suggestive" (see http://npic.orst.edu/chemicals_evaluated.pdf).

We will also soon be composing the Working Group. Would you be interested and available in being part of the volume 112 meeting? Otherwise, might you be able to recommend a colleague?

Please don't hesitate to share any thoughts by email, and I'd also be happy to contact you by telephone to discuss this further at your convenience. Do feel free to suggest a time next week if you'd be available to talk.

Many thanks--
Best wishes from Lyon,
Kate

Kate Z. Guyton PhD DABT
Monographs Section
International Agency for Research on Cancer
150, cours Albert Thomas
69372 Lyon Cedex 08
France
Tel: [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

-----Original Message-----

From: Blair, Aaron (NIH/NCI) [V] [REDACTED]
Sent: 19 March 2014 20:44
To: Straif Kurt [REDACTED]
Subject: Monograph Meeting

Kurt,

Just saw the announcement regarding a monograph meeting on "Some pesticides and related chemicals" Are there specific pesticides to be evaluated, or is this the general class of pesticides?

This message and its attachments are strictly confidential. If you are not the intended recipient of this message, please immediately notify the sender and delete it. Since its integrity cannot be guaranteed, its content cannot involve the sender's responsibility. Any misuse, any disclosure or publication of its content, either whole or partial, is prohibited, exception made of formally approved use
