1	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
2	FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES - COMPLEX
3	
4	NC, A MINOR,
5	PLAINTIFF,
6	VS. CASE NO. 21STCV22822
7	HAIN CELESTIAL GROUP, INC.; CERTIFIED BEECH-NUT NUTRITION COMPANY;
8	NURTURE, INC., PLUM, PBC, DBA PLUM ORGANICS; GERBER PRODUCTS
9	COMPANY; WALMART, INC.; SPROUT FOODS, INC.; RALPHS GROCERY
10 11	COMPANY; AND DOES 1 THROUGH 100, INCLUSIVE,
12	DEFENDANTS.
13	
14	
15	REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
16	JANUARY 31, 2022
17	(DAY 1)
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	BryceReporting
23	SERVICES
24	510.828.9404 • info@brycereporters.com www.brycereporters.com
25	
26	
27	STENOGRAPHICALLY REPORTED BY: JEANESE JOHNSON, CSR NO. 11635
28	OFFICIAL PRO TEMPORE
	1

1	HELD BEFORE:
2	THE HONORABLE AMY D. HOGUE
3	
4	
5	A P P E A R A N C E S
6	(ALL APPEARANCES VIA REMOTE)
7	
8	FOR PLAINTIFF:
9	BAUM, HEDLUND, ARISTEI & GOLDMAN, P.C.
10	R. BRENT WISNER, ESQ. PEDRAM ESFANDIARY, ESQ.
11	10940 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD, 17TH FLOOR LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90024
12	310.207.3233 310.820.7444 FAX
13	RBWISNER@BAUMHEDLUNDLAW.COM PESFANDIARY@BAUMHEDLUNDLAW.COM
14	
15	
16	
17	FOR DEFENDANT GERBER PRODUCTS COMPANY:
18	WILLIAMS & CONNOLLY, LLP JOSEPH PETROSINELLI, ESQ.
19	PAUL BOEHM, ESQ. 725 TWELFTH STREET, N.W.
20	WASHINGTON, DC 20005
	202.434.5547 202.434.5029 FAX
21	JPETROSINELLI@WC.COM PBOEHM@WC.COM
22	- AND -
23	WHITE & CASE, LLP
24	BRYAN A. MERRYMAN, ESQ. J. TAYLOR AKERBLOM, ESQ.
25	555 SO. FLOWER STREET, SUITE 2700 LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90071
26	213.620.7700
27	213.452.2329 FAX BMERRYMAN@WHITECASE.COM
28	TAKERBLOM@WHITECASE.COM

ſ

```
1
     FOR DEFENDANT PLUM PBC, PLUM ORGANICS:
 2
     DECHERT, LLP
HOPE S. FREIWALD, ESQ.
 3
     CIRA CENTRE
     2929 ARCH STREET
 4
     PHILADELPHIA, PENNSYLVANIA 19104
     215.994.2514
 5
     215.994.2222
                   FAX
     HOPE.FREIWALD@DECHERT.COM
 6
 7
     ALSO FOR DEFENDANT PLUM PBC, PLUM ORGANICS:
 8
     MAYER BROWN
 9
     DALE J. GIALI, ESQ.
     350 SO. GRAND AVENUE, 25TH FLOOR
10
     LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90071
     213.229.9500
11
     DGIALI@MAYERBROWN.COM
12
13
     FOR DEFENDANT HAIN CELESTIAL GROUP, INC.:
14
     COVINGTON & BURLING, LLP
     MICHAEL IMBROSCIO, ESQ.
15
     ONE CITY-CENTER
     850 TENTH STREET, NW
     WASHINGTON, DC 20001-4956
16
     202.662.6000
17
     MIMBROSCIO@COV.COM
18
     - AND -
19
     ALI MOJIBI, ESQ.
     1999 AVENUE OF THE STARS
20
     LOS ANGELES, CA 90067-4643
     424.332.4800
21
     AMOJIBI@COV.COM
22
23
     FOR DEFENDANT NURTURE, INC.:
24
     DLA PIPER, LLP
     ANGELA C. AGRUSA, ESQ.
25
     2000 AVENUE OF THE STARS, SUITE 400 NORTH TOWER
     LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90067
26
     310.595.3000
     310.595.3300
27
     ANGELA.AGRUSA@US.DLAPIPER.COM
28
```

FOR DEFENDANT BEECH-NUT NUTRITION COMPANY: 1 2 KING & SPALDING, LLP PETER HSIAO, ESQ. 3 633 WEST FIFTH STREET, SUITE 1600 LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90071 4 213.443.4355 213.443.4310 FAX PHSIAO@KSLAW.COM 5 6 7 FOR DEFENDANT SPROUT FOODS, INC.: 8 FAEGRE DRINKER BIDDLE & REATH, LLP RORY F. COLLINS, ESQ. 9 SARAH L. BREW, ESQ. RITA MANSURYAN, ESQ. 10 1800 CENTURY PARK EAST, SUITE 1500 LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90067 11 310.203.4000 310.229.1285 FAX 12 RORY.COLLINS@FAEGREDRINKER.COM SARAH.BREW@FAEGREDRINKER.COM 13 RITA.MANSURYAN@FAEGREDRINKER.COM 14 15 FOR DEFENDANT WALMART, INC.: KIRKLAND & ELLIS, LLP 16 SIERRA ELIZABETH, ESQ. 17 CHRISTOPHER KEEGAN, ESQ. MICHAEL WILLIAMS, ESQ. 2049 CENTURY PARK EAST, 37TH FLOOR 18 LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90067 19 310.552.4200 310.552.5900 FAX 20 SIERRA.ELIZABETH@KIRKLAND.COM CHRISTOPHER.KEEGAN@KIRKLAND.COM MICHAEL.WILLIAMS@KIRKLAND.COM 21 22 23 ALSO PRESENT: 24 CHRISTOPHER CLEE, TECHNICIAN 25 IAN ANDRYSZAK, TECHNICIAN 26 27 28

1 LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA; 2 MONDAY, JANUARY 31, 2022; 9:28 A.M. HON. AMY D. HOGUE DEPARTMENT 7; 3 BEFORE: SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 4 5 --000--6 7 8 THE COURT: OKAY. I DID SPEND A LITTLE TIME 9 OVER THE WEEKEND REVIEWING THE MOTION AND SOME OF THE 10 OTHER PAPERS AND THE OPPOSITION AND REPLY AND I DID READ 11 THROUGH THE EXPERT REPORTS, AND THIS MORNING I WAS JUST REVIEWING TO CLARIFY IN MY MIND SOME OF THE BASIC 12 PRINCIPLES THAT WE HAVE AND I WAS USING THE RESTATEMENT 13 FOR THAT PURPOSE, ALWAYS A HANDY SOURCE, AND OF COURSE 14 15 WE HAVE IN CALIFORNIA, I THINK MOST EVERYWHERE ELSE NOW, 16 A SUBSTANTIAL FACTOR TEST. 17 SO WHEN WE ARE ASKING THE QUESTION ARE METALS CAPABLE OF CAUSING A PARTICULAR CONDITION, I THINK WE 18 ARE ASKING ARE THEY CAPABLE OF BEING A SUBSTANTIAL 19 20 FACTOR CAUSING HARM. AND THE RESTATEMENT GOES INTO A DISCUSSION THAT 21 22 BASICALLY SAYS SOMETIMES WE CAN HAVE TWO INDEPENDENT 23 CAUSES, NEITHER ONE OF WHICH WOULD HAVE CAUSED THE HARM 24 AND SOMETIMES THERE IS A SYNERGY, AND IT SEEMED TO ME I SEE BOTH CONTINGENTS ON THE PLAINTIFF'S SIDE. 25 AND THE 26 RESTATEMENT GIVES AN EXAMPLE, A CASE WHERE THE PLAINTIFF 27 CLAIMS THAT A VACCINATION CAUSED SEIZURES AND THE 28 DEFENDANT CLAIMS THAT THE SEIZURES WERE NOT CAUSED BY

1 THE VACCINE, BUT BY A PREEXISTING TRAUMATIC INJURY THAT IS SOMEWHAT PARALLEL TO OUR CASE IF YOU ASSUME THAT 2 THERE IS A GENETIC PREDISPOSITION TO ASD OR ADHD. 3 SO IT EXPLAINS THAT THE CAUSAL SET PRESENTS 4 THESE AS ALTERNATIVE CAUSES. IF THERE'S ENOUGH EVIDENCE 5 TO SUPPORT EACH OF THEM, THEN THE FACT-FINDER FIGURES 6 7 OUT WHICH ONE IS BETTER SUPPORTED BY THE EVIDENCE, BUT 8 IF THE EVIDENCE SHOWS THAT THE INJURY AND THE 9 VACCINATION CAN INTERACT AND CAUSE SEIZURES, THEN EACH 10 OF THEM MAY BE A SUBSTANTIAL CAUSE, AND SO ON. SO THIS IS SORT OF A BASIC PRINCIPLE THAT'S 11 12 GUIDING MY THINKING TODAY. 13 I JUST ALSO WANT TO SAY THAT WHAT I'M LISTENING FOR IS NOT WHETHER ANY OF THE EXPERTS ARE RIGHT OR 14 15 WRONG, BUT WHETHER, UNDER SARGON, THERE'S ENOUGH BASIS 16 IN SCIENCE FOR THE OPINIONS TO RENDER THEM SUFFICIENTLY 17 RELIABLE TO GO TO THE JURY. SO JUST THAT'S WHAT I'M LOOKING FOR, WHAT'S THE 18 19 UNDERPINNING, WHAT DOES IT SAY AND WHAT HAVE WE GOT? 20 SO ANYWAY, WHO IS GOING TO TAKE THE LEAD TODAY? 21 YOU'RE GOING TO START, MR. WISNER, RIGHT? THAT'S CORRECT, YOUR HONOR. 22 MR. WISNER: Τ'Μ 23 GOING TO KICK OFF WITH OUR OPENING STATEMENT TO BE FOLLOWED SHORTLY BY, I THINK, MR. PETROSINELLI, BUT I 24 DON'T KNOW, SOMEONE ON THEIR SIDE GOING NEXT. 25 26 THE COURT: OKAY. 27 MR. WISNER: THEY SHOULD EACH ABOUT BE A HALF 28 AN HOUR, AND THEN IMMEDIATELY WE'RE GOING TO CALL DR.

1 SHAPIRO FIRST TO THE STAND. OUR GOAL, YOU KNOW, ALL 2 THINGS GOING RIGHT, IS TO HAVE HIM UP AND OFF BEFORE AND THEN IN THE AFTERNOON WE WILL HAVE DR. RITZ 3 LUNCH. AND HOPEFULLY GET THROUGH MOST OF HER DIRECT -- ALL OF 4 5 HER DIRECT AND MAYBE EVEN START CROSS, DEPENDING ON HOW THE TIMING GOES. 6 7 THE COURT: OKAY. AND I WILL NEED TO TAKE A 8 BREAK AT 11:00 O'CLOCK, WHICH PROBABLY THE COURT REPORTER ALSO WILL NEED A BREAK AT 11:00 O'CLOCK, I HAVE 9 10 A VERY QUICK HEARING, BUT OTHERWISE, I WOULD SUGGEST WE JUST GO STRAIGHT FROM NOW TILL 11:00. 11 12 MR. WISNER: SOUNDS GREAT, YOUR HONOR. WITH THAT, I'M READY TO PROCEED, YOUR HONOR, IF 13 YOU'RE READY AS WELL. 14 15 THE COURT: I'M READY. MR. WISNER: OKAY. 16 GREAT. 17 MAY IT PLEASE THE COURT, YOUR HONOR, I KNOW WE HAD A CONTENTIOUS HEARING ON FRIDAY ABOUT WHETHER OR NOT 18 19 WE SHOULD EVEN GO FORWARD TODAY, AND I JUST WANT YOU TO 20 KNOW THAT WE REALLY DO APPRECIATE YOU AND YOUR STAFF TAKING THE TIME TO HEAR US OUT AND TO LOOK AT THE 21 SCIENCE. I THINK THIS IS A REALLY IMPORTANT CASE. 22 23 AND BEFORE I GET TO THE SARGON ISSUES, I THINK TAKING A MOMENT TO REFLECT SPECIFICALLY ON THE 24 IMPORTANCE OF THIS CASE IS PIVOTAL. 25 26 WE KNOW IN FEBRUARY OF LAST YEAR WE HAD THIS 27 CONGRESSIONAL REPORT WHICH INDICATED THAT THERE WERE 28 REALLY, REALLY HIGH LEVELS OF LEAD, ARSENIC AND MERCURY

FOUND IN BABY FOODS. WE KNOW ARSENIC AND LEAD AND
 MERCURY ARE NEUROTOXINS. WE KNOW THAT THEY CAUSE BRAIN
 DAMAGE AND WE KNOW THAT WHEN YOU EXPOSE A BABY WHOSE
 BRAIN IS STILL DEVELOPING AT A YOUNG AGE TO THOSE
 NEUROTOXINS, IT CAN CAUSE PERMANENT LIFE-LONG
 DISABILITIES.

NOW, WE'RE GOING TO GO OVER A LOT OF THE
SCIENCE THAT UNDERPINS THAT, BUT CONCEPTUALLY AND
LOGICALLY, THE CONNECTION IS VERY CLEARLY THERE.

10 AND WHAT'S SO IMPORTANT ABOUT THIS CASE IS SINCE, YOU KNOW, FEBRUARY OF LAST YEAR, THESE PRODUCTS 11 12 ARE STILL BEING SOLD, AND TODAY MILLIONS OF CHILDREN AND 13 BABIES ARE EATING THESE FOODS, AND ALTHOUGH THE FDA IS TAKING ACTION TO GET THEM CLOSER TO ZERO IS THE PROGRAM, 14 15 SO THERE'S NO MORE HEAVY METALS IN THEM, THEY ARE NOT GOING TO HAVE ANY REGULATORY ACTION UNTIL -- AT LEAST 16 17 FOR LEAD UNTIL APRIL OF '24 AND AFTER THAT THEY MIGHT GET TO MERCURY AND ARSENIC SO WE HAVE A VERY LONG 18 19 RUNWAY, AND DURING THAT TIME A LOT OF PEOPLE ARE GETTING 20 HURT WHICH IS WHY WE BROUGHT THIS CASE AND THAT'S 21 UNPRECEDENTED.

BUT THE OTHER REASON FOR IT, YOUR HONOR, AND I THINK THIS GOES FUNDAMENTALLY TO WHY WE'RE HERE IS BECAUSE WE HAVE A CLIENT WHO IS -- SORRY, THERE WE ARE -- WE HAVE A CLIENT NOAH WHO HAS AUTISM, AND YOU KNOW, HE FILED THIS CASE NINE MONTHS AGO, AND TO THIS DAY, WE'RE STILL WAITING TO SORT OF GET THIS CASE GOING. YOU KNOW, HE'S AT A POINT IN HIS AGE IN HIS

9

LIFE WHERE HAVING RESOURCES AND MONEY MAKE A DIFFERENCE,
 AND SO WHILE WE THINK ABOUT THESE SARGON ISSUES, IT'S
 HARD -- WE CAN'T FORGET THAT THERE'S REAL PEOPLE BEHIND
 THIS AND PEOPLE'S WHOSE BRAINS HAVE BEEN DAMAGED BECAUSE
 OF THIS. I JUST WANT TO KEEP THAT IN CONTEXT.

NOW, AUTISM AWARENESS MONTH IS IN OCTOBER.
AUTISM AWARENESS DAY IS APRIL. AND THE THEME VERY OFTEN
FOR AUTISM IS ACTUALLY THE PUZZLE PIECES, AND SO TODAY
WE HAVE PUT TOGETHER THE SARGON PUZZLE TO SORT OF
OUTLINE HOW WE'RE GOING TO PROCEED FORWARD TODAY IN THIS
OPENING STATEMENT.

12 THE FIRST THING WE'RE GOING TO TALK ABOUT, YOUR 13 HONOR, IS THE LAW. I'M GOING TO SHOW YOU -- DISCUSS 14 THREE IMPORTANT CASES THAT I THINK THE COURT SHOULD PAY 15 VERY CLOSE ATTENTION TO; OBVIOUSLY ONE OF THEM IS 16 SARGON.

17 THEN I WANT TO TALK ABOUT WHAT AUTISM IS, WHAT
18 WE KNOW ABOUT AUTISM, WHEN IT DEVELOPS, HOW IT DEVELOPS,
19 THE INFLUENCES OF GENETICS AND ENVIRONMENT AND WHAT IS
20 KNOWN IN THE LITERATURE ABOUT IT.

THEN WE'RE GOING TO TALK ABOUT HEAVY METALS.
WE'RE GOING TO TALK ABOUT WHAT WE KNOW ABOUT HEAVY
METALS AND WHAT WE DON'T KNOW ABOUT HEAVY METALS, AND
IMPORTANTLY, WHAT WE KNOW ABOUT HEAVY METALS AND AUTISM
AND ADHD.

26 AND THEN FINALLY, YOUR HONOR, I WANT TO TALK27 BRIEFLY ABOUT OUR EXPERTS.

28

10

YOU'RE FIRST GOING TO HEAR THIS WEEK FROM DR.

SHAPIRO, THEN FROM DR. RITZ, THEN FROM DR. GARDENER AND
 THEN FINALLY DR. ASCHNER; ALL OF THESE EXPERTS HAVE VERY
 WELL REASONED AND THOROUGH REPORTS AND HAVE BEEN WORKING
 IN THESE AREAS MOST, IF NOT THEIR ENTIRE CAREERS. THEY
 ARE REALLY SEMINOLE EXPERTS IN THIS AREA.

SO WITH THAT SORT OF THE ROADMAP, YOUR HONOR,
LET'S START OFF WITH THE FIRST PIECE. START OFF WITH
CALIFORNIA LAW.

9 NOW, THE THREE CASES THAT I REALLY THINK THE
10 COURT SHOULD PAY ATTENTION TO, TWO CALIFORNIA COURT OF
11 APPEAL CASES, THE ROBERTI DECISION AND THE COOPER CASE
12 AND OF COURSE SARGON.

NOW, ALL THREE OF THESE CASES ACTUALLY INVOLVE
TRIAL COURT DECISIONS IN LOS ANGELES COUNTY SO THEY ARE
PARTICULARLY ON POINT FOR US.

LET'S START WITH OFF WITH ROBERTI.

16

17 AND THIS CASE IS REALLY IMPORTANT, YOUR HONOR,18 BECAUSE IT SPECIFICALLY RELATES TO AUTISM.

19 IN THAT CASE A CHILD BROUGHT A CLAIM AGAINST A PESTICIDE SPRAYING COMPANY ALLEGING THAT THE PESTICIDE 20 THAT THEY WERE EXPOSED TO SUBSTANTIALLY CONTRIBUTED TO 21 2.2 HIS DEVELOPMENT OF AUTISM. THE DEFENDANTS FILED A 23 MOTION IN LIMINE, THE TRIAL COURT GRANTED IT, AND ONE OF THE REASONS THAT WAS GIVEN IS AS IT SAYS RIGHT HERE: 24 THAT THERE IS A CONSENSUS IN THE MEDICAL COMMUNITY THAT 25 26 THERE IS NO KNOWN CAUSE OF AUTISM AND THAT THERE IS NO 27 CONSENSUS AMONGST THE SCIENTIFIC COMMUNITY THAT 28 PESTICIDES CAUSE AUTISM.

NOW, IN THAT CASE THERE ACTUALLY WASN'T ANY
 EPIDEMIOLOGY. THE EXPERTS THAT THE PLAINTIFFS HAD
 PROVIDED ONLY PROVIDED TESTIMONY BASED ON ANIMAL
 STUDIES. IT WENT UP TO THE COURT OF APPEAL AND THE
 COURT REVERSED THE TRIAL COURT.

AND BASICALLY THE COURT EXPLAINED THAT IF THE
EXPERT IS RELYING UPON PEER-REVIEWED LITERATURE AND IF
THE CONCLUSION THAT THEY ARE ARRIVING FROM THAT
LITERATURE ARE NOT IRRATIONAL OR, YOU KNOW, CRAZY, THEN
IT'S REALLY A QUESTION FOR THE JURY.

AND ULTIMATELY THE CASE CAME BACK ON APPEAL.
THE CASE WENT TO TRIAL AND THE DEFENDANTS ACTUALLY WON.
THEY WERE ABLE TO SHOW THE JURY THAT THERE WAS NO CAUSE
BETWEEN THAT PESTICIDE EXPOSURE AND AUTISM.

15 WHY THIS IS SO IMPORTANT IS IT'S THE ONLY
16 CALIFORNIA COURT OF APPEAL DECISION THAT SPECIFICALLY
17 LOOKS AT THE CAUSE OF AUTISM. AND THEY MADE IT VERY
18 CLEAR THAT YOU CAN PASS THAT THRESHOLD IF YOU RELY UPON
19 RELIABLE DATA AND HAVE NON CONJECTURE, NON LEAPS OF
20 LOGIC CONCLUSIONS. THAT WAS FORMALIZED, YOUR HONOR,
21 ULTIMATELY IN THE SARGON DECISION.

RIGHT, HERE THE COURT LAID OUT SORT OF THE
STANDARD OF THE DAY ON HOW THE COURT CONSIDERS EXPERT
TESTIMONY. AND REALLY WHAT IT BOILS DOWN TO IS THAT
WHETHER THE EXPERT'S OPINION IS FOUNDED ON SOUND LOGIC
AND NOT A DECISION ON ITS PERSUASIVENESS, RIGHT, THE
COURT MUST SIMPLY DETERMINE WHETHER THE MATTER RELIED ON
CAN PROVIDE A REASONABLE FAITHFUL BASIS FOR THE OPINION

OR WHETHER THAT OPINION IS BASED ON A LEAP OF LOGIC OR
 CONJECTURE. THE COURT DOES NOT RESOLVE SCIENTIFIC
 CONTROVERSY.

AND WHAT SARGON IS SAYING AND THIS IS REALLY AT 4 THE HEART AND SOUL OF IT, IS IF YOU'VE GOT REALLY 5 OUALIFIED EXPERTS AND THEY ARE RELYING UPON 6 7 PEER-REVIEWED LITERATURE, THE ONLY WAY THEY GET EXCLUDED 8 FROM A JURY IS IF THEIR CONCLUSIONS RELY UPON LEAPS OF 9 LOGIC OR CONJECTURE. THERE'S A REASONABLE BASIS, 10 THERE'S PLAUSIBILITY IF IT -- A PERSON CAN MAKE SENSE OF IT, OUT OF THE EXPERT USING STANDARD PROCEDURES, THEN IT 11 12 GETS TO A JURY AND THEY DECIDE THE CONTROVERSY. YOU 13 DON'T NEED A CONSENSUS WITHIN THE COMMUNITY ABOUT A RESULT OR A BELIEF. 14

15 THAT SAID HERE, YOUR HONOR, WE KIND OF DO. WE 16 ACTUALLY HAVE A HEAPING AMOUNT OF EVIDENCE AND WE ARE 17 GOING TO GO THROUGH THAT IN A SECOND, BUT THE POINT IS 18 WE DON'T EVEN NEED THAT TO GET OVER SARGON.

19 AND FINALLY, YOUR HONOR, THIS IS AN IMPORTANT CASE OUT OF, AGAIN, OUT THE COMPLEX COURT HERE IN LOS 20 ANGELES, AND IN THIS CASE IT WAS A CANCER CASE. 21 AND THE 22 TRIAL JUDGE LET THE CASE GO TO THE JURY, RETURNED A 23 VERDICT. AND THEN AFTER THE VERDICT WAS RETURNED, THE COURT STRUCK THE EXPERT SAYING THAT IT WAS NOT RELIABLE 24 AND TOOK -- PUT -- SET THE VERDICT ASIDE AND IT WENT UP 25 26 ON APPEAL.

27 IMPORTANTLY HERE, THERE, THE COURT DID EXACTLY28 WHAT THE DEFENDANTS ASKED HIM TO; SPECIFICALLY, HE

13

1 WEIGHED IT INTO THIS SPECIFIC EPIDEMIOLOGICAL STUDIES, 2 HE WEIGHED THE FLAWS AND THE WEAKNESSES OF EACH STUDY, AND IN DOING SO, HE COMMITTED REVERSIBLE ERROR. 3 AND THE COURT OF APPEAL SAID, LISTEN, YOU JUST CAN'T DO THAT, 4 YOU CAN'T ENGAGE IN A PIECE-MEAL ANALYSIS OF THE 5 EPIDEMIOLOGICAL DATA, YOU CAN'T DISAGREE WITH THE 6 7 CONCLUSIONS IN THE PEER-REVIEWED LITERATURE, YOU HAVE TO 8 LEAVE THAT TO THE JURY, AND THEY ULTIMATELY REINSTATED THE VERDICT AND, YOU KNOW, IT CAME DOWN. 9

AND THIS HAS REALLY BEEN THE LAW OF CALIFORNIA IN THE AREA OF SARGON, PARTICULARLY OF AN AREA OF, LIKE, AUTISM, JUST LIKE IN CANCER IN COOPER WHERE THERE'S MULTIPLE CAUSES, RIGHT, WHERE THERE IS NO SINGLE CAUSE OF CANCER, THERE IS NO SINGLE CAUSE OF AUTISM, THIS IS A MULTI-CAUSAL PHENOMENON.

SO WITH LEGAL BACKGROUND IN MIND, LET'S TALKABOUT AUTISM.

NOW, AUTISM IS A SPECTRUM DISORDER, OKAY, AND 18 19 THIS DIAGRAM HERE KIND OF ILLUSTRATES THAT. YOU HAVE 20 VARIOUS BEHAVIORAL SYMPTOMATIC EXPRESSIONS IN A CHILD 21 AND THOSE EXPRESSIONS MANIFEST IN DIFFERENT WAYS, IT HAS DIFFERENT AMOUNTS, RIGHT, SO YOU MIGHT HAVE A CHILD THAT 22 23 HAS REALLY BAD REPETITIVE BEHAVIOR, BUT PRETTY GOOD MOTOR SKILLS. HIS EXECUTIVE FUNCTIONING IS VERY 24 25 DEFECTIVE, BUT HE IS ABLE TO COMMUNICATE, RIGHT. THAT 26 CHILD WOULD BE ON THE SPECTRUM, THE CHILD WOULD BE 27 CONSIDERED AUTISTIC, BUT THEY HAVE DIFFERENT TYPES OF THINGS AND THAT'S WHY THE HISTORICAL LINEAR SORT OF 28

SPECTRUM DOESN'T REALLY APPLY ANYMORE, IT'S SORT OF
 CONSIDERED THIS SORT OF CIRCULAR AND GLOBAL MISMATCH OF
 SYMPTOMS, THERE IS NO BIOLOGICAL MARKER FOR AUTISM,
 THERE IS REALLY NOTHING BEYOND LOOKING AND OBSERVING THE
 CHILD.

AND WHAT WE KNOW IS THAT CAN HAPPEN AT
DIFFERENT AGES, RIGHT, YOU CAN OBSERVE THIS PHENOMENA AT
DIFFERENT TIMES.

9 NOW, TO GIVE SOME SORT OF BASIC BACKGROUND, I'M 10 GOING TO SHARE WITH THIS COURT THE CDC'S WEBSITE, OKAY. AND THIS, RIGHT, YOU CAN SEE THIS IS THE CDC'S WEBSITE, 11 AND RIGHT HERE WE HAVE SOME DATA ON PREVALENCE, RIGHT, 12 13 AND WE KNOW THAT ONE IN FOUR CHILDREN HAVE BEEN IDENTIFIED WITH AUTISM SPECTRUM DISORDER, WE KNOW THAT 14 15 IT OCCURS IN ALL RACIAL, ETHNIC AND SOCIOECONOMIC GROUPS. ASD IS FOUR TIMES MORE COMMON AMONGST BOYS THAN 16 17 AMONG GIRLS.

18 IT'S KIND OF INTERESTING BECAUSE IT GETS INTO
19 OUR PLAUSIBILITY ARGUMENT, WHICH WE'LL EXPLAIN AS WE GO
20 ON. WE ALSO KNOW THAT ONE IN SIX CHILDREN BETWEEN THREE
21 AND SEVENTEEN WERE DIAGNOSED WITH A DEVELOPMENTAL
22 DISABILITY WHICH INCLUDES STUFF LIKE ADHD.

AND THAT'S IMPORTANT. ADHD IS VERY OFTEN
ASSOCIATED WITH AUTISM ITSELF; AGAIN, THAT LENDS A LOT
MORE EVIDENCE TO OUR THEORY OF PLAUSIBILITY.

26 NOW, IF WE GO DOWN INTO THIS DOCUMENT, WE HAVE
27 ON THE SECTION RIGHT HERE, "WHAT IS ASD?" THEY ACTUALLY
28 HAVE A SECTION DOWN HERE CALLED, "CAUSES AND RISK

FACTORS." THIS IS WHAT THE CDC IS SAYING.

1

28

2 AND THEY SAY, "WE DO NOT KNOW ALL THE CAUSES OF ASD, HOWEVER, WE HAVE LEARNED THAT THERE ARE 3 MANY CAUSES FOR MULTIPLE TYPES OF ASD, THERE 4 MAY BE MANY DIFFERENT FACTORS THAT MAKE THE 5 CHILD MORE LIKELY TO HAVE AN ASD, INCLUDING 6 7 ENVIRONMENTAL, BIOLOGIC AND GENETIC FACTORS." 8 AND THAT'S REALLY IMPORTANT, YOUR HONOR, 9 BECAUSE WHEN WE TALK ABOUT AUTISM, IF ANYBODY TELLS YOU 10 IT'S ALL GENETIC, THEY'RE WRONG. IF ANYONE TELLS YOU IT'S ALL ENVIRONMENTAL, IT'S WRONG, RIGHT. 11 NOT ONLY IS 12 THERE A CONFLUENCE OF FACTORS FROM BOTH SIDES, BUT 13 THERE'S SOMETHING CALLED EPI GENETICS WHERE THE GENES ARE ACTUALLY TURNING ON AND OFF IN RESPONSE TO 14 15 ENVIRONMENTAL INFLUENCES, AND THAT EPI GENETICS IS AT CUTTING-EDGE SCIENCE RIGHT NOW. 16 AND IN THAT CONTEXT, BOTH THE EXPOSURES AND THE GENETICS CAN PLAY A ROLE IN 17 LEADING TO IT. 18

19 A GREAT EXAMPLE OF THAT, YOUR HONOR, IF A CHILD -- IF AUTISTIC CHILDREN HAVE A GENE THAT MAKES IT HARDER 20 FOR THEM TO METABOLIZE METALS AND EXCRETE THEM, YOU 21 COULD SEE A SITUATION WHERE YOU HAVE TWO CHILDREN WHO 22 23 WERE EXPOSED TO THE SAME AMOUNT OF METAL, BUT THE ONES THAT AREN'T ABLE TO PROCESS IT SUFFER MORE BRAIN DAMAGE 24 25 AND THEREFORE, DEVELOP ASD. THERE YOU HAVE A PURE 26 SYNERGY BETWEEN THE GENETIC PREDISPOSITION AS WELL AS 27 THE METALS THAT WOULD BE CAUSING IT.

INTERESTING ENOUGH HERE ON THIS WEBSITE WE HAVE

1 CHILDREN, YOU SEE IT'S BULLET POINT NUMBER TWO, IT SAYS: 2 "CHILDREN WHO HAVE A SIBLING WITH ASD ARE AT A HIGHER RISK OF ALSO HAVING ASD." 3 AND IF WE GO DOWN TO THE CITATIONS, YOU'LL SEE 4 5 THAT THE FIRST CITATION THERE IS TO A STUDY BY HALLMAYER, NUMBER 6. 6 7 I'D LIKE TO SHOW THE COURT THAT STUDY. 8 SO THIS IS THE HALLMAYER STUDY, AND IT'S REALLY 9 IMPORTANT BECAUSE WHAT THEY DID HERE, YOUR HONOR, IS 10 THEY ACTUALLY LOOK AT TWINS AND WHO HAD CONCORDANCE AND 11 DISCORDANCE; SPECIFICALLY WHAT WE REFER TO THERE IS THEY LOOKED AT CHILDREN WHO ONE OF THE TWINS HAS AUTISM AND 12 13 THE OTHER ONE DOES NOT AND THEY LOOKED AT BOTH GENETICALLY IDENTICAL TWINS AND FRATERNAL TWINS. 14 15 AND, YOU KNOW, I CAN GET INTO THE NUANCES HERE, BUT THE RESULTS OF THE STUDY ARE PRETTY STRAIGHTFORWARD, 16 17 RIGHT, ALTHOUGH THEY ARE KIND OF HARD TO FULLY UNDERSTAND. 18 19 IT SAYS RIGHT HERE, THE CONCORDANCE FOR MALE TWINS WAS .58 FOR MONOZYGOTIC TWINS. 20 21 SO WHAT THAT'S REFERRING TO, YOUR HONOR, IS 22 GENETICALLY IDENTICAL TWINS IN THAT THEY WERE BOTH 23 DIAGNOSED WITH AUTISM 58 PERCENT OF THE TIME, SO THAT 24 MEANS 42 PERCENT OF THE TIME ONE OF THE GENETICALLY IDENTICAL TWINS HAD AUTISM AND THE OTHER DID NOT. 25 WE 26 HAVE SIMILAR RESULTS -- AND THAT'S FOR MALES. FOR 27 FEMALES WE HAVE A SIMILAR RESULT. WE HAVE LOOKS LIKE 28 CONCORDANCE OF ABOUT 60 PERCENT; SO AGAIN, A 40 PERCENT

1 DISCORDANCE, YOU CAN SEE RIGHT HERE.

2 AND THEN FOR PATERNAL TWINS WE HAVE AN INTERESTING THING, AND ALTHOUGH THEY DON'T HAVE 3 IDENTICAL GENES, THEY HAVE VERY SIMILAR ONES, THEY COME 4 FROM THE SAME WOMB, AND THERE WE HAVE DISCORDANCE -- WE 5 HAVE CONCORDANCE OF .27, MEANING, WE HAVE DISCORDANCE OF 6 7 OVER 70 PERCENT, 73 PERCENT, THAT'S AMONGST BOYS. AND 8 AMONGST GIRLS OR WOMEN, THERE WAS A -- OH, SORRY --THERE WAS 31 PERCENT. YOU CAN SEE IT DOWN HERE. 9

10 OKAY. THE POINT OF THIS, YOUR HONOR, IS THAT 11 WHETHER IT'S A GENETICALLY IDENTICAL OR FRATERNAL TWINS, 12 THERE IS CONSIDERABLE INSTANCES WHERE ONE OF THEM 13 DEVELOPS AUTISM AND THE OTHER DOES NOT.

WHAT DOES THAT TELL YOU?

14

15 THAT TELLS US THAT GENES DON'T TELL THE WHOLE
16 STORY. WHEN TWO TWINS WHO HAVE THE SAME GENES, ONE OF
17 THEM DEVELOPS AUTISM, THE OTHER DOESN'T, GENES OBVIOUSLY
18 CAN'T TELL THE WHOLE STORY. SOMETHING ELSE IS
19 HAPPENING.

20 AND THIS IS WIDELY ACCEPTED WITHIN THE AUTISM 21 COMMUNITY.

THE GENERAL IDEA, YOUR HONOR, IS THAT THERE IS
A CONNECTION BETWEEN THE GENES AND THE ENVIRONMENT AND
ONE OF THOSE ENVIRONMENTAL EXPOSURES IS METAL.

NOW, INTERESTING ENOUGH, I'M GOING TO GO BACKTO THE CDC WEBSITE FOR JUST ONE SECOND.

AND AS WE SEE HERE UNDER THE GENETIC RISKFACTORS, AND THIS IS IMPORTANT BECAUSE IT SAYS RIGHT

1 HERE, "THERE IS SOME EVIDENCE THAT THE CRITICAL PERIOD 2 FOR DEVELOPING ASD OCCURS BEFORE, DURING AND IMMEDIATELY AFTER BIRTH." 3 AND WHAT'S INTERESTING ABOUT THAT IS THEY ARE 4 5 SAYING THAT THE CRITICAL WINDOW OF VULNERABILITY ISN'T JUST PRENATAL, RIGHT, IT'S ALSO AFTER BIRTH BECAUSE THE 6 7 BRAIN IS DEVELOPING AND THERE'S PLASTICITY THAT IS 8 OCCURRING IN THAT -- THOSE YOUNGER YEARS AND THAT CAN 9 LEAD TO WHAT WE KNOW AS AUTISM. 10 AND SO YOU KNOW, NOW LOOKING AT SOME OF THE CDC, YOUR HONOR, IF YOU LOOK AT EXHIBIT 17 OR CITATION 11 12 17, THE AUTHOR ON HERE IS ACTUALLY DR. GARDENER. IT'S 13 OUR EXPERT IN THIS CASE. AND THAT'S JUST A REFLECTION THAT, YOU KNOW, A LOT OF WHAT OUR EXPERTS ARE DOING IN 14 15 THIS CASE, AND YOU'LL SEE THIS THROUGHOUT THE WEEK, THEY ALL HAVE OPINIONS THAT THEY DID NOT ARRIVE AT FOR THE 16 17 FIRST TIME IN LITIGATION, THEY ARE PUBLISHED IN THIS AREA, THEY ARE WIDELY ACCEPTED WITHIN THE SCIENTIFIC 18 19 COMMUNITY AND I THINK WITHIN THE AUTISM COMMUNITY AS 20 WELL. SO GOING BACK TO THE CONNECTION HERE. 21 THE NEXT STEP, THEN, IS LOOKS LIKE YOU HAVE 22 23 METALS. NOW, WHAT DO WE KNOW ABOUT METALS, YOUR HONOR? 24 25 WELL, WE KNOW THEY ARE NEUROTOXIC, THAT'S JUST 26 ESTABLISHED. AND WE KNOW THAT THEY HAVE A DELETERIOUS 27 EFFECT ON THE BRAIN. AND YOU'RE GOING TO HEAR AT LENGTH FROM DR. ASCHNER ABOUT THIS WHO HAS BEEN STUDYING HEAVY 28

19

METALS AND THEIR EFFECTS ON THE BRAIN SINCE THE
 BEGINNING OF TIME. HE'S PROBABLY THE MOST RENOWNED
 EXPERT IN THE WORLD ON THIS AREA. THERE'S MUSEUMS BASED
 ON HIS WORK. I MEAN, HE REALLY IS INCREDIBLY WELL
 CREDENTIALED AND HE'S GOING TO TALK ABOUT WHAT THEY DO.

BUT I WANT TO TAKE JUST A QUICK MOMENT TO SHOW 6 7 YOU AN INTERESTING STUDY, AND I'M JUST GOING TO DO THIS 8 OUICKLY BECAUSE I DON'T WANT TO SPEND TOO MUCH TIME ON BUT THIS IS A STUDY THAT WAS DONE OUT THE CLEVE --9 TT. 10 I'M SORRY -- THE CINCINNATI LEAD CITE, AND IN THIS STUDY WHAT THEY DID IS THEY LOOKED AT CHILDREN PRE-BIRTH SO 11 12 WHEN THEY -- WHEN THOSE MOTHERS WERE PREGNANT, AND THEY 13 FOLLOWED THEM FOR 25 YEARS TAKING LEAD MEASUREMENTS OF THEIR BLOOD AT VARIOUS POINTS THROUGHOUT THEIR LIVES 14 15 WHEN THEY WERE YOUNG, A COUPLE YEARS OLD, ET CETERA.

AND WHAT THEY FOUND -- WHAT THEY DID THEN AFTER 16 17 25 YEARS IS THEY TOOK THESE NOW GROWN ADULTS AND THEY LOOKED AT THEIR BRAIN. THEY LOOKED TO SEE WHAT WAS --18 19 WHAT HAD HAPPENED IN THEIR ACTUAL BRAINS. THEY TOOK 20 MRI'S. AND WHAT THEY FOUND WAS PRETTY AMAZING. THEY FOUND THAT IN CHILDHOOD EXPOSURES TO LEAD LED TO THESE 21 SPECIFIC AREAS OF THE BRAIN BEING UNDERDEVELOPED OR 22 23 DAMAGED. THERE WAS LESS BRAIN VOLUME THERE, RIGHT.

AND WHY THIS IS SO KEY IS IF WE LOOK AT --SORRY -- LET ME GO DOWN TO THE BOTTOM PAGE, SORRY, IT'S THE CONCLUSIONS.

27 WHAT THEY FOUND HERE IS THAT IN SUMMARY, WE 28 FOUND THAT EARLY CHILDHOOD LEAD EXPOSURE IS ASSOCIATED WITH STRUCTURAL VOLUME LOSS IN THE BRAIN. THE INJURY
 AFFECTS BRAIN REGIONS CLASSICALLY CONSIDERED RESPONSIBLE
 FOR EXECUTIVE FUNCTION, BEHAVIOR REGULATION AND FINE
 MOTOR CONTROL.

THOSE ARE THE VERY PARTS OF THE BRAIN THAT WE 5 SEE DEFECTS IN THAT WE THEN CHARACTERIZE AS AUTISM. 6 AND 7 SO YOU HAVE THIS REALLY ROBUST KNOWLEDGE BASE ABOUT THE 8 EFFECTS OF CHILDHOOD EXPOSURE AND HEAVY METALS. HERE WE HAVE A VERY GOOD ONE ON LEAD AND HOW IT LEADS TO 9 10 LITERALLY BRAIN DAMAGE IN THE AREA OF THE BRAIN THAT YOU WOULD EXPECT TO HAVE BRAIN DAMAGE FOR ASD. 11

12 I MEAN, THE CONNECTIONS HERE ARE TRULY13 UNBELIEVABLE.

AND THE THING, YOUR HONOR, IS WHEN YOU ACTUALLY CALL -- LOOK AT THE DATA, RIGHT, AND SO WE'RE GOING TO LOOK AT THIS OBVIOUSLY TODAY WITH DR. RITZ, BUT THIS IS THE BODY, THE CORPUS OF STUDIES ON LEAD.

AND AS YOU SEE HERE, EVERYTHING ON THE LEFT THAT'S POSITIVE AND STATISTICALLY SIGNIFICANT. WE HAVE THIS AREA HERE, THESE ARE POSITIVE, BUT NOT SIGNIFICANT, THEN WE HAVE THE NULL STUDIES RIGHT HERE. WE HAVE NEGATIVE AND NOT SIGNIFICANT. WE HAVE NEGATIVE AND STATISTICALLY SIGNIFICANT.

AND WHEN YOU, FOR EXAMPLE, JUST TAKE OUT THE
NON SIGNIFICANT RESULTS AND YOU COMPARE THE POSITIVE
VERSUS THE NEGATIVE, I MEAN, IT'S NOT EVEN CLOSE, RIGHT.
AND THIS IS SUPPORTED BY A WHOLE HOST OF LITERATURE THAT
WE'RE GOING TO GET INTO OBVIOUSLY WITH THE COURT

1 THROUGHOUT THIS WEEK, OKAY, RIGHT.

ONE OF THOSE STUDIES I THINK IS GOING TO BE REALLY POWERFUL AND HELPFUL FOR THE COURT AND THAT IS SPECIFICALLY A STUDY THAT WAS DONE AT THE NIH. AND THIS WAS A STUDY THAT WAS PRETTY COOL.

6 SO LET ME KIND OF WALK YOU THROUGH WHAT THEY 7 DID.

8 SO THEY TOOK SETS OF TWINS THAT HAD 9 DISCORDANCE, MEANING, ONE OF THE TWINS HAD AUTISM AND ONE OF THEM DIDN'T, AND THEY COMPARED THEM TO TWINS THAT 10 HAD CONCORDANCE AND THEY BOTH WERE IN THE SENSE THAT 11 12 THEY DIDN'T HAVE AUTISM. THEN THEY TOOK THEIR BABY 13 TEETH AND THEY ACTUALLY DRILLED INTO THEM TO FIND OUT EXACTLY WHAT THEIR LEAD EXPOSURES WERE AT PRENATALLY AND 14 15 POSTNATALLY DOWN TO THE DAY, PRETTY UNBELIEVABLY EXACT EXPOSURES, AND WHAT THEY FOUND WAS PRETTY REMARKABLE. 16 17 THEY SAID -- THEY FOUND, "WHEN COMPARING ASD DISCORDANT TWINS WITH NON ASD CONTROLLED TWIN 18 19 PAIRS, WE FOUND THAT LEAD LEVELS WERE CONSISTENTLY HIGHER IN ASD CASES THAN THEIR NON 20 ASD CO-TWINS FROM 20 WEEKS BEFORE BIRTH TO 30 21 WEEKS AFTER BIRTH, " RIGHT. 22 23 AND THEN LATER ON THEY GO ON TO TALK ABOUT HOW THE ASSOCIATION -- AND THIS IS REFERRING TO SEVERITY OF 24 AUTISM -- THAT THE ASSOCIATION WAS STATISTICALLY 25 26 SIGNIFICANT FROM 10 WEEKS BEFORE BIRTH TO 30 WEEKS AFTER

27 BIRTH. THE STRONGEST ASSOCIATION TO BE 5 WEEKS

28 PRENATALLY.

1 AND WHAT THIS IS SAYING, YOUR HONOR, IS WHEN 2 YOU ACTUALLY LOOK AT THE ACTUAL MEDICAL CONTENT THAT 3 THEY WERE EXPOSED TO AT THAT TIME, CHILDREN WHO DEVELOPED ASD HAD POST-NATAL INCREASED LEVELS OF LEAD, 4 AND THAT, YOUR HONOR, IS 100 PERCENT CONSISTENT, RIGHT, 5 WITH THIS CORPUS OF LITERATURE WHICH SHOWS US THAT THE 6 7 CHILDREN WHO ARE HAVING ASD, WHETHER IT BE IN THE URINE, 8 WHICH IS THE YELLOW STUDIES OR THE BLOOD, WHICH IS THE 9 RED BIOMARKERS OR WHETHER THEIR HAIR OR WHETHER THEIR 10 TEETH AND TOENAILS OR WHETHER JUST ECOLOGICALLY THE AIR POLLUTION THEY ARE BREATHING HAS MORE LEAD IN IT, WHEN 11 12 YOU LOOK AT ALL OF THIS, IT'S CONSISTENTLY SHOWING THAT 13 CHILDREN WHO HAVE AUTISM HAVE HIGHER EXPOSURES TO LEAD.

AND WHEN YOU ADD THAT TO OUR UNDERSTANDING OF THE BIOLOGICAL PLAUSIBILITY AND WHAT HE KNOW LEAD AT A CHILDHOOD AGE DOES TO THE BRAIN, REACHING THE CONCLUSION THAT EARLY LIFE EXPOSURE TO LEAD IS A CAUSAL FACTOR IN BEVELOPING ADHD -- ASD DOES NOT REQUIRE A LEAP OF LOGIC, IT DOES NOT REQUIRE CONJECTURE, IT JUST REQUIRES COMMON SENSE.

21 AND THE THING IS, YOUR HONOR, AND YOU'LL SEE THIS AS WELL FOR -- AND I'LL OUICKLY GO THROUGH BECAUSE 22 23 I DON'T WANT TO SPEND TOO MUCH TIME GOING INTO THE STUDY -- THIS IS THE LITERATURE ON ADHD AND LEAD, RIGHT. 24 AGAIN, THERE ISN'T A SINGLE NEGATIVE STUDY 25 26 THAT'S STATISTICALLY SIGNIFICANT. THERE'S TWO THAT ARE 27 NULL THAT ARE NOT STATISTICALLY SIGNIFICANT, AND THERE IS JUST OVERWHELMING NUMBER OF STUDIES SHOWING THAT IN 28

1 FACT IT DOES -- IT'S ASSOCIATED.

AND A LOT OF THESE STUDIES ARE PROSPECTIVE, YOUR HONOR. THIS IS WHERE THEY ARE MEASURING A CHILD AT A YOUNG AGE AND THEN FOLLOWING THEM FOR YEARS AND MEASURING THEIR LEVELS IN THEIR BLOOD, AND AS LOW AND BEHOLD, THOSE WHO HAVE GREATER EXPOSURES TO LEAD HAVE GREATER INCIDENCE OF ADHD.

8 THE SCIENCE, YOUR HONOR, IS VERY SIMILAR FOR 9 MERCURY, AGAIN, HERE IS THE CORPUS OF DATA. HERE 10 THERE'S DEFINITELY MORE NULL RESULTS AND NOT IN THE 11 SIGNIFICANT RESULTS, BUT THERE'S STILL AN OVERWHELMING 12 AMOUNT OF POSITIVE AND STATISTICALLY SIGNIFICANT DATA, 13 VARIOUS BIOMARKERS AND DIFFERENT POPULATIONS ACROSS THE 14 WORLD.

AND FINALLY, WE HAVE VERY STRONG EVIDENCE AS
WELL WITH ARSENIC, ALTHOUGH THERE IS AGAIN A LARGER
NUMBER OF NOT SIGNIFICANT RESULTS. WHEN YOU TAKE OUT
THOSE NON SIGNIFICANT RESULTS, WHICH ARE HARD TO
INTERPRET ONE WAY OR THE OTHER, AGAIN, THE LITERATURE IS
CLEAR, IT'S CLEARLY SAYING ONE THING.

AT THE BEGINNING OF THIS CASE, YOUR HONOR, SIX MONTHS AGO WHEN YOU FIRST SAID, HEY, LET'S DO AN EARLY SARGON, YOU SAID, I TOLD YOU THAT, YOU KNOW, WE REALLY WEREN'T JUST TALKING, YOU KNOW, WE WEREN'T BS'ING YOU, RIGHT, THAT THIS WAS A LEGIT SCIENCE BACKING IT UP.

AND I WANT TO SHOW YOU ONE LAST THING THAT I THINK IS PRETTY TELLING, AND I DISCOVERED THIS RECENTLY MOSTLY BECAUSE IT TURNS OUT THAT ONE OF OUR AUTHORS -- 1 ONE OF OUR EXPERTS IS AN AUTHOR ON IT.

NOW, THIS IS A DOCUMENT BY PROJECT TENDR,
"TARGETING ENVIRONMENTAL NEURODEVELOPMENTAL RISKS," AND
TENDR CONSENSUS STATEMENT, AND THIS WAS PUBLISHED IN
2016 LONG BEFORE ANY LITIGATION, LONG BEFORE ANYONE WAS
INVOLVED.

7 AND IF WE GO DOWN TO THE SIGNATORIES HERE, 8 YOU'LL SEE THAT IT'S SIGNED BY A WHOLE HOST OF 9 SCIENTISTS. YOU HAVE PEOPLE FROM UNIVERSITY, UC DAVIS, 10 FROM HARVARD, YOU HAVE THE DIRECTOR OF THE NATIONAL TOXICOLOGY PROGRAM ON HERE, YOU SEE DOWN HERE, AND 11 12 OBVIOUSLY, YOU KNOW, YOU HAVE DR. RITZ ON HERE AS WELL. 13 GOING DOWN HERE WE HAVE A WHOLE HOST OF PROFESSIONALS. MANY OF THESE AUTHORS ON MANY OF THE EPIDEMIOLOGICAL 14 15 STUDIES WE'RE TALKING ABOUT.

16 WE HAVE DOWN HERE CHILDREN HEALTH AND
17 DISABILITY ADVOCATES. WE HAVE ALL THESE ADVOCACY GROUPS
18 THAT SUPPORT THIS STATEMENT.

19 AND THEN DOWN HERE WE HAVE ORGANIZATIONS THAT 20 SUPPORT OR ENDORSE THE TENDR CONSENSUS STATEMENT, 21 INCLUDING THE AMERICAN COLLEGE OF OBSTETRICIANS AND GYNECOLOGISTS, WHICH SUPPORTS THIS VALUE WHICH SUPPORTS 22 23 THIS DOCUMENT AS AN EDUCATIONAL TOOL, CHILD NEUROLOGY SOCIETY, THE ENDOCRINE SOCIETY, THE NATIONAL MEDICAL 24 I MEAN, THESE ARE THE WOLF AND WHARF OF 25 ASSOCIATION. 26 THE AUTISM COMMUNITY.

27AND HERE'S WHAT THEY SAY BACK IN 2016.28IT SAYS, "THE FOLLOWING LIST PROVIDES A PRIME

1 EXAMPLES -- PROVIDES PRIME EXAMPLES OF TOXIC 2 CHEMICALS THAT CAN CONTRIBUTE TO LEARNING, BEHAVIORAL OR INTELLECTUAL IMPAIRMENT AS WELL 3 AS SPECIFIC NEURODEVELOPMENTAL DISORDERS SUCH 4 AS ADHD OR AUTISM SPECTRUM DISORDER." 5 AND THEN IF YOU GO DOWN THIS LIST, IT SAYS 6 7 RIGHT HERE "LEAD AND MERCURY." 8 SO WE HAVE THIS CONSENSUS STATEMENT FROM FIVE 9 YEARS AGO SAYING WE AGREE THAT THIS IS AN ISSUE THAT THIS IS A PRIME EXAMPLE OF SOMETHING THAT CONTRIBUTES TO 10 THE DEVELOPMENT OF ADHD OR AUTISM RIGHT HERE. 11 12 AND SO EARLIER IN THIS CASE WHEN YOU WERE TOLD 13 THE AUTISM COMMUNITY DOESN'T SEE THAT, IT'S JUST NOT 14 TRUE.

15 I'D ALSO POINT OUT THAT HERE IN THIS CONSENSUS STATEMENT, AND I'M GOING TO GO OVER THIS WITH DR. RITZ A 16 17 LITTLE BIT LATER TODAY, IT SAYS, "RESEARCH IN NEUROSCIENCE HAS IDENTIFIED CRITICAL WINDOWS OF 18 VULNERABILITY AND DURING EMBRYONIC AND FETAL 19 DEVELOPMENT, INFANCY, EARLY CHILDHOOD AND 20 ADOLESCENCE, AND DURING THESE WINDOWS OF 21 DEVELOPMENT, TOXIC CHEMICAL EXPOSURES MAY CAUSE 22 23 LASTING HARM TO THE BRAIN THAT INTERFERES WITH A CHILD'S ABILITY TO REACH HIS OR HER FULL 24 POTENTIAL." 25 26 AGAIN, THIS IS CONSENSUS LEVEL SCIENTIFIC 27 OPINIONS FROM DOZENS OF THE MOST REPUTABLE EPIDEMIOLOGISTS AND SCIENTISTS IN THE WORLD, THE 28

DIRECTOR OF THE NATIONAL TOXICOLOGY PROGRAM. AT SOME
 POINT WE ASK OURSELVES IS THE ISSUE OF HEAVY METALS, IS
 IT EVEN A CONTROVERSY AT ALL? IT SEEMS TO BE GENERALLY
 ACCEPTED WITHIN THE COMMUNITY.

5 6 SO THAT'S WHAT WE KNOW ABOUT LEAD AND AUTISM. FINALLY, LET'S BRIEFLY TALK ABOUT OUR EXPERTS.

7 I'M GOING TO LET THEM SPEAK FOR THEMSELVES,
8 YOUR HONOR. YOU'RE GOING TO GET A CHANCE TO ASK THEM
9 QUESTIONS OR GET WHATEVER YOU WANT FROM THEM AND DIG
10 DEEP INTO THEIR METHODOLOGIES AND APPROACHES.

AND IMPORTANTLY -- AND THIS IS A BIG PART IN
THE BRIEFING -- WAS DISCUSSIONS ABOUT THE BRADFORD HILL
FACTORS.

14 I WAS THERE WHEN DR. RITZ WAS QUESTIONED ABOUT
15 THEM AT LENGTH BY MR. PETROSINELLI, AND THERE IS -- YOU
16 KNOW, WE'RE GOING TO GO THROUGH THEM WITH DR. RITZ AND
17 WHAT SHE DID AND DIDN'T DO.

BUT SOMETHING THAT I THINK IS REALLY IMPORTANT, 18 19 AND IT'S THE LAST THING I WANT TO LEAVE THE COURT WITH, 20 IS THIS OUOTE FROM SIR BRADFORD HILL HIMSELF. THIS IS IN THE VERY ARTICLE WHERE HE LISTS OUT THE NINE FACTORS. 21 HE SAYS, "WHAT I DO NOT BELIEVE, AND THIS HAS 22 23 BEEN SUGGESTED, IS THAT WE CAN LOOSELY LAY DOWN SOME HARD AND FAST RULES OF EVIDENCE THAT MUST 24 BE OBSERVED BEFORE WE ACCEPT CAUSE AND EFFECT. 25 26 NONE OF MY NINE VIEWPOINTS CAN BRING 27 INDISPUTABLE EVIDENCE FOR OR AGAINST THE CAUSE AND EFFECT HYPOTHESIS AND NONE CAN BE REQUIRED 28

AS A SINE QUA NON.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

"WHAT THEY CAN DO WITH GREATER OR LESS STRENGTH IS TO HELP US MAKE UP OUR MINDS ON THE FUNDAMENTAL QUESTION, IS THERE ANY OTHER WAY OF EXPLAINING THE SET OF FACTS BEFORE US? IS THERE ANY OTHER ANSWER EQUALLY OR MORE LIKELY THAN CAUSE AND EFFECT?"

8 AT THE END OF DAY, YOUR HONOR, I THINK THAT'S 9 WHAT IT COMES DOWN TO IS OUR EXPERTS HAVE SPENT A LOT OF 10 TIME, THEY HAVE READ HUNDREDS OF STUDIES, THEY HAVE ENGAGED IN A RIGOROUS ANALYTICAL REVIEW OF THE DATA AND 11 THEY HAVE DONE IT CONSISTENT WITH THE WAY THEY ALWAYS DO 12 13 IT, THEY'VE APPLIED THE BRADFORD HILL FACTORS TO THE EXTENT THEY ARE EPIDEMIOLOGISTS. DR. ASCHNER USES THE 14 15 PRINCIPLES OF TOXICOLOGY TO GET TO HIS RESULT, BUT ULTIMATELY THE CONCLUSIONS THEY REACH AND THE DATA THEY 16 17 RELY UPON ARE RELIABLE AND WE HOPE TO SHOW THAT THROUGHOUT THE REST OF THIS PRESENTATION, YOUR HONOR, 18 19 AND I THANK YOU FOR YOUR TIME.

20 THE COURT: OKAY. THANK YOU VERY MUCH. WHO IS GOING TO SPEAK FOR THE DEFENDANTS? 21 22 MR. PETROSINELLI: YOUR HONOR, GOOD AFTERNOON. 23 THIS IS -- OR GOOD MORNING FOR YOU -- THIS IS JOE PETROSINELLI FOR GERBER, BUT I'M GOING TO SPEAK ON 24 25 BEHALF OF THE DEFENDANTS, SO THANK YOU FOR HEARING ME 26 TODAY.

27 YOUR HONOR, I THINK THE QUESTION IN THIS CASE
28 IS -- OR WITH THIS MOTION -- IS HAVE THE PLAINTIFFS PUT

FORWARD RELIABLE EVIDENCE THAT PASSES MUSTER UNDER
 SARGON ON GENERAL CAUSATION? AND GENERAL CAUSATION AT
 THIS STAGE OF THE CASE MEANS WHETHER LEAD, ARSENIC AND
 MERCURY CAN CAUSE ASD OR ADHD OR WHETHER LEAD CAN CAUSE
 ADHD.

AND WHEN I USE THE WORD "CAUSE" THERE, YOUR
HONOR, TO YOUR POINT AT THE OUTSET, I MEAN IT BOTH WAYS;
WHETHER INDIVIDUALLY OR SYNERGISTICALLY. THAT'S THE
QUESTION. DO THEY HAVE ENOUGH EVIDENCE UNDER SARGON TO
MEET THAT TEST?

AS MR. WISNER JUST ALLUDED TO, YOU'RE GOING TO HEAR FROM FOUR EXPERTS THIS WEEK FROM THE PLAINTIFFS. I OUGHT TO SAY THAT, AS YOUR HONOR KNOWS, THAT IS THE FIRST PART OF THIS MOTION HEARING, WE HAVE A DAY SET ASIDE IN MARCH FOR A COUPLE OF THE DEFENSE EXPERTS, AND I'LL TALK ABOUT THAT IN A SECOND AND WHY THAT'S IMPORTANT.

BUT THIS WEEK IT'S THE PLAINTIFF'S TURN. 18 19 THEY HAVE DR. SHAPIRO, WHO IS THE ONLY EXPERT IN THEIR GROUP WHO ACTUALLY TREATS PATIENTS WITH AUTISM. 20 21 HE DOES NOT OFFER A CAUSATION OPINION. HE DOESN'T COME 2.2 IN HERE TO SAY THAT AUTISM IS CAUSED BY OR CAN BE CAUSED 23 BY EXPOSURE TO HEAVY METALS. HE HAS A BIOLOGICAL PLAUSIBILITY OPINION; THAT IS, THERE ARE BIOLOGICAL 24 MECHANISMS BY WHICH IT COULD, BUT HE EXPRESSLY DISCLAIMS 25 26 A CAUSATION OPINION.

AND THEN YOU HAVE THE TWO EPIDEMIOLOGISTS,
DR. RITZ AND DR. GARDENER, WHO I THINK WILL BE THE FOCUS

OF THIS WEEK'S TESTIMONY, BUT THEN YOU HAVE DR. ASCHNER,
 WHO IS A TOXICOLOGIST WHO ALSO OFFERS A BIOLOGICAL
 PLAUSIBILITY OPINION AND HE SORT OF TACKS ON A CAUSATION
 OPINION AT THE END. AND WE WILL GO INTO IT, YOUR HONOR,
 BUT SORT OF HE DISCLAIMS ANY EXPERTISE IN EPIDEMIOLOGY
 AND DEFERS TO THE EPIDEMIOLOGISTS ON THAT PARTICULAR
 QUESTION.

8

SO WE HAVE SARGON.

9 AND FROM OUR PERSPECTIVE, YOUR HONOR, FROM THE 10 DEFENSE'S PERSPECTIVE THERE ARE THREE PRINCIPLES UNDER 11 SARGON THAT ARE THE MOST IMPORTANT HERE, AND MR. WISNER 12 ONLY TALKED ABOUT ONE OF THEM. THE MOST KEY ONE HE 13 DIDN'T TALK ABOUT, WHICH IS THAT -- THIS QUOTE FROM "THE COURT CAN INQUIRE INTO NOT ONLY THE TYPE 14 SARGON: 15 OF MATERIAL THEY RELY ON, BUT WHETHER THAT MATERIAL ACTUALLY SUPPORTS THE EXPERT'S 16 17 REASONING."

AND THE COURT -- AND THIS IS SORT OF THE 18 19 CALIFORNIA SUPREME COURT QUOTING THE U.S. SUPREME COURT: 20 "IF THERE'S TOO GREAT AN ANALYTICAL GAP BETWEEN THE DATA THEY SAY SUPPORTS THEIR OPINION AND 21 22 THEIR OPINIONS, THAT IS INADMISSIBLE UNDER 23 SARGON." AND THAT IS PRINCIPALLY WHAT THIS MOTION IS 24 ABOUT AS I'LL TALK ABOUT MORE IN A SECOND. 25 26 THE ANALYTICAL GAPS HERE ARE MASSIVE, 27 UNEXPLAINED AND UNACCOUNTED FOR. 28 THE SECOND PRINCIPLE IS ONE THAT MR. WISNER

1 FLAGGED, WHICH IS IF THERE'S TOO GREAT A LEAP OF LOGIC 2 OR CONJECTURE, AGAIN, BASED ON THE EVIDENCE THAT IS BEING PRESENTED AS SUPPORTING THE OPINION AND WHAT THE 3 OPINION ACTUALLY IS, THAT'S NOT ADMISSIBLE. 4 5 AND THEN FINALLY, REALLY IMPORTANT HERE BECAUSE OF WHAT I'M ABOUT TO TALK ABOUT IN A SECOND IS THAT THE 6 EXPERT HAS TO EMPLOY THE SAME LEVEL OF INTELLECTUAL 7 8 RIGOR INSIDE THE COURT AS EXPERTS DO OUTSIDE THE COURT WHEN EVALUATING WHATEVER THE BODY OF EVIDENCE IS. 9 10 SO THAT'S -- THOSE ARE THE THREE PRINCIPLES UNDER SARGON THAT ARE MOST IMPORTANT TO OUR MOTION. 11 12 NOW, WHERE WOULD ONE START TO FIGURE OUT WHETHER THE PLAINTIFFS MEET THESE STANDARDS? 13 WELL, THE FIRST PLACE WE SHOULD START IS WITH 14 15 AN UNDISPUTED FACT. THERE ARE NO STUDIES, ZERO, STUDYING WHETHER 16 THE CONSUMPTION OF BABY FOOD OR THE INGREDIENTS IN BABY 17 FOOD, FRUITS, VEGETABLES, GRAINS CAN CAUSE AUTISM OR 18 19 ADHD. ZERO. NOTHING. 20 SO WHY IS THAT IMPORTANT HERE? WE KNOW -- IT'S NOT IMPORTANT BECAUSE OF THE 21 22 LEVELS IN BABY FOOD. WE'VE TALKED ABOUT THERE HAVE BEEN 23 NO DISCOVERY YET, AND SO WE'RE NOT AT THE POINT IN THIS STAGE OF THE CASE WHERE WE'RE TALKING ABOUT THE LOW 24 LEVELS ACTUALLY THAT ARE IN BABY FOOD. 25 IF WE GET PAST 26 THIS STAGE, WE'D TALK ABOUT THAT, BUT IT'S IMPORTANT 27 BECAUSE IT'S RELEVANT TO THE TIMING OF EXPOSURE. THIS 28 TEMPORALITY QUESTION.

1 CHILDREN EAT BABY FOOD FROM AGES SIX MONTHS TO 2 ABOUT TWO, MAYBE SOMETIMES A LITTLE BIT LATER, BUT IN 3 THAT WINDOW. AND SO TO PASS THE GENERAL CAUSATION STAGE AS ITS FRAMED RIGHT NOW, THE PLAINTIFF'S EXPERTS HAVE TO 4 HAVE RELIABLE SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE THAT EXPOSURE TO LEAD, 5 ARSENIC AND MERCURY IN THAT WINDOW CAN CAUSE AUTISM OR 6 7 ADHD. THEY HAVE NO SUCH EVIDENCE.

NOW, HOW DO I KNOW THAT? HOW DO WE KNOW THAT?
LET'S START WITH THE FACT THAT, AS MR. WISNER
ACKNOWLEDGES, THIS SUBJECT OF WHAT CAUSES AUTISM IN
GENERAL AND WHETHER ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS LIKE EXPOSURES
TO METALS CAUSE AUTISM HAS BEEN STUDIED ESPECIALLY IN
THE PAST COUPLE OF YEARS.

14 THE RESEARCH ON WHAT CAUSES AUTISM IN GENERAL 15 HAS BEEN GOING ON FOR 40 YEARS, AND AS YOU SEE AND AS 16 I'LL SHOW IN A SECOND, MOST PEOPLE, I THINK BASICALLY 17 EVERYONE SAYS, NO ONE KNOWS WHAT THE ACTUAL CAUSES OF 18 AUTISM ARE. I THINK MR. WISNER SHOWED SOME QUOTES TO 19 THAT EFFECT. THE PLAINTIFF'S EXPERTS HAVE TESTIFIED AS 20 SUCH.

BUT ESPECIALLY AS TO ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS, THAT HAS BEEN STUDIED OVER THE PAST COUPLE OF YEARS, AND THE SCIENTIFIC COMMUNITY HAS REVIEWED AND SCRUTINIZED THAT DATA AND WHAT HAS THE COMMUNITY SAID? NO ONE -- NO ONE -- NO GOVERNMENT AGENCY, NO AUTISM RESEARCH INSTITUTION, NO MEDICAL ORGANIZATION HAS CONCLUDED THAT THESE METALS CAN CAUSE AUTISM.

28

I'M GOING TO SHOW YOU, YOUR HONOR, NOW I'VE

32

PICKED OUT THREE FROM AMONG THE MANY EXAMPLES WE CITE IN
 OUR BRIEF OF POSITION STATEMENTS. THIS IS NOT FOR - THIS IS NOT ABOUT AGENCIES WHO HAVEN'T SAID THINGS, ONE
 THING -- ONE WAY OR THE ANOTHER. IT IS AGENCIES,
 ORGANIZATIONS, RESEARCH INSTITUTIONS WHO HAVE SPOKEN ON
 THE TOPIC.

7 THIS IS WHAT THE NATIONAL INSTITUTES OF HEALTH 8 SAYS. THEY ARE PROBABLY THE PREEMINENT FEDERAL AGENCY IN CONNECTION WITH HEALTH-BASED RESEARCH IN THE UNITED 9 10 STATES. THEY TALK ABOUT AUTISM. THEY HAVE A FACT SHEET ABOUT AUTISM. IT'S NOT DATED, DATED IN THE SENSE OF 11 THEY EDIT THIS ALL THE TIME. 12 IT'S NOT OUTDATED. IT WAS 13 EDITED LAST IN JANUARY OF 2022, A COUPLE OF WEEKS AGO, AND THIS IS WHAT THEY SAY: 14

OF COURSE THEY RECOGNIZE THE PREEMINENT ROLE OF
GENETICS IN THE CAUSE OF AUTISM, AND THEN THEY SAY:
"ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS MAY ALSO PLAY A ROLE IN
GENE FUNCTION DEVELOPMENT, BUT NO SPECIFIC
ENVIRONMENTAL CAUSES HAVE YET BEEN IDENTIFIED."
THAT'S WHAT THE NATIONAL INSTITUTES OF HEALTH
SAYS.

22 MEDICAL ORGANIZATIONS: THE AMERICAN ACADEMY OF 23 PEDIATRICS, THE LARGEST ORGANIZATION I THINK IN THE 24 WORLD DEDICATED TO THE PROTECTION OF CHILDREN'S HEALTH, 25 THIS IS THEIR STATEMENT FROM JANUARY OF 2020, AGAIN, 26 FOCUSED ON THE HIGHLY HERITABLE -- THAT'S THE GENETIC 27 PIECE OF AUTISM, BUT THEN, "POTENTIAL ENVIRONMENTAL 28 FACTORS THAT MAY BE RELATED TO AN INCREASED

1 REPORTED PREVALENCE IS AN AREA OF ACTIVE STUDY THAT AS YET IS WITHOUT FIRM CONCLUSIONS." 2 AND THEN FINALLY, THIS IS AN INSTITUTION THAT 3 MR. WISNER MENTIONED JUST NOW IN HIS OPENING, THE 4 5 AMERICAN COLLEGE OF OBSTETRICIANS AND GYNECOLOGISTS, ACOG, OBVIOUSLY THE LARGEST ORGANIZATION IN THE UNITED 6 STATES OF SUCH DOCTORS WHO LOOK AFTER THE HEALTH OF 7 8 MOTHERS AND THEIR CHILDREN, THEIR NEWBORNS, THIS IS --WAS REAFFIRMED THIS MONTH, JANUARY OF 2022, THIS IS WHAT 9 10 ACOG SAYS ABOUT THE STATE OF THE LITERATURE ON ENVIRONMENTAL CAUSES, WHICH INCLUDES HEAVY METALS AND 11 12 AUTISM. 13 "ALTHOUGH THE CAUSE OF AUTISM IS UNCLEAR, STRONG GENETIC PREDISPOSITION..." 14 15 LOOK AT THAT LAST SENTENCE: "WIDE VARIETY OF EXPOSURES HAVE BEEN LINKED TO 16 17 AUTISM, BUT THE SUGGESTED ASSOCIATIONS ARE WEAK INCONSISTENT, OR BOTH, AMONG STUDIES AND CANNOT 18 19 BE EQUATED WITH A CAUSE AND EFFECT 20 RELATIONSHIP." THAT IS WHAT THE ORGANIZATION THAT IS DEDICATED 21 22 TO THE PROTECTION OF PUBLIC HEALTH AND CHILDREN'S HEALTH 23 SAY RIGHT NOW TODAY ABOUT THE BODY OF LITERATURE THAT 2.4 THE PLAINTIFF'S EXPERTS RELY ON WITH RESPECT TO HEAVY METALS. 25 26 IT'S NOT JUST THAT. 27 THE OTHER THING THAT'S REMARKABLE ABOUT THIS 28 CASE IS THAT THE VERY INSTITUTIONS WHERE THE PLAINTIFF'S

EXPERTS WORK ALBERT EINSTEIN, UCLA, UNIVERSITY OF MIAMI,
 THEY ALL HAVE PUBLISHED FACT SHEETS, ANALYSES OF AUTISM
 AND ITS CAUSES, NONE OF THEM -- ZERO -- IDENTIFY HEAVY
 METALS AS A -- EVEN A POTENTIAL CAUSE AND CERTAINLY NOT
 THAT IT CAN CAUSE AUTISM.

6 I FOCUSED -- I FOCUS ON UCLA FOR A SECOND 7 BECAUSE WE ARE IN LOS ANGELES IN THIS CASE.

8 UCLA HAPPENS TO HAVE ONE OF THE PREEMINENT 9 AUTISM RESEARCH CENTERS IN THE ENTIRE WORLD.

10DR. RITZ, WHO IS AT UCLA, IS NOT IN THAT11RESEARCH CENTER. SHE'S IN A DIFFERENT PART OF THE12UNIVERSITY.

13 THE AUTISM RESEARCH CENTER AT UCLA, WHICH HAS
14 BEEN STUDYING THE CAUSES OF AUTISM FOR 30 YEARS, NEVER
15 HAS SAID, HAS NOT SAID THAT LOOKING AT THE BODY OF
16 LITERATURE THAT AUTISM CAN BE CAUSED BY EXPOSURE TO
17 HEAVY METALS.

THE THING THAT'S REALLY REMARKABLE -- AND BY 18 19 THE WAY, BEFORE I LEAVE THIS SLIDE, MR. WISNER TALKED ABOUT THE CDC. I DON'T KNOW IF YOU SAW. IT WAS SORT OF 20 21 FLASHED OUICKLY. THE CDC HAS A FACT SHEET ON AUTISM WHERE THEY SAY, OF COURSE THAT GENETICS AND MAYBE SOME 22 23 ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS, WHICH WE'RE STUDYING, COULD BE RELATED TO THE CAUSES OF AUTISM, AND THEN THEY LIST THE 24 FACTORS THAT THEY THINK FALL INTO THAT CATEGORY AND THEY 25 26 LIST ONE ENVIRONMENTAL FACTOR.

27 "WHEN TAKEN DURING PREGNANCY, THE PRESCRIPTION28 DRUGS VALPROIC ACID AND THALIDOMIDE HAVE BEEN

1 LINKED WITH A HIGHER RISK OF AUTISM." 2 NOWHERE DOES THE CDC SAY THAT HEAVY METALS CAN 3 CAUSE AUTISM. FINALLY ON THIS POINT, YOUR HONOR, THIS IS 4 5 WHAT'S TRULY REMARKABLE ABOUT THIS CASE. THE STUDY AUTHORS, THE AUTHORS OF THE VERY 6 7 STUDIES ON WHICH THE PLAINTIFF'S EXPERTS RELIED, DO NOT 8 SAY -- NOT ONLY DO THEY NOT SAY CAUSATION, THEY SAY THE I'VE PICKED THREE HERE. 9 OPPOSITE OF CAUSATION. THERE 10 THESE ARE, AGAIN, THE STUDIES ON WHICH THE ARE A DOZEN. PLAINTIFF'S EXPERT PRINCIPALLY RELY. 11 12 YOU SEE ARORA HERE. MR. WISNER JUST TALKED 13 ABOUT THE ARORA STUDY. THAT'S THE ONE WITH THE TEETH AND THE TWINS. THAT'S THE ONE AT THE BOTTOM. 14 BUT LOOK 15 AT THESE STUDIES AND LOOK AT WHAT THE AUTHORS SAY. SKOGHEIM, WHICH IS A 2021 STUDY, LIMITED 16 17 KNOWLEDGE ON WHETHER EXPOSURE TO METALS IS LINKED TO ASD AND ADHD DIAGNOSES IN CHILDHOOD. 18 19 A META ANALYSIS, THE ONE IN THE MIDDLE, WANG IS 20 A META ANALYSIS WHOSE SOLE -- WHO VERY PURPOSE IS TO SURVEY THE LITERATURE, THE SAME LITERATURE THAT THE 21 PLAINTIFF'S EXPERTS ARE RELYING ON TO SEE IF THERE'S ANY 22 23 CAUSAL LINK. YOU CAN SEE THIS META ANALYSIS SAYS "NOT BEEN 24 ESTABLISHED." 25 26 AND THEN ARORA. THE TOOTH STUDY. "CAUTION SHOULD BE EXERCISED. ADDITIONAL 27 28 STUDIES ARE NEEDED. WE CAN'T SAY CAUSATION."

EVERY SINGLE ONE OF THE STUDIES ON WHICH THE PLAINTIFF'S EXPERTS RELIED IN THAT DIAGRAM MR. WISNER SHOWED YOU WITH THE VARIOUS STUDIES IN DIFFERENT BUCKETS, THEY ALL SAY, "NO CAUSATION/NEED MORE STUDIES/HASN'T BEEN ESTABLISHED."

FINALLY, YOUR HONOR, THESE ARE TWO OF OUR 6 7 EXPERTS WHO YOU'LL HEAR FROM IN MARCH AND WHY I THINK WE 8 TALKED TO THE COURT ABOUT THIS, WHY WE WANTED, YOUR 9 HONOR, TO HEAR FROM THEM IS THESE ARE TWO EXPERTS, TWO 10 DOCTORS, DR. GESCHWIND AND DR. FOMBONNE, WHO ARE TRULY WORLD RENOWNED EXPERTS IN AUTISM AND ITS CAUSES. 11 THEY 12 RUN TWO OF THE MOST RENOWNED AUTISM RESEARCH FACILITIES 13 IN THE WORLD, DR. GESCHWIND BEING THE ONE AT UCLA THAT I JUST MENTIONED, AND THEY WILL COME HERE AND EXPLAIN TO 14 15 YOU WHY -- WHAT THE MASSIVE ANALYTICAL GAP IS BETWEEN 16 THE BODY OF LITERATURE THAT THE PLAINTIFF'S EXPERTS ARE 17 RELYING ON AND THE CONCLUSIONS THAT THEY ARE DRAWING, AND THEY WILL ALSO EXPLAIN TO YOU WHAT EXPERTS IN THE 18 19 FIELD, THE INTELLECTUAL RIGOR THOSE EXPERTS IN AUTISM, 20 GO THROUGH BEFORE THEY DECIDE THAT ANYTHING CAUSES AUTISM, WHICH HAS SERIOUS IMPLICATIONS FOR PUBLIC HEALTH 21 AND CHILDREN'S HEALTH WHEN SOMEONE DECIDES THAT 22 23 SOMETHING IS A CAUSE OF AUTISM. THAT'S WHAT THEY WILL BE HERE TO TALK TO YOU ABOUT. 24

NOW, LET ME SAY THREE THINGS ABOUT WHAT THIS
HEARING AND THIS MOTION IS NOT ABOUT BECAUSE IT DIRECTLY
RELATES FROM WHAT YOU JUST HEARD FROM MR. WISNER.

28

THE FIRST THING IS THIS HEARING, THIS MOTION IS

37

1 NOT ABOUT WHETHER GENETIC OR ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS CAN 2 CAUSE ASD OR ADHD, LIKE WHAT IS THE RELATIVE CONTRIBUTION OF EACH AND ARE THEY SYNERGISTIC OR NOT 3 SYNERGISTIC. 4 THE HEARING IS ABOUT THREE SPECIFIC -- VERY 5 6 SPECIFIC ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS; THAT IS LEAD, ARSENIC 7 AND MERCURY. 8 THERE'S BEEN RESEARCH ON DOZENS OF 9 ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS, THINGS IN THE ENVIRONMENT THAT 10 PEOPLE MIGHT BE EXPOSED TO, PESTICIDES, FOR EXAMPLE, 11 PLASTIC COMPOUNDS, AIR POLLUTION. THERE'S ALL SORTS OF 12 RESEARCH. 13 THE OUESTION IN THIS CASE IS NOT HOW MUCH OF IT IS GENETIC VERSUS HOW MUCH IS ENVIRONMENTAL. 14 IT'S ARE 15 THESE THREE SPECIFIC ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS, HAVE THEY BEEN SHOWN TO CAUSE OR CAN CAUSE AUTISM? 16 17 THEN THE SECOND AND RELATED POINT IS YOU HEARD MR. WISNER SAY, OH, THERE'S NO DOUBT THAT LEAD, ARSENIC 18 19 AND MERCURY HAVE NEUROLOGIC EFFECTS. HE SAID THEY ARE 20 NEUROTOXINS. 21 THIS HEARING, THIS MOTION, THIS CASE IS NOT ABOUT WHETHER LEAD, ARSENIC OR MERCURY CAN CAUSE 22 23 NEUROLOGICAL EFFECTS OTHER THAN ASD AND ADHD. ADHD AND ASD ARE SPECIFIC DISORDERS. 24 THAT'S WHY THEY ARE ALL AUTISM SPECTRUM DISORDER/ATTENTION 25 26 DEFICIT HYPERACTIVITY DISORDERS, THEY ARE DSM 5 27 DISORDERS WITH SPECIFIC DIAGNOSTIC CRITERIA. 28 AND THE QUESTION IS WHETHER EXPOSURE TO LEAD,

AND IN THAT REGARD, YOUR HONOR, JUST TO GIVE 3 YOU AN EXAMPLE, MR. WISNER I THINK SAID LEAD EXPOSURE 4 5 HAS BEEN ASSOCIATED WITH DECREASED IO, FOR EXAMPLE. IO, INTELLECTUAL, RIGHT, CAPA- -- IS NOT A 6 7 DIAGNOSTIC CHARACTERISTIC OF AUTISM, AND IN FACT, MOST 8 AUTISTIC KIDS HAVE NORMAL TO HIGH IO, AND THAT SHOWS YOU, YOU CAN'T JUST SAY, OH, I THINK MR. WISNER SAID, IT 9 10 MAKES SO MUCH SENSE, LEAD IS A NEUROTOXIN SO IT'S NOT A GREAT LEAP OF LOGIC TO SAY THAT IT WOULD CAUSE AUTISM. 11 12 COMPLETELY UNTRUE AND UNSOUND SCIENCE. THERE ARE NEUROLOGICAL DEFICITS THAT HAVE 13 NOTHING TO DO WITH AUTISM OR ADHD, THEY ARE NOT RELATED 14 15 TO THEM AT ALL. AND THE FINAL THING IS -- THIS WAS REALLY 16 17 COVERED IN THE PAPERS -- IS WHETHER EXPERTS SHOULD BE EXERCISING THEIR JUDGMENT AS THEY ARE ASSESSING 18 19 CAUSATION. AND THERE WERE QUOTES IN THE PLAINTIFF'S 20 OPPOSITION BRIEF THAT YOU MAY HAVE SEEN THAT SAID, WELL, 21 OUR EXPERTS EXERCISE THEIR JUDGMENT, SO WHAT'S THE PROBLEM, BASICALLY? 22 23 THAT IS NOT WHAT THIS HEARING -- OF COURSE EXPERTS HAVE TO EXERCISE JUDGMENT WHEN REVIEWING STUDIES 24 AND APPLYING THE BRADFORD HILL CRITERIA. 25 26 THE QUESTION IS HOW DID THEY EXERCISE THE 27 JUDGMENT? HOW CAN IT BE REPLICATED? DID THEY EXERCISE

ARSENIC AND MERCURY CAUSES THOSE DISORDERS, NOT SOME

OTHER NEUROLOGICAL EFFECT.

28

1

2

IT IN A WAY THAT THERE AREN'T ANALYTICAL GAPS AND LEAPS

1 OF LOGIC?

2 THAT'S WHAT THIS MOTION AND THIS HEARING IS 3 ABOUT.

AND THERE'S A REASON FOR THAT. 4 WE CITED TO, YOUR HONOR, IN THE BRIEFS MANY, 5 MANY COURTS HAVE EXCLUDED BRADFORD HILL ANALYZES. 6 THIS 7 COMES UP QUITE FREQUENTLY IN COMPLEX MEDICAL CAUSATION 8 LITIGATION WHERE EPIDEMIOLOGISTS COME IN PURPORTING TO 9 APPLY A BRADFORD HILL METHOD, AND THE COURTS -- MANY 10 COURTS HAVE SAID, THESE ARE TWO EXAMPLES, YOU REALLY 11 HAVE TO SCRUTINIZE THAT, BECAUSE AS YOU SAW FROM THE 12 BRIEFS AND MR. WISNER'S PRESENTATION, THERE ARE THESE 13 NINE FACTORS, AND IT'S NOT A CHECKLIST. WE AGREE WITH 14 THAT, THAT THE METHOD DOESN'T TELL YOU, WELL, IF THE SIX 15 ARE PRESENT AND THREE AREN'T, THEN THERE'S CAUSATION. OR VICE VERSA. THAT'S NOT WHAT IT'S ABOUT. 16

BUT BECAUSE IT'S NOT ABOUT THAT, COURTS HAVE BEEN VERY RIGOROUS IN MAKING SURE THAT THE EXPERTS AREN'T REDEFINING THE FACTORS. THEY AREN'T -- OR THEY EXPLAINED HOW THEY WEIGHTED THE FACTORS. THEY EXPLAIN HOW THEY REACHED THE CONCLUSION ABOUT ANY FACTOR BECAUSE, OTHERWISE, YOU HAVE A CONCLUSION-ORIENTED SELECTION PROCESS AS THIS ONE COURT SAID.

SO LET ME START WITH, I WANT TO TALK ABOUT
REALLY JUST IN THE OPENING, TWO OF WHAT WE THINK ARE THE
MOST IMPORTANT BRADFORD HILL FACTORS AS IT RELATES TO
THIS BODY OF LITERATURE, AND THE FIRST ONE IS
TEMPORALITY.

TEMPORALITY IS THE ONE FACTOR, THE ONLY
 BRADFORD HILL FACTOR YOU ABSOLUTELY MUST HAVE IN ORDER
 TO CONCLUDE CAUSATION. IT MUST BE PRESENT, AND OF
 COURSE THAT'S COMMON SENSE.
 THIS IS FROM THE REFERENCE MANUAL DISCUSSION OF

6 THE TEMPORALITY FACTOR.

7 8 "IF AN EXPOSURE IS GOING TO CAUSE AN OUTCOME, IT HAS TO PRECEDE THE OUTCOME."

9 YOU HAVE TO HAVE STUDIES THAT SHOW AND THAT ARE
10 CAPABLE OF SHOWING THAT IN THIS CASE EXPOSURE TO THESE
11 HEAVY METALS OCCURRED BEFORE THE DEVELOPMENT OF AUTISM
12 OR BEFORE THE DEVELOPMENT OF ADHD, AND THAT'S AN
13 ABSOLUTELY REQUIRED THRESHOLD SHOWING.

AND THAT IS THE MAIN PROBLEM HERE. 14 THAT IS THE 15 MAIN PROBLEM WITH THE BODY OF STUDIES THAT MR. WISNER 16 REFERRED TO. HE SAID THERE'S HUNDREDS OF STUDIES. Т 17 DON'T KNOW IF THERE'S HUNDREDS. THERE'S QUITE A FEW 18 STUDIES, AND THEY GO IN ALL DIFFERENT DIRECTIONS, WHICH 19 IS ANOTHER ISSUE, BUT THE BIGGEST PROBLEM IS WHAT ARE 20 THE TYPE OF STUDIES?

IN THE REFERENCE MANUAL, THIS COMES FROM IT AS 21 WELL, THERE'S A HIERARCHY OF HUMAN CLINICAL EVIDENCE, AN 22 23 ESTABLISHED HIERARCHY THAT EVERYONE, PLAINTIFF'S EXPERTS INCLUDED, AGREES WITH. YOU HAVE AT THE TOP OF THE 24 HIERARCHY THE THING THAT TELLS YOU THE MOST, THE MOST 25 26 RELIABLE, CLINICAL TRIALS LIKE IN, RIGHT, LIKE IN A 27 PHARMACEUTICAL CONTEXT. IF YOU GIVE ONE GROUP THE DRUG 28 AND THE OTHER A PLACEBO AND YOU SEE WHAT HAPPENS GOING

FORWARD. WE DON'T HAVE THAT HERE. YOU COULD HAVE THAT,
 RIGHT, THERE COULD BE -- THERE ARE NO RANDOMIZED
 CLINICAL TRIALS. YOU COULD HAVE -- LOOK AT SOME KIDS
 WHO EAT COMMERCIALLY MANUFACTURED BABY FOOD AND SOME WHO
 DON'T AND FOLLOW THEM, RIGHT, THAT WOULD BE A RANDOMIZED
 CLINICAL TRIAL. WE DON'T HAVE THAT HERE.

7 WHAT THE PLAINTIFF'S EXPERTS ARE RELYING ON IS
8 THAT MIDDLE LEVEL OF EVIDENCE, OBSERVATIONAL STUDIES,
9 ALSO KNOWN AS EPIDEMIOLOGICAL STUDIES, BUT IMPORTANTLY,
10 THERE ARE THREE KINDS, AND THESE ARE THE THREE KINDS;
11 COHORT STUDIES, CASE CONTROL STUDIES, CROSS-SECTIONAL
12 STUDIES.

13 THERE ARE VERY, VERY FEW COHORT STUDIES IN THIS
14 -- THAT THE PLAINTIFF'S EXPERTS RELY ON, AND THAT'S
15 IMPORTANT BECAUSE THE COHORT STUDIES ARE THE ONES THAT
16 GIVE YOU TEMPORALITY.

17 A COHORT STUDY BRIEFLY IS SIMPLE. IT'S YOU LOOK AT A GROUP OF PEOPLE, YOU MEASURE THEIR LEVEL OF 18 19 EXPOSURE IN THIS CASE, LET'S SAY LEAD, YOU MEASURE THE LEVEL OF LEAD, AND THEN YOU FOLLOW THAT GROUP, THE 20 21 COHORT, GOING FORWARD AND USUALLY FOR YEARS AND YOU SEE 22 DO THEY DEVELOP, IN THIS CASE, LET'S SAY, AUTISM. AND 23 THEN YOU LOOK, AND IF THERE'S DIFFERENCES BETWEEN THE KIDS WHO DEVELOPED AUTISM AND THE KIDS WHO DIDN'T IN THE 24 LEAD LEVELS THAT WERE MEASURED BEFORE THE AUTISM 25 26 DEVELOPED, THEN YOU MIGHT HAVE SOMETHING THAT YOU CAN 27 LOOK AT.

28

WE DON'T HAVE THAT, LARGELY SPEAKING, HERE.

THERE ARE A FEW SUCH STUDIES THAT I'LL TALK ABOUT. I
 WOULD SAY 95 PERCENT OF THE STUDIES THAT THE PLAINTIFF'S
 EXPERTS RELY ON ARE CASE CONTROL AND CROSS-SECTIONAL
 STUDIES.

5 AND WHAT IS THE PROBLEM WITH THOSE? THE 6 PROBLEM IS THAT, WITH SOME RARE EXCEPTIONS, YOU CANNOT 7 ESTABLISH TEMPORALITY. AND I'M GOING TO SHOW YOU WHY 8 THAT IS WITH THIS SIMPLE HYPOTHETICAL -- NOT 9 HYPOTHETICAL -- AN ACTUAL EXAMPLE.

10 WE PICKED ONE STUDY THAT THE PLAINTIFF'S IT'S A CASE CONTROLLED STUDY CALLED 11 EXPERTS RELY ON. 12 AL-AYADHI WHERE THEY MEASURED LEAD LEVELS IN CHILDREN 13 WHO HAD ASD VERSUS KIDS WHO DIDN'T. AND HERE'S THE DOWN AT THE AXIS, THIS IS THE AGE OF THE 14 PROBLEM. 15 CHILD. WE KNOW THAT THE DISEASE OCCURS BEFORE AGE EVERYONE AGREES THAT AUTISM OCCURS -- WE THINK 16 THREE. 17 IT OCCURS A LOT EARLIER, THE PLAINTIFF'S EXPERTS SAY IT CAN OCCUR AT AGES TWO OR THREE. IT OCCURS IN THE EARLY 18 19 CHILDHOOD YEARS.

AND SO WHAT THIS STUDY DID IS THEY LOOKED AT KIDS WHO WERE DIAGNOSED WITH AUTISM AND KIDS WHO WEREN'T AND THEY CREATED A CASE AND A CONTROL, RIGHT. THE CASE IS THE KIDS WITH AUTISM. THE CONTROL IS THE KIDS WITHOUT.

AND THEN THEY MEASURED THE EXPOSURE, AND AGAIN,
THIS IS ONE EXAMPLE, THIS IS TRUE IN ALMOST ALL CASE
CONTROL STUDIES, YEARS AFTER THE DEVELOPMENT OF AUTISM,
YEARS AFTER THE DEVELOPMENT, THEY MEASURED -- IN THIS

43

CASE THEY MEASURED HAIR, BUT IT COULD BE ANY BIOMARKER.
 THE AVERAGE AGE AT MEASUREMENT WAS NINE -- ALMOST NINE
 YEARS OLD, AND THEY FOUND THAT KIDS WITH AUTISM HAD
 HIGHER -- IN THIS STUDY -- HAD HIGHER LEVELS OF LEAD IN
 THEIR HAIR THAN KIDS WHO DIDN'T.

6 YOU SEE THE PROBLEM, HOW YOU CANNOT ESTABLISH 7 THE TEMPORALITY, LET ALONE CAUSATION THERE? YOU'VE GOT 8 THIS GAP OF FIVE AND A HALF YEARS, OR WHATEVER IT IS 9 BETWEEN, WHEN THE KIDS ACTUALLY GOT AUTISM AND WHEN 10 THEIR LEVELS OF LEAD WERE MEASURED AND THAT RAISES THE 11 POSSIBILITY OF WHAT EPIDEMIOLOGISTS CALL REVERSE 12 CAUSATION.

AND REVERSE CAUSATION MEANS IT'S NOT THE
EXPOSURE THAT CAUSED THE DISEASE, IT'S THE DISEASE THAT
CAUSED THE EXPOSURE. AND THAT IS A FATAL PROBLEM WITH
RELYING ON THESE CASE CONTROL AND CROSS-SECTIONAL
STUDIES IN THIS CASE.

AND THE PLAINTIFF'S EXPERTS ACKNOWLEDGE IT'S A 18 19 PROBLEM, THIS REVERSE CAUSATION. AND IT'S NOT 20 THEORETICAL. I SAY THAT BECAUSE THE POPULATION, 21 CHILDREN WITH AUTISM, ARE DIFFERENT. THEY HAVE CERTAIN 22 CHARACTERISTICS ABOUT THEM THAT INCREASE THE LEVELS OF 23 METALS IN THEIR BIOMARKERS, A MASSIVE NUMBER OF CHILDREN HAVE PICA WHO HAVE AUTISM. PICA MEANS YOU EAT THINGS 24 THAT AREN'T FOOD, LIKE DIRT OR PAINT CHIPS OR TOYS THAT 25 26 HAVE LEAD IN THEM.

27 AND SO IF YOU FIND THAT AGE 8.8 YEARS THAT SOME 28 OF THE ASD KIDS HAVE HIGHER LEVELS OF LEAD THAN THE KIDS 1 WHO DON'T, THEY COULD -- WHAT IF THEY HAD PICA? Α DECREASED ABILITY TO METABOLIZE METALS. 2 THERE'S SOMETHING THE RESEARCH SUGGEST GENETICALLY DIFFERENT 3 ABOUT KIDS WITH AUTISM THAT THEY HAVE THE SAME LEVEL OF 4 EXPOSURE AS KIDS WITHOUT BUT THEY CAN'T METABOLIZE THE 5 METALS AS OUICKLY, AND SO WHEN YOU MEASURE THE METALS, 6 7 THERE'S HIGHER -- THERE MIGHT BE HIGHER THAN IN KIDS 8 WITHOUT AUTISM.

AND NUTRITIONAL DEFICITS. KIDS WITH AUTISM
HAVE IDIOSYNCRATIC EATING HABITS WHERE THEY OFTEN DON'T
GET ZINC, CALCIUM, IRON, THE VITAMINS AND MINERALS THAT
DO MANY THINGS, BUT AMONG THE THINGS THEY DO IS HELP
EXCRETE METALS FROM THE BODY.

AND THIS AUTHOR OF THIS VERY STUDY THAT THE
PLAINTIFFS RELY ON RAISED THIS PROPERLY. HERE'S THE
QUOTE FROM THE ARTICLE:

17 "THE POSSIBLE EXPLANATION FOR THESE RESULTS IS
18 THAT AUTISTIC CHILDREN MIGHT LACK THE ABILITY
19 TO DETOXIFY TOXINS."

20THAT IS THE PROBLEM THAT IS SEEN IN ALL THESE21CASE CONTROL STUDIES AND WHY TEMPORALITY CAN'T BE SHOWN.

THERE ARE, AS I SAID, YOUR HONOR, SOME STUDIES
WHERE TEMPORALITY CAN BE SHOWN, AND AS WE'LL SHOW
THROUGH THIS HEARING, ALMOST ALL OF THE STUDIES WHERE
TEMPORALITY CAN BE SHOWN, THERE'S NO ASSOCIATION BETWEEN
EXPOSURE TO THE METALS AND AUTISM.

27THE COURT:LET ME INTERRUPT YOU FOR ONE28SECOND.

1 MR. PETROSINELLI: YES. 2 THE COURT: MY ASSISTANT TELLS ME THAT A 3 GENTLEMAN NAMED CHRISTIAN JOHNSON IS SIGNED INTO L.A. 4 COURT CONNECT AND ASKING FOR THE ZOOM LINK. DOES 5 ANYBODY KNOW WHO THIS PERSON IS? 6 MR. PETROSINELLI: I DO NOT KNOW, NO. 7 MR. WISNER: OUR SIDE EITHER, YOUR HONOR, THAT 8 I'M AWARE OF. 9 THE COURT: HE SAID HE'S A MEMBER OF THE 10 GENERAL PUBLIC. 11 MR. WISNER: OKAY. 12 THE COURT: WELL, I THINK WHAT YOU CAN TELL HIM 13 IS THAT IF HE WISHES TO ATTEND, HE CAN --14 MR. WISNER: IF HE SENDS AN E-MAIL TO ME, YOUR 15 HONOR, I CAN HAVE A LINK SENT TO HIM THAT HE CAN LISTEN 16 IN ON. I DON'T WANT TO SEND A LINK TO 17 THE COURT: SOMEBODY I DON'T KNOW AND WHO HASN'T SIGNED A ORDER 18 19 BECAUSE I COULD DO THAT. THAT'S NOT THE PROBLEM. MR. WISNER: 20 FAIR ENOUGH. WE CAN SWOOP HIM INTO ZOOM. 21 THE COURT: THE 2.2 OUESTION IS WHO IS HE? IS HE GOING TO RECORD? IΤ 23 DOESN'T MEAN ANYTHING TO ANY OF THE LAWYERS, I GATHER, 24 THIS NAME CHRISTIAN JOHNSON, A MEMBER OF THE PUBLIC? 25 MR. WISNER: NO, YOUR HONOR. 26 MR. PETROSINELLI: NOT TO US, YOUR HONOR. 27 THE COURT: OKAY. I CANNOT BE SIMULTANEOUSLY 28 ON ZOOM AND L.A. COURT CONNECT BECAUSE OF THE CAMERA

HOOKUP. WHAT I CAN DO IS LET THIS PERSON -- LET ME JUST
THINK THIS THROUGH. THE SOLUTION TO THIS PROBLEM HAS
BEEN TO WELCOME PEOPLE TO COME INTO OUR COURTROOM AND
SIT AND LISTEN, SO I COULD WELCOME HIM TO COME INTO MY
COURTROOM AND SIT AND LISTEN VIA TELEPHONE IF HE WISHES.
HE'S NOT LOCAL.

7 MR. WISNER: YOUR HONOR, WE DO HAVE A LINK THAT 8 IS AVAILABLE. THEY CAN'T -- THEY DON'T HAVE TO SPEAK OR 9 INTERRUPT THE PROCEEDINGS, BUT THEY CAN LISTEN TO WHAT'S 10 HAPPENING, AND PROVIDED HE AGREES TO NOT RECORD, THAT 11 COULD PROBABLY SOLVE THE PROBLEM.

THE COURT: OKAY.

12

24

13 SO, TERESA, TELL HIM THAT WE WILL BRING HIM INTO THE ZOOM AS AN OBSERVER, BUT HE HAS TO SIGN OFF ON 14 15 THE ORDER THAT I SIGNED THIS MORNING AND THEN E-MAIL IT TO YOU OR SOMETHING OR TO ALFREDO. SO ALFREDO WILL KNOW 16 17 THE ORDER, I SIGNED IT, LIKE, YOU KNOW, 8:30 THIS MORNING OR SOMETHING. AND SO IF HE WILL CONSENT TO 18 19 FOLLOWING THE RULE OF NO RECORDING, NO VIDEOTAPING, ET 20 CETERA, THEN ONCE I HAVE A COPY OF THAT SIGNED, I WILL 21 ASK PLAINTIFF'S COUNSEL TO SHARE THE LINK WITH HIM THAT 22 IS OBSERVATION ONLY, OKAY. 23 MR. WISNER: PERFECT.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.

MR. PETROSINELLI: SHALL I PROCEED, YOUR HONOR?
THE COURT: YES, PLEASE. SORRY ABOUT THAT.
MR. PETROSINELLI: I'M JUST ABOUT DONE. I'M
JUST GOING TO WRAP UP SOON.

1 I WANTED TO TALK ABOUT ONE OTHER BRADFORD HILL 2 FACTOR, I TOLD YOU THERE WERE TWO THAT WE THOUGHT WERE 3 PARTICULARLY IMPORTANT HERE. THE OTHER ONE IS CONSISTENCY, AND THIS IS THE DEFINITION IN THE REFERENCE 4 MANUAL, AND IT'S A DEFINITION THAT IS A COMMON SENSE 5 DEFINITION; THAT YOU JUST LOOK AT ALL OF THE STUDIES 6 7 THAT ARE OUT THERE ON A PARTICULAR TOPIC, EXPOSURE AND 8 THEN OUTCOME, AND YOU SEE ARE THE RESULTS THE SAME OR 9 SIMILAR, CONSISTENT IN DIFFERENT POPULATIONS, DIFFERENT 10 INVESTIGATORS, DIFFERENT STUDY DESIGNS WITH EACH OTHER? IN THIS CASE WOULD THEY SHOW A CONSISTENTLY POSITIVE 11 12 ASSOCIATION?

13 AND WE KNOW WHAT THE ANSWER HERE IS BECAUSE, AGAIN, HERE ARE THE STUDY AUTHORS, THE AUTHORS OF THE 14 15 VERY STUDIES ON WHICH PLAINTIFF'S EXPERTS RELY, THIS IS 16 WHAT THEY SAY ABOUT CONSISTENCY TIME AND AGAIN, THEY 17 LOOK BACK WHEN THEY ARE LOOKING BACK AT THE LITERATURE, THEY SAY, INCONSISTENT, INCONCLUSIVE, MIXED RESULTS, 18 19 CONTRADICTORY EVIDENCE, THESE ARE THE STUDIES THAT THE PLAINTIFF'S EXPERTS RELY ON, AND THEY SAY THE LITERATURE 20 21 IS ALL OVER THE MAP ON THIS.

BY THE WAY, THAT'S WHY, LIKE I SHOWED YOU AT
THE BEGINNING, NO GOVERNMENT AGENCY OR REGULATORY
ORGANIZATION OR MEDICAL ORGANIZATION HAS CONCLUDED
CAUSATION BECAUSE THE RESULTS ARE NOT CONSISTENT.

AND SO YOU MIGHT SAY, WELL, HOW COULD THE
PLAINTIFF'S EXPERTS HAVE CONCLUDED THIS BRADFORD HILL
FACTOR IS SATISFIED?

1 AND THE REASON IS PRETTY SIMPLE. THEY 2 REDEFINED THE FACTOR. I DEPOSED DR. RITZ. I ASKED HER, 3 TELL ME HOW YOU DEFINE CONSISTENCY. THIS IS WHAT SHE 4 SAID. "IT'S CONSISTENT WITH THE EXPECTATION WITH 5 RESPECT TO WHAT I THINK THE ANSWER SHOULD BE IF 6 7 THIS OR THAT, WHAT I KNOW, WHAT I DON'T KNOW." 8 THEN I ASKED HER AGAIN LATER IN THE DEPOSITION 9 TO GIVE HER ANOTHER CHANCE JUST TO BE SURE, AND SHE 10 SAID: "IT'S NOT CONSISTENCY OF STUDY RESULTS, IT'S 11 12 NOT CONSISTENCY OF STUDY RESULTS." WHICH OF COURSE IT IS. THAT IS THE BRADFORD 13 IT'S CONSISTENCY OF WHAT YOU WOULD EXPECT. 14 HILL FACTOR. 15 AND YOU CAN SEE, YOUR HONOR, THAT IF THAT IS 16 THE DEFINITION OF CONSISTENCY THAT ONE IS APPLYING, IT 17 WILL ALWAYS BE SATISFIED BECAUSE YOU'LL ALWAYS SAY, I EXPECT A CERTAIN THING, AND IF I SEE A STUDY THAT SHOWS 18 19 ANOTHER RESULT, WELL, THEN I CAN DISMISS THAT STUDY AS 20 PART OF MY CONSISTENCY ANALYSIS. THAT IS NOT -- THAT IS THE OPPOSITE OF SOUND 21 SCIENCE. IT'S NOT WHAT EXPERTS IN THE FIELD DO. 22 IT'S 23 NOT WHAT ANYONE DOES WHEN APPLYING THE BRADFORD HILL FACTORS. 24 AND SO, YOUR HONOR, THIS IS WHAT I WANT TO 25 26 LEAVE WITH, THIS QUOTE, WHICH WE CITED IN OUR BRIEF, 27 WHICH HAS BEEN REPEATED DOZENS AND DOZENS OF TIMES, I 28 THINK IT ORIGINATED WITH THIS 7TH CIRCUIT CASE, WHICH IS 49

THAT "LAW MUST LAG SCIENCE, NOT THE OTHER WAY AROUND."
 AND THAT IS ESPECIALLY -- IT'S IMPORTANT IN
 EVERY CASE, BUT HERE THE AUTISM COMMUNITY HAS BEEN DOWN
 THIS ROAD BEFORE.

5 WE CITED THE CASES, YOUR HONOR, IN THE BRIEF 6 WHERE SEVERAL YEARS AGO SOME RESEARCHERS SAID MERCURY 7 THAT WAS IN VACCINES CAUSED AUTISM AND THAT WAS WIDELY 8 DISSEMINATED IN THE PUBLIC AND THE INTERNET AND IT WAS 9 ALL OVER THE PLACE.

10 AND THEN PLAINTIFFS' LAWYERS STARTED FILING LAWSUITS ON BEHALF OF THAT SCIENCE CLAIMING THAT 11 12 CHILDREN HAD AUTISM BECAUSE MERCURY WAS IN VACCINES. 13 AND HAPPILY, AS YOU SEE FROM THE CASES WE'VE CITED, THE COURTS FOUND THAT TESTIMONY TO BE SCIENTIFICALLY 14 15 UNRELIABLE, DID NOT PASS MUSTER UNDER DAUBERT, IF THEY WERE FEDERAL COURT CASES, AND THERE ARE SEVERAL STATE 16 COURT CASES. AND THAT'S GOOD. BUT UNFORTUNATELY, THAT 17 TOOK A WHILE AND THAT HURT PUBLIC HEALTH. 18

19 THERE ARE PARENTS IN THIS COUNTRY WHO READ
20 ABOUT THIS AND STOPPED VACCINATING THEIR CHILDREN. THAT
21 IS WHY IT'S SO IMPORTANT HERE, PARTICULARLY IN THE
22 CONTEXT OF AUTISM WHEN THE COMMUNITY IS SO DESPERATE TO
23 FIND OUT WHAT THE CAUSES ARE BUT NEEDS TO BE CAREFUL
24 ABOUT WHAT THE CAUSES ARE.

"LAW MUST LAG SCIENCE."

25

AND HERE THE PLAINTIFF'S EXPERTS BY WHAT THEY
HAVE DONE, THE ANALYTICAL GAPS AND THE DATA AND THE
CONCLUSIONS THEY DRAW FROM IT, THE LEAPS OF LOGIC THEY

1 ARE ASKING LAW TO LEAD SCIENCE, AND THAT IS NOT WHAT SHOULD HAPPEN, AND THAT IS WHY THEIR OPINIONS SHOULD BE 2 3 EXCLUDED UNDER SARGON. THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. 4 5 THE COURT: THANKS VERY MUCH. OKAY. MR. WISNER, THE BALL'S BACK IN YOUR 6 7 COURT. 8 MR. WISNER: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. I'M GOING 9 TO HAVE MR. ESFANDIARY TAKE THE LEAD WITH DR. SHAPIRO AND THEN I'M GOING TO GO MAKE SURE DR. SHAPIRO'S ROOM IS 10 11 WORKING. I'LL BE RIGHT BACK. 12 THE COURT: OKAY. GOOD MORNING. I'M JUDGE HOGUE. IT'S NICE TO 13 MEET YOU. 14 15 THE WITNESS: NICE TO MEET YOU AS WELL. MR. ESFANDIARY: DR. SHAPIRO, ARE YOU READY? 16 17 THE WITNESS: YES, MR. ESFANDIARY, I AM READY. MR. ESFANDIARY: YOUR HONOR, WITH YOUR 18 19 PERMISSION, I CAN PROCEED? 20 THE COURT: PLEASE. 21 MR. ESFANDIARY: ALL RIGHT. 2.2 23 DIRECT EXAMINATION 24 MR. ESFANDIARY: GOOD MORNING, DOCTOR. 25 Q 26 Α GOOD MORNING. 27 HOW ARE YOU THIS MORNING? Q 28 А VERY WELL. THANK YOU.

1 Q GOOD. GOOD.

2 AND, DOCTOR, CAN YOU PLEASE INTRODUCE YOURSELF 3 BRIEFLY TO THE COURT AND WHAT IT IS THAT YOU CURRENTLY 4 DO. MY NAME IS KEVIN SHAPIRO. I'M A CHILD 5 Α YEAH. NEUROLOGIST. 6 MY --7 THE COURT: JUST A MOMENT. JUST ONE MOMENT, 8 PLEASE. MY CLERK IS REMINDING US WE NEED TO SWEAR THE 9 WITNESS. SO YOU'LL HEAR MY CLERK IN A MOMENT TO SWEAR 10 11 YOU IN, DOCTOR. THANK YOU. 12 THE WITNESS: OF COURSE. THE COURT: WE HAVE SO MUCH TROUBLE 13 COMMUNICATING AND HE'S WEARING A MASK. 14 I CAN'T HEAR THE LUXURY OF ZOOM IS THERE'S NO MASKS. 15 HIM. 16 I DON'T THINK HE CAN HEAR YOU, ALFREDO. DID 17 YOU WANT TO COME IN HERE? THE CLERK: 18 YES. 19 THE COURT: HE'LL HEAR YOU. JUST STAND OVER 20 THERE. NO PROBLEM. 21 MR. SHAPIRO, CAN YOU PLEASE RAISE THE CLERK: 2.2 YOUR RIGHT HAND. DO YOU SOLEMNLY STATE THAT THE TESTIMONY YOU 23 MAY GIVE IN THE CAUSE NOW PENDING BEFORE THIS COURT 24 SHALL BE THE TRUTH, THE WHOLE TRUTH, AND NOTHING BUT THE 25 26 TRUTH SO HELP YOU GOD? 27 THE WITNESS: I DO. 28 THE CLERK: PLEASE SPELL YOUR FIRST AND LAST

52

1 NAME FOR THE RECORD.

2 THE WITNESS: K-E-V-I-N IS MY FIRST NAME. LAST 3 NAME IS S-H-A-P-I-R-O. 4 THE CLERK: THANK YOU. ALL RIGHT. GO AHEAD, COUNSEL. 5 THE COURT: 6 MR. ESFANDIARY: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. 7 Q SO LET'S START AGAIN, DOCTOR. 8 CAN YOU PLEASE BRIEFLY INTRODUCE YOURSELF TO 9 THE COURT AND WHAT IT IS THAT YOU CURRENTLY DO. 10 Α SURE. AS I SAID, MY NAME IS KEVIN SHAPIRO. 11 I AM 12 CURRENTLY -- I CURRENTLY WORK AT A ORGANIZATION CALLED 13 CORTICA, WHICH IS A HEALTHCARE PROVIDER FOR CHILDREN WITH AUTISM AND OTHER NEURODEVELOPMENTAL DISABILITIES, 14 15 AND I'M MEDICAL DIRECTOR FOR OUR LOS ANGELES AREA SITES, 16 OF WHICH THERE ARE CURRENTLY THREE, AND ALSO HEAD OF 17 RESEARCH FOR THE ORGANIZATION AS A WHOLE. THANK YOU, DOCTOR. 18 Q 19 AND TO HELP ORIENT US IN OUR DISCUSSION TODAY, 20 I'D JUST LIKE TO PRESENT THIS ROADMAP IF IT WILL POP UP. 21 THERE IT IS. 2.2 SO, DOCTOR, WE'RE GOING TO START OFF BY 23 DISCUSSING YOUR QUALIFICATIONS AND BACKGROUND, AND WE'RE 24 GOING TO PROCEED TO DISCUSSING WITH WHAT IS ASD THAT WE'RE HERE TO TALK ABOUT, AND THEN WE'RE GOING TO FINISH 25 26 OFF WITH THE BIOLOGICAL PLAUSIBILITY OPINION; OKAY? 27 Α OKAY. 28 THANK YOU. 0

1 NOW --2 THE COURT: LET ME INTERRUPT YOU, COUNSEL, FOR 3 ONE SECOND. 4 YES, YOUR HONOR. MR. ESFANDIARY: IS THERE ANY DISPUTE AS TO THE 5 THE COURT: OUALIFICATIONS OF ANY OF THE PLAINTIFF'S EXPERTS? 6 Ι'Μ 7 WONDERING IF WE CAN GET THROUGH HIS BACKGROUND. 8 THAT OUESTION IS FOR THE DEFENDANTS. 9 MR. ESFANDIARY: IT WILL BE VERY BRIEF, YOUR 10 HONOR. I WOULDN'T EXPECT IT TO TAKE MORE THAN TWO TO 11 THREE MINUTES. 12 THE COURT: OKAY. THAT'S FINE. 13 MR. IMBROSCIO: THAT'S FINE BY US. WE'RE NOT MAKING AN EXPRESS QUALIFICATION CHALLENGE, ALTHOUGH I DO 14 15 THINK SOME OF DR. SHAPIRO'S QUALIFICATIONS WILL BE 16 RELEVANT AS THE COURT CONSIDERS THE BROADER ISSUES AND 17 WE'LL BE VERY BRIEF AS WELL. 18 THE COURT: NO PROBLEM. I MEAN, I HAVE READ THE EXPERT REPORTS SO I'VE SEEN THEIR QUALIFICATIONS. 19 20 THANK YOU. 21 GO AHEAD, COUNSEL. GO AHEAD. 22 MR. ESFANDIARY: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. 23 Q DOCTOR, VERY BRIEFLY. YOU ARE IN FACT A DOCTOR; CORRECT? 24 CORRECT. 25 Α 26 0 AND YOU'RE A CHILD NEUROLOGIST; IS THAT RIGHT? 27 THAT'S RIGHT, YES. Α 28 Q OKAY.

1	AND PART OF YOUR WORK CURRENTLY AS A DOCTOR, DO
2	YOU TREAT CHILDREN WITH AUTISM?
3	A I WOULD SAY THAT'S THE BULK OF MY CURRENT
4	CLINICAL WORK.
5	Q YOU ALSO DIAGNOSE CHILDREN WITH AUTISM?
6	A THAT'S RIGHT.
7	Q OKAY.
8	SO WHERE DID YOU RECEIVE YOUR MEDICAL DEGREE,
9	DOCTOR?
10	A I RECEIVED MY MEDICAL DEGREE FROM HARVARD
11	MEDICAL SCHOOL.
12	Q AND YOUR PH.D.?
13	A ALSO FROM HARVARD UNIVERSITY.
14	Q AND, DOCTOR, WHAT WAS THE FOCUS OF YOUR PH.D.
15	THESIS?
16	A MY PH.D. WAS FOCUSED ON BRAIN MECHANISMS
17	IMPORTANT FOR LANGUAGE PLASTICITY.
18	Q AND DO YOU HAVE ANY EXPERIENCE CONDUCTING
19	RESEARCH IN THE FIELD, EITHER EPIDEMIOLOGICAL RESEARCH
20	OR CLINICAL RESEARCH, AS IT PERTAINS TO ASD AND OTHER
21	NEUROLOGICAL DEFICITS IN CHILDREN?
22	A I HAVE NOT WELL, I HAVE A LIMITED EXPERIENCE
23	WITH THE EPIDEMIOLOGY RESEARCH. I'VE BEEN INVOLVED IN
24	THE INTERNATIONAL PEDIATRIC STROKE STUDY, WHICH IS A
25	LARGE STUDY LOOKING AT THE DEMOGRAPHIC AND EPIDEMIOLOGIC
26	RISK FACTORS FOR STROKE IN CHILDREN.
27	I HAVE BEEN INVOLVED IN CLINICAL RESEARCH IN
28	THE ORIGIN OF NEUROCOGNITIVE ISSUES AND I'M CURRENTLY
	55

55

1 ENGAGED IN TWO CLINICAL TRIALS THAT ARE LOOKING AT NOVEL TREATMENT FOR SYMPTOMS OF AUTISM. 2 AND, DOCTOR, WHERE DID YOU DO YOUR RESIDENCY? 3 0 Α I DID A RESIDENCY IN PEDIATRICS AT BOSTON'S 4 5 CHILDRENS HOSPITAL AND RESIDENCY IN NEUROLOGY AND CHILD NEUROLOGY AT MASSACHUSETTS GENERAL HOSPITAL AND BRIGHAM 6 7 YOUNG'S HOSPITAL. 8 AND I UNDERSTAND AT SOMETIME YOU WERE OVER AT 0 9 UCSF; IS THAT CORRECT? 10 Α THAT'S CORRECT. AFTER COMPLETING RESIDENCY, I COMPLETED A FELLOWSHIP IN VASCULAR NEUROLOGY AT UCSF AND 11 12 WAS ON THE FACULTY THERE FOR A COUPLE OF YEARS 13 AFTERWARDS. VERY GOOD. 14 0 15 NOW, DOCTOR, I WANT TO GET INTO YOUR PRIMARY 16 OPINIONS IN THIS MATTER, SPECIFICALLY AS IT PERTAINS TO 17 ASD. AND TO HELP US DO THAT, I'D LIKE TO SHOW YOU A 18 19 DOCUMENT. AND THIS IS EXHIBIT 137. 20 AND AS THE COURT CAN SEE THIS IS A DOCUMENT 21 TITLED "AUTISM SPECTRUM DISORDER AND THE ENVIRONMENT." CAN YOU SEE THAT, DOCTOR? 22 23 Α YES, I CAN. AND IT'S A PUBLICATION I OBTAINED FROM THE 24 0 NATIONAL INSTITUTES OF ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH SCIENCES. 25 26 CAN YOU SEE THAT? 27 YES. Α DOCTOR, HAVE YOU HAD A CHANCE TO REVIEW THIS 28 0

1 DOCUMENT?

2

5

A I HAVE LOOKED AT THAT DOCUMENT.

3 Q AND DO YOU CONSIDER THE NIH A TRUSTED 4 INSTITUTION?

A I THINK THAT'S FAIR TO SAY, YES.

6 Q AND WHY IS THAT, DOCTOR? WHY IS THE NIH A7 TRUSTED INSTITUTION, CONSIDERED A TRUSTED INSTITUTION?

8 A WELL, I -- I THINK THAT NIH SORT OF REPRESENTS
9 THE SCIENTIFIC CONSENSUS ACROSS VARIOUS FIELDS OF STUDY.

NOW, HAVING SAID THAT, THERE ARE VARIOUS -- NIH
IS NATIONAL INSTITUTES OF HEALTH, WHICH MEANS THAT IT
COMPRISES SEVERAL INSTITUTES THAT ARE, YOU KNOW,
FUNCTIONALLY SOMEWHAT INDEPENDENT OF EACH OTHER, BUT
EACH OF THOSE INSTITUTES IS SORT OF CHARGED WITH THE
DEVELOPMENT OF SCIENCE WITHIN A PARTICULAR FIELD.

SO IN THIS CASE, IT'S THE INSTITUTE OF
ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH SCIENCES, AND THEY ARE PARTICULARLY
FOCUSED ON LOOKING AT ENVIRONMENTAL CONTRIBUTIONS TO
HEALTH.

Q AND, DOCTOR, I JUST WANT TO ORIENT US HERE.

21 I WANT TO CALL OUT VERY BRIEFLY THAT THIS WAS A 22 PUBLICATION FROM APRIL OF 2019.

23

20

CAN YOU SEE THAT?

24 A I SEE THAT.

Q OKAY. NOW, LET'S DELVE INTO -- OH, SORRY -LET'S DELVE INTO SOME OF THE THINGS THAT THE NIH SAYS IN
THIS DOCUMENT.

28

LET'S HAVE --

Bryce Reporting Services (510)828-9404 - info@brycereporters.com

1	MR. IMBROSCIO: YOUR HONOR.
2	THE COURT: YES.
3	MR. IMBROSCIO: I'M SORRY TO INTERRUPT. I
4	GUESS I'M NOT SURE WHAT THE PURPOSE OF THIS IS. I THINK
5	WE'RE HERE TO GET DR. SHAPIRO'S OPINIONS, NOT TO HAVE
6	HIM READ A DOCUMENT FROM THE NIH. I'M JUST TRYING TO
7	UNDERSTAND WHAT THE WHAT'S GOING ON HERE.
8	THE COURT: IT'S ALSO HEARSAY, SO I HAVE A
9	CONCERN ABOUT IT AS WELL.
10	MR. ESFANDIARY: BUT, YOUR HONOR, I'M SIMPLY
11	USING THIS DOCUMENT TO GUIDE US, AND DR. SHAPIRO IS
12	GOING TO PROVIDE HIS OPINION KIND OF USING THIS DOCUMENT
13	AS A SPRINGBOARD AND IT IS SOMETHING THAT HE RELIED UPON
14	IN HIS REFERENCE LIST, AND AS YOU WELL KNOW, EXPERTS ARE
15	PERMITTED TO RELY UPON HEARSAY DOCUMENTS.
16	MR. IMBROSCIO: WELL, THAT'S
17	THE COURT: THEY ARE THEY ARE I'M SORRY,
18	COUNSEL. THEY ARE PERMITTED TO RELY, BUT IF IT'S
19	PRESENTED IN DIRECT TESTIMONY, IT'S HEARSAY; CERTAINLY
20	THE OTHER SIDE CAN BRING OUT PARTICULAR DOCUMENTS OR
21	STUDIES, AND THE WORDS IN THOSE DOCUMENTS OR STUDIES,
22	IT'S NOT HEARSAY ON CROSS EXAMINATION, BUT IT IS HEARSAY
23	ON DIRECT EXAMINATION.
24	MR. ESFANDIARY: I THINK I CAN
25	MR. IMBROSCIO: AND THERE'S ANOTHER PROBLEM
26	HERE, YOUR HONOR, IS THIS IS A DOCUMENT THAT WE
27	CONFIRMED IN THE DEPOSITION HE DID NOT REVIEW PRIOR TO
28	DOING HIS REPORT AND OFFERING HIS OPINIONS, IT'S A
	58

l

1 DOCUMENT THAT MR. ESFANDIARY SHOWED HIM A FEW DAYS BEFORE HIS DEPOSITION, SO IT'S ALL THE MORE REASON WE 2 THINK THAT LET'S JUST GET TO HIS OPINIONS AND MOVE 3 4 FORWARD. THAT IS JUST FACTUALLY UNTRUE, 5 MR. ESFANDIARY: AND DR. SHAPIRO SUBMITTED A PREFERENCE LIST, THIS 6 7 DOCUMENT WAS ON HIS REFERENCE LIST PRIOR -- BEFORE HE 8 WAS DEPOSED SO THIS IS COMPRISED AS PART OF HIS BUT, YOUR HONOR, IF IT WILL PLEASE THE COURT, 9 OPINIONS. 10 IF I CAN DO THIS WITHOUT DIRECT REFERENCE TO THE DOCUMENT, I HAVE NO PROBLEM WITH THAT. 11 12 THE COURT: THANK YOU. MR. ESFANDIARY: YES. 13 DR. SHAPIRO, IS IT FAIR TO CHARACTERIZE AUTISM 14 0 15 SPECTRUM DISORDER AS A DEVELOPMENTAL DISORDER THAT APPEARS IN THE EARLY LIFE PERIOD? 16 17 Α I WOULD SAY THAT'S FAIR, YES. NOW, WHAT COMPRISES THE EARLY LIFE PERIOD? 18 0 19 WELL, THE EARLY DEVELOPMENTAL PERIOD IS NOT Α 20 STRICTLY DEFINED, BUT IT'S THE PERIOD OF SORT OF BRAIN 21 MATURATION THAT SORT OF ENCOMPASSES LATE POST-NATAL --SORRY -- LATE PRENATAL/EARLY POST-NATAL DEVELOPMENT UP 22 23 UNTIL ABOUT TWO OR THREE YEARS OF AGE USUALLY. SO WHAT IT ENCOMPASSES ARE THE TIMES DURING 24 DEVELOPMENT WHERE THE BRAIN IS SORT OF ACTIVELY MATURING 25 26 AND THERE CONTINUE TO BE STRUCTURAL AND ANATOMICAL 27 CHANGES IN BRAIN NETWORKS. SO THERE ARE, YOU KNOW, VARIOUS SUCH PROCESSES 28

THAT UNFOLD OVER TIME, SO LARGELY IN THE PRENATAL
 PERIOD, YOU HAVE THE PROCESSES OF NEUROGENESIS OR THE
 FORMATION OF NEURONS, NEURONAL MIGRATION, SO THE BASIC
 FORMATION OF THE ARCHITECTURE OF BRAIN TISSUE.

5 BUT IN THE POST-NATAL PERIOD UP UNTIL AROUND 6 ROUGHLY TWO YEARS OF LIFE IS WHEN I SEE SYNAPTIC 7 CONNECTIVITY SYNAPTOGENESIS AND MAINTENANCE OF SYNAPSIS 8 OCCURS.

9 SO SYNAPSIS ARE THE CONNECTIONS BETWEEN BRAIN
10 CELLS AND THOSE CONNECTIONS REALLY MOSTLY GET FORMED
11 POST-NATALLY OR AT LEAST THOSE NETWORKS REALLY DEVELOP
12 AFTER BIRTH WITH ENVIRONMENTAL INPUT.

13QITHINK IT'S VERY IMPORTANT, DOCTOR, WHAT YOU14JUST SAID.I'DLIKE TO FOCUS IN ON THAT A LITTLE BIT.

15 THE DEFENDANTS IN THIS LITIGATION HAVE SAID
16 THAT EVERYTHING THAT NEEDS TO HAPPEN FOR ASD TO HAPPEN
17 OCCURS PRENATALLY OR IN UTERO.

18

IS THAT TRUE?

19 I DON'T THINK IT'S TRUE THAT EVERYTHING THAT Α 20 NEEDS TO HAPPEN OCCURS IN UTERO. THERE ARE CERTAIN --21 AS I SAID, THERE'S AN UNFOLDING SET OF PROCESSES THAT 22 CONTRIBUTE TO THE DEVELOPMENT OF THE MATURE BRAIN, SOME 23 OF THOSE OF COURSE START IN UTERO, THE FORMATION OF NEURONS, THE, YOU KNOW, BASIC FORMATION OF BRAIN 24 ARCHITECTURE, BUT THERE ARE PROCESSES THAT CONTINUE 25 26 AFTER BIRTH AND THOSE PROCESSES ARE VERY RELEVANT TO THE 27 DEVELOPMENT OF PATHOLOGY IN OUR EARLY CHILDHOOD. 28 MR. IMBROSCIO: AND, YOUR HONOR, I DON'T PLAN

60

1 TO MAKE OBJECTIONS ABOUT CHARACTERIZATIONS OF OUR 2 POSITIONS, IDEALLY. MR. ESFANDIARY CAN ASK JUST HIM WHAT HIS OPINIONS ARE WITHOUT TYING HIM TO SOME 3 CHARACTERIZATION OF WHAT WE SAID. 4 THE COURT: OVERRULED. LET'S TRY TO MINIMIZE 5 OBJECTIONS. 6 7 MR. ESFANDIARY: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. 8 NOW, DOCTOR, AT WHAT AGE IS ASD TYPICALLY 0 9 DIAGNOSED? 10 Α TYPICALLY ASD IS DIAGNOSED AROUND THREE YEARS OR THREE TO FOUR YEARS OF AGE. BUT, AGAIN, YOU KNOW, TO 11 12 SOME EXTENT, IT DEPENDS ON THE SEVERITY OF SYMPTOMS AND 13 THE TYPE OF SYMPTOMS THAT ARE PRESENTED. SO THERE ARE CASES WHERE CLINICAL SIGNS AND 14 15 SYMPTOMS OF AUTISM ARE CLEARLY EVIDENCED, YOU KNOW, 16 PRIOR TO THREE YEARS OF AGE AT 18 MONTHS OR SOMETIMES 17 EVEN EARLIER, AND THERE ARE CASES WHERE SYMPTOMS MAY BE MILD OR UNRECOGNIZED AND THE DIAGNOSIS IS NOT MADE UNTIL 18 19 LATER IN CHILDHOOD OR ADOLESCENCE OR SOMETIMES EVEN 20 ADULTHOOD. THERE IS A GENERAL CONSENSUS THAT SYMPTOMS 21 SHOULD HAVE BEEN PRESENT IN THE EARLY DEVELOPMENTAL 22 23 PERIOD, SO THAT MEANS -- BY GENERAL CONSENSUS, I MEAN, 24 THAT'S PART OF THE DSM 5 DEFINITION OF AUTISM. THE EARLY DEVELOPMENTAL PERIOD IS NOT -- YOU 25 26 KNOW, THERE'S NOT A TIME LIMIT THAT'S SPECIFIED IN THAT 27 DEFINITION, BUT GENERALLY IT'S FELT TO BE BEFORE THE AGE 28 OF THREE YEARS.

1 0 DOCTOR, CAN ASD BE DIAGNOSED AT LATER AGES PAST 2 THE AGE OF FOUR -- LET ME ASK IT IN THIS WAY. 3 YEAH. Α CAN THE SYMPTOMS OF ASD OR SYMPTOMS THAT ARE 4 0 5 RECOGNIZED AS ASD BE IDENTIFIED PAST THE AGE OF FOUR? THERE'S NO CUTOFF AS TO WHEN 6 Α YEAH, CERTAINLY. 7 YOU CAN IDENTIFY THE SYMPTOMS OF ASD. 8 AND, DOCTOR, IS THERE A BIOLOGICAL MARKER TO 0 9 ASD? THERE IS NO BIOLOGICAL TEST THAT CAN DEFINE 10 Α IT'S PURELY A SET OF BEHAVIORAL CRITERIA. 11 ASD. FAIR TO SAY THAT ASD IS RECOGNIZED BY A 12 0 CONSTELLATION OF SYMPTOMS? 13 THAT'S RIGHT. SO THE DEFINITION IS BASED ON A 14 Α 15 CONSTELLATION OF SYMPTOMS. AND CAN THOSE SYMPTOMS OF ASD BE ALSO 16 Q OKAY. 17 IDENTIFIED IN OTHER DISEASES THAT WOULDN'T BE CHARACTERIZED AS ASD? 18 19 Α SOME OF THOSE SYMPTOMS CAN BE IDENTIFIED IN 20 OTHER CONDITIONS. ASD IS SORT OF THE UNIQUE CONFLUENCE 21 OF ALL OF THOSE CRITERIA WITH, AGAIN, WITH ONSET OF AT LEAST SOME SYMPTOMS IN THE EARLY DEVELOPMENTAL PERIOD, 22 23 SO IF YOU HAVE ALL OF SYMPTOMS OF ASD, YOU HAVE ASD. OKAY. WHY CAN A CHILD BE DIAGNOSED AFTER THREE 24 0 YEARS OLD? IN WHAT CIRCUMSTANCES WOULD THAT HAPPEN? 25 26 Α SO, FOR EXAMPLE, IF YOU HAVE A CHILD WITH --27 WELL, THERE ARE VARIOUS CIRCUMSTANCES. ONE IS THAT THEY 28 MIGHT NOT COME TO MEDICAL ATTENTION UNTIL AFTER THE AGE

1 OF THREE, SO, YOU KNOW, YOU MIGHT NOT -- YOU MIGHT 2 SIMPLY NOT HAVE HAD A DIAGNOSTIC EVALUATION, BUT YOU MAY 3 HAVE A DIAGNOSTIC EVALUATION AT THE AGE OF THREE AND 4 FOUR AND PERHAPS, YOU KNOW, IT'S UNCLEAR AT THE TIME 5 WHETHER OR NOT THEY MEET CRITERIA FOR AUTISM, BUT ON 6 LATER EVALUATION IT BECOMES CLEAR THAT IN FACT THEY DO 7 MEET THE CRITERIA.

8

Q DOCTOR, IS ASD A CURABLE DISORDER?

9 A I WOULD NOT SAY THAT IT IS A CURABLE DISORDER,
10 AND IN FACT I SORT OF DISPUTE THE ASSERTION THAT IT'S A
11 DISORDER. I THINK IT'S A DIFFERENCE IN BRAIN
12 DEVELOPMENT THAT LEADS TO CERTAIN FUNCTIONAL CHALLENGES,
13 BUT IT IS A SORT OF A PERMANENT DIFFERENCE IN THE WAY
14 THAT THE BRAIN MATURES AND DEVELOPS.

15 Q ARE YOU FAMILIAR WITH THE CONSEPT OF REGRESSION16 IN THE CONTEXT OF ASD?

17 A YEAH, REGRESSION REFERS TO THE LOSS OF SKILLS
18 THAT WERE PREVIOUSLY ACQUIRED. THIS TYPICALLY OCCURS
19 AROUND THE AGE OF 18 MONTHS TO TWO YEARS AND IS SEEN,
20 DEPENDING ON WHAT STUDY YOU LOOK AT, IN ABOUT A THIRD,
21 30 PERCENT TO A THIRD OR SO OF CHILDREN WITH AUTISM.

SO IN THESE CASES CHILDREN ARE TYPICALLY
DEVELOPING THROUGHOUT THE FIRST YEAR OF LIFE USUALLY
AROUND -- UNTIL AROUND 18 MONTHS OR SO, THEY MAY ACQUIRE
SOME LANGUAGE AND SOCIAL SKILLS THAT TYPICALLY THEY WILL
HAVE SOME WORDS AND WILL SEEM TO ENGAGE IN TYPICAL
SOCIAL INTERACTIONS, AND THEN STARTING, AS I SAID,
USUALLY AROUND THE AGE OF 18 MONTHS OR SO, THEY START TO

1 LOSE LANGUAGE AND SOCIAL SKILLS.

2 Q WHAT DOES THE CONCEPT OF THE REGRESSION TELL US 3 ABOUT WHAT THE RELEVANT ETIOLOGICAL TIME FRAME FOR ASD 4 IS?

WELL, IT TELLS US THAT SOMETHING IS HAPPENING 5 Α IN THE BRAIN AT AROUND THAT AGE THAT CONTRIBUTES TO THE 6 7 EMERGENCE OF SYMPTOMS, SO, YOU KNOW, THERE'S SOMETHING 8 THAT'S HAPPENING IN BRAIN DEVELOPMENT THAT DOESN'T LEAD 9 TO SYMPTOMS OR AT LEAST NOT TO OVERT SYMPTOMS UNTIL 10 AROUND THAT AGE, NOW IT CAN BE ARGUED THAT, YOU KNOW, 11 THERE ARE STUDIES, IN FACT, THAT SHOW THAT SOME CHILDREN 12 WITH AUTISM HAVE MORE SUBTLE SYMPTOMS EVEN PRIOR TO THE 13 AGE OF 18 MONTHS OF, YOU KNOW, IN SOME CASES THERE ARE MARKERS OF POTENTIAL ABNORMALITIES THAT EXIST PRIOR TO 14 15 THAT AND OF COURSE NOT ALL CHILDREN WITH AUTISM EXHIBIT 16 REGRESSION, SOME EXHIBIT SYMPTOMS FROM A VERY, VERY 17 EARLY AGE.

BUT THE OCCURRENCE OF REGRESSION IN A 18 19 SUBSTANTIAL PORTION OF CASES IS TELLING YOU THAT THERE'S 20 SOMETHING THAT'S HAPPENING IN THE BRAIN AROUND THAT TIME 21 THAT LEADS TO A BREAKDOWN IN BEHAVIOR AND IN THE 22 ACOUISITION OF DEVELOPMENTAL SKILLS AND FROM THE 23 BIOLOGICAL PERSPECTIVE THAT SORT OF COINCIDES WITH PROCESSES OF SYNAPTIC FORMATION AND MAINTENANCE AND ALSO 24 MYELINATION OF WHITE MATTER TRACTS. 25

Q NOW, WHAT DOES THAT MEAN WHAT YOU JUST
EXPLAINED, THE PROCESS OF SYNAPTIC FORMATION IN WHITE
MATTER TRACTS?

64

1 Α SO SYNAPSES ARE THE CONNECTIONS BETWEEN 2 NEURONS, SO IN ORDER, YOU KNOW, FOR ANY COGNITIVE 3 PROCESS TO HAPPEN IN THE BRAIN, YOU NEED BRAIN CELLS TO COMMUNICATE WITH EACH OTHER TO, YOU KNOW, TRANSFER 4 INFORMATION, PROCESS SENSORY INFORMATION THAT IS COMING 5 IN FROM THE WORLD, INTEGRATE INFORMATION FROM DIFFERENT 6 7 SOURCES, INTERPRET IT, AND, YOU KNOW, COME UP WITH A 8 PLAN OR PRODUCE ACTION TO THOSE SPEAKING, MOVING, 9 BEHAVING, AND SO FORTH.

SO ALL OF THOSE LINKS ARE MEDIATED BY BRAIN
NETWORKS THAT RELY ON CONNECTIONS BETWEEN BRAIN CELLS IN
DIFFERENT AREAS TO COMMUNICATE INFORMATION.

13 THE PROCESS OF FORMING THOSE CONNECTIONS IS SYNAPTOGENESIS SO THAT THE ACTUAL THE FORMATION OF THE 14 15 SYNAPSIS ARE THE LINKS THAT ALLOW COMMUNICATION BETWEEN NERVE CELLS, SO THAT'S WHAT WE MEAN BY SYNAPTOGENESIS. 16 AND THAT IS I THINK UNIVERSALLY REGARDED TO BE AN 17 EXPERIENCE-DEPENDENT PROCESS. CERTAINLY THERE ARE 18 19 GENETIC FACTORS THAT ARE IMPLICATED IN SYNAPTIC 20 DEVELOPMENT AND THERE ARE GENES THAT CAN -- THE 21 DISRUPTION OF WHICH CAN CAUSE YOU NOT TO BE ABLE TO FORM NORMAL SYNAPSIS, BUT THE ACTUAL SORT OF ENCODING OF 22 23 INFORMATION IS DEPENDENT ON ASSIMILATING ENVIRONMENTAL INPUTS, BOTH SENSORY, BUT ASSUMING THAT'S WHAT KIND OF 24 GUIDES THE NATURE OF OUR EXPERIENCES, AND THEN OTHER 25 26 KIND OF EXOGENOUS BIOLOGICAL FACTORS CAN EFFECT THE 27 DEGREE TO WHICH SYNAPSIS ARE STRONGER OR WEAKER OR WHETHER THEY FORM OR DON'T FORM UNDER APPROPRIATE 28

1 CIRCUMSTANCES.

2 DOCTOR, I THINK YOU HIT THE NAIL ON THE HEAD 0 3 THERE. AS A NEUROLOGIST WHO IS INVOLVED IN TREATING 4 5 CHILDREN, IS IT YOUR OPINION THAT THERE IS AN ENVIRONMENTAL COMPONENT TO ASD ETIOLOGY? 6 7 I THINK THAT THERE IS CERTAINLY AN А 8 ENVIRONMENTAL COMPONENT TO ASD ETIOLOGY. I THINK THAT 9 THE -- CERTAINLY THE EXPRESSION OF SYMPTOMS IN ASD HAS A 10 LOT TO DO WITH ENVIRONMENTAL INPUT IN THE EARLY 11 DEVELOPMENTAL PERIOD. 12 0 TO HELP US PUT SOME FLESH ON THAT TESTIMONY, 13 DOCTOR, I'D JUST LIKE TO PULL UP AN EXHIBIT. THIS IS EXHIBIT NUMBER 71. 14 15 AND IT'S A STUDY TITLED "THE GENETIC INHERITABILITY AND SHARED ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS AMONG 16 17 TWIN PAIRS WITH AUTISM, " AND AS WE CAN SEE HERE, IT WAS PUBLISHED IN THE ARCHIVES OF GENERAL PSYCHIATRY. 18 19 CAN YOU SEE THAT, DOCTOR? YES, I CAN. 20 Α IN 2011. 21 0 22 А YES. 23 0 IS THAT CONSIDERED A RESPECTED JOURNAL? YES, I WOULD SAY SO. 24 Α AND IF WE JUST LOOK AT THE AUTHORS OF 25 Q OKAY. 26 THIS DOCUMENT, WE HAVE LOT OF AUTHORS HERE, DOCTOR, AND 27 FROM VARIOUS INSTITUTIONS. WE HAVE INDIVIDUALS HERE 28 FROM STANFORD UNIVERSITY SCHOOL OF MEDICINE.

1 CAN YOU SEE THAT, DOCTOR? 2 Α YES. ALL RIGHT. WE HAVE PEOPLE HERE FROM THE 3 0 4 CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF THE PUBLIC HEALTH; CORRECT? 5 I SEE THAT. Α AND WE ALSO HAVE UC DAVIS? 6 0 7 Α YES, SIR. 8 AND WE HAVE THE INSTITUTION YOU REPRESENTED 0 9 WITH UCSF AS WELL? 10 YES, I SEE THAT THERE. Α DO YOU CONSIDER THESE INSTITUTIONS WELL 11 0 12 RESPECTED INSTITUTIONS WHEN IT COMES TO UNDERSTANDING 13 ASD AND THE ETIOLOGIES OF ASD AND THE RESEARCH THAT THEY HAVE DONE? 14 15 Α YES, I THINK SO. NOW, DOCTOR, I'D LIKE TO JUST TAKE A 16 Q OKAY. 17 LOOK AT WHAT THEY DID IN THIS STUDY EXACTLY AND AUTHORS THEY PROVIDE, THIS VERY HELPFUL -- WHOOPS -- THEY 18 19 PROVIDE THIS VERY HELPFUL SUMMARY RIGHT HERE. 20 IT SAYS THAT, "THIS REPORT DESCRIBES THE 21 RESULTS OF A TWIN STUDY OF AUTISM, THE CALIFORNIA AUTISM 2.2 TWIN STUDY." 23 DOCTOR, WHAT IS A CALIFORNIA AUTISM TWIN STUDY? WELL, IT WAS ESSENTIALLY A STUDY THAT WAS 24 Α DESIGNED TO LOOK AT THE GENETIC VERSUS ENVIRONMENTAL 25 26 CONTRIBUTIONS TO THE PATHOGENESIS OF AUTISM. 27 MR. IMBROSCIO: YOUR HONOR, YOUR HONOR, I'M SORRY TO INTERRUPT AND MINDFUL OF YOUR COMMENT, BUT I 28

67

1 BELIEVE THIS IS A STUDY THAT IS NOT EVEN MENTIONED IN 2 HIS REPORT, WAS NOT ON HIS RELIANCE LIST UNTIL I THINK A FEW DAYS AGO, AND SO I'M JUST NOT SURE HE'S THE RIGHT 3 WITNESS IF THEY WANT TO SPONSOR THIS STUDY, WE DON'T 4 REALLY HAVE NOTICE THAT THIS IS SOMETHING HE WAS GOING 5 TO BE TALKING ABOUT AND IT'S NOT IN HIS REPORT, I 6 7 HAVEN'T DEPOSED HIM ON IT, I HAD NO BASIS TO DEPOSE HIM 8 YOU KNOW, GIVEN WHAT HIS LIMITED ROLE IS HERE, I ON IT. 9 JUST THINK THAT IS NOT AN APPROPRIATE AVENUE.

10AND IF MR. ESFANDIARY CAN TELL ME THIS WAS IN11HIS REPORT OR ON HIS EARLY FIRST RELIANCE LIST, HE CAN12CORRECT ME, BUT I DON'T THINK IT WAS.

13 IT'S ON DR. SHAPIRO'S RELIANCE MR. ESFANDIARY: LIST, YOUR HONOR. THE DEFENDANTS HAD A CHANCE TO DEPOSE 14 15 HIM ABOUT THIS STUDY. MR. IMBROSCIO'S OBJECTIONS I 16 THINK AT THIS POINT IS OBSTRUCTIONIST AND WASTING TIME 17 SERIOUSLY. I WOULD LIKE TO PROCEED WITH EXAMINATION OF THIS WITNESS, WHO IS A NEUROLOGIST TALKING ABOUT A 18 19 NEUROLOGICAL PAPER DEALING WITH HIS VERY OWN EXPERTISE. MR. IMBROSCIO: BUT I'M SORRY, SIR. IT WAS NOT 20

21 ON HIS RELIANCE LIST UNTIL A FEW DAYS AGO, I'VE

22 CONFIRMED THAT.

23

24

28

THE COURT: GENTLEMAN, GENTLEMAN.

MR. IMBROSCIO: AND IT WAS NOT IN HIS REPORT.

25 THE COURT: GENTLEMEN, YOU NEED TO TALK TO ME,26 NOT TO EACH OTHER, PLEASE.

27 MR. IMBROSCIO: YES.

THE COURT: THANK YOU.

Bryce Reporting Services (510)828-9404 - info@brycereporters.com MR. IMBROSCIO: SORRY ABOUT THAT, YOUR HONOR.
 THE COURT: THANK YOU. SOMEHOW THE DECORUM
 FALLS AWAY, I FIND, WHEN WE'RE ON ZOOM, BUT NOT IN THE
 COURTROOM. SO THANK YOU.

5

WELL, LET'S JUST THINK THIS THROUGH TOGETHER.

AS I SAID EARLIER, IT'S HEARSAY TO PULL UP -YOU COULD NOT SHOW THIS ARTICLE TO A JURY AND, IN FACT,
IT'S MAKING ME WONDER WHETHER WE HAVE THE ORDER OF PROOF
REVERSED FOR THESE PROCEEDINGS AND WHETHER OR NOT IT
WOULD BE THE DEFENDANT THAT GOES FIRST WITH THE ADVERSE
CROSS EXAMINATION.

BUT I THINK THAT WE CAN ANTICIPATE THAT AS TO ANY ARTICLES IDENTIFIED IN THE REPORT AS MATERIALS ON WHICH THE DOCTOR RELIED, THERE IS GOING TO BE VIGOROUS CROSS EXAMINATION. I THINK IT IS A LITTLE UNFAIR TO ASK HIM ABOUT AN ARTICLE ON DIRECT THAT IS HEARSAY, ESPECIALLY IF IT WAS NOT IDENTIFIED PREVIOUSLY, SO I THINK IF IT'S NOT ON THE LIST, THAT'S A PROBLEM.

19 MR. WISNER: BUT, YOUR HONOR, A COUPLE OF 20 THINGS, AND I THINK THIS IS JUST REALLY IMPORTANT, YOU 21 KNOW, THIS IS NOT A JURY TRIAL, THIS IS A SARGON HEARING 22 AND THE DEFENDANTS HAVE LITERALLY PUT THE ISSUE OF THE UNDERLYING STUDIES AT ISSUE AS CLEARLY DEMONSTRATED IN 23 THE LENGTHY DEPOSITIONS ABOUT THESE STUDIES AND EVIDENCE 24 THAT THE DEFENSE WOULD LIKE THE COURT TO EXAMINE, SO I 25 26 THINK IT WOULD BE A LITTLE BIT DIFFICULT FOR US TO 27 PARTICIPATE IN A MEANINGFUL SARGON HEARING WHICH IS MEANT TO THE GET THE BASIS OF THEIR OPINIONS AND WHAT 28

1 THEY RELIED UPON WITHOUT SHOWING THE COURT WHAT THEY 2 RELIED UPON. THE COURT: I DON'T DISAGREE WITH THAT, BUT IF 3 4 IT WASN'T ON LIST, I'M NOT SURE IT'S FAIR. 5 MR. WISNER: WELL, ABOUT THAT, YOUR HONOR, MY UNDERSTANDING IS IT WAS ON HIS RELIANCE LIST, I DON'T 6 KNOW IF IT WAS ON HIS RELIANCE LIST PRIOR TO HIS 7 8 DEPOSITION, BUT IT HAS BEEN ON HIS RELIANCE LIST AND 9 THEY ARE FREE TO CROSS EXAMINE HIM ABOUT IT TO THE 10 EXTENT THAT THEY WISH. I MEAN, THAT'S WHAT WE'RE HERE 11 TO DO. WE'RE HERE TO GET TO THE TRUTH, NOT RESTRICT 12 OPINIONS NEEDLESSLY. 13 I KNOW YOUR HONOR HAD AN 11:00 A.M. MEETING SO THAT JUST OCCURRED TO ME. 14 15 THE COURT: I JUST GOT A NOTE ON THAT SO WE 16 NEED TO TAKE ABOUT A FIVE-MINUTE BREAK. THANK YOU. 17 MR. WISNER: YES, YOUR HONOR. 18 MR. IMBROSCIO: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. 19 THE COURT: I'M GOING TO LEAVE ALTOGETHER, BUT 20 I'LL COME BACK. 21 (RECESS) OKAY. I AM BACK. 22 THE COURT: 23 I WAS JUST ABOUT TO SAY THAT WE HAVE TWO ISSUES 2.4 HERE; ONE IS WHETHER ON CROSS -- AND I AGREE WITH YOU MR. WISNER THAT SINCE THE WHOLE POINT OF THIS EXERCISE 25 26 IS TO LOOK AT THE DATA UNDERLYING THE EXPERT'S REPORTS, 27 IT'S OKAY, AND I WILL NOT BE CONCERNED ABOUT THE HEARSAY 28 OBJECTION FOR THAT PURPOSE, BUT THERE'S A FAIRNESS ISSUE

1 HERE ABOUT IT NOT BEING ON THE LIST. 2 CAN YOU VERIFY WHETHER IT WAS OR IT WASN'T? MR. ESFANDIARY: YES, YOUR HONOR. SO IT WASN'T 3 ON HIS LIST PRIOR TO HIS DEPOSITION. IT WAS PUT ON HIS 4 5 LIST AFTER WE RECEIVED THE DEFENDANTS' SARGON MOTION BECAUSE THEY MAKE ACCUSATIONS IN THAT MOTION REGARDING 6 7 THE EXTENT OF GENES AND THE ENVIRONMENT SPECIFICALLY 8 REGARDING DR. SHAPIRO'S OPINION, AND HE HAS A RIGHT TO AND WE TOOK A LOOK AT THE MOTION AND 9 RESPOND TO THAT. 10 WE PUT THIS STUDY ON HIS REFERENCE LIST. DEFENDANTS HAVE HAD WEEKS AND WEEKS --11 12 THE COURT: I WILL ALLOW IT. PLEASE CONTINUE. THANK YOU. 13 MR. ESFANDIARY: 14 0 ALL RIGHT. DOCTOR, CAN YOU HEAR ME? 15 Α YES, I CAN. GREAT. I'D JUST LIKE TO TURN OUR 16 Ο ALL RIGHT. 17 ATTENTION BACK TO THIS DOCUMENT. SO WE WERE TALKING ABOUT THE HALLMAYER STUDY 18 FROM 2011 AND YOU WERE TESTIFYING ABOUT THE CALIFORNIA 19 20 AUTISM TWIN STUDY. 21 WHAT IS THAT, DOCTOR? SO THAT WAS SORT OF A BROAD STUDY THAT 22 А YES. 23 AIMED TO EXAMINE THE RELATIVE CONTRIBUTIONS OF GENETIC AND ENVIRONMENTAL INFLUENCES TO THE PATHOGENESIS OR TO 24 THE DIAGNOSIS OF AUTISM IN A SORT OF A VERY BROAD 25 26 COHORT, SO IT WAS A POPULATION-BASED STUDY WITHIN THE 27 STATE OF CALIFORNIA, I THINK THEY SCREENED -- I DON'T HAVE THE STUDY IN FRONT OF ME, BUT I THINK THEY SCREENED 28

SOMETHING LIKE 1,100 TWIN COHORTS AND ENDED UP ENROLLING
 404 TWINS OR ENDED UP ANALYZING, I THINK WITH GENETIC
 DATA, SOMETHING LIKE 202 TWIN PAIRS.

Q VERY GOOD.

4

9

5 AND, DOCTOR, IT'S SAYING THAT THE CHIEF AIMS 6 WERE, NUMBER ONE, COLLECT A SAMPLE OF TWINS WITH 7 VALIDATED DIAGNOSIS OF AUTISM FROM A POPULATION-BASED 8 SAMPLE.

WHAT IS A VALIDATED DIAGNOSIS OF AUTISM?

10 SO A VALIDATED DIAGNOSIS OF AUTISM AS THEY ARE А USING IT HERE MEANS A DIAGNOSIS THAT IS MADE CLINICALLY 11 12 AND CONFIRMED BY TWO STANDARDIZED INSTRUMENTS, THE 13 AUTISM DIAGNOSTIC OBSERVATION SCHEDULE FOR THE ADOS AND THE AUTISM DIAGNOSTIC INTERVIEW REVISED OR THE ADIR, SO 14 15 THOSE ARE TWO KIND OF STANDARDIZED INSTRUMENTS THAT ARE 16 RECOGNIZED AS AMONG THE GOLD STANDARD FOR MAKING AN 17 AUTISM DIAGNOSIS.

18 Q SO THEY ARE USING THE GOLD STANDARD HERE TO19 DETERMINE DIAGNOSIS, THEN, IS THAT FAIR TO SAY?

20 A ESSENTIALLY, YES. SO THOSE ARE THE INSTRUMENTS
21 THAT ARE MOST WIDELY USED TO MAKE THAT DIAGNOSIS IN THE
22 UNITED STATES.

Q OKAY.

AND NUMBER TWO, IT SAYS EXAMINE SET-SPECIFIC
CONCORDANCE RATES FOR NARROW AND BROAD DEFINITIONS OF
AUTISM.

27 DOCTOR, WHAT ARE THEY GETTING AT HERE? WHAT28 DOES SET-SPECIFIC CONCORDANCE RATE?

1 Α CONCORDANCE RATE MEANS, YOU KNOW, IF ONE TWIN 2 IS DIAGNOSED WITH AUTISM, HOW LIKELY IS IT OR IN WHAT 3 PERCENTAGE OF CASES THE OTHER TWIN DIAGNOSED WITH AUTISM, AND THEY LOOKED AT THAT SEPARATELY FOR MALE/MALE 4 TWINS, MALE/FEMALE TWINS, AND SEX DISCORDANT TWINS, AND 5 THE RELEVANCE OF THAT IS THAT THE RATE OF AUTISM IS 6 7 KNOWN TO DIFFER BETWEEN MALES AND FEMALES FOR REASONS 8 THAT ARE NOT ENTIRELY UNDERSTOOD.

AND WHEN THEY DISTINGUISHED NARROW AND BROAD
DEFINITIONS OF AUTISM, WHAT THEY ARE TALKING ABOUT THERE
IS THAT SORT OF THE STRICT DEFINITION OF AUTISM, "SO
MEETS ALL CRITERIA ON THE ADOS AND ALL CRITERIA ON THE
ADIR" FROM SORT OF A WIDER SET OF SYMPTOMS WHERE YOU MAY
-- YOU MEET CRITERIA ON THE ADOS BUT YOU MAY NOT
COMPLETELY FULFILL ALL THE CRITERIA ON THE OTHER TEST.

SO IN OTHER WORDS, THE BROADER DEFINITION
ENCOMPASSES PEOPLE WHO WOULD CLINICALLY BE DIAGNOSED
WITH AN AUTISM SPECTRUM DISORDER, BUT THEY DON'T
STRICTLY MEET CRITERIA ON BOTH OF THOSE INSTRUMENTS.

20 Q DOCTOR, THE PREFACE OF THE STUDY WAS TO 21 DETERMINE THE EXTENT TO WHICH GENETIC SHARED 22 ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS UNDERLIE SUSCEPTIBILITY.

DO YOU SEE THAT, DOCTOR?

A YES.

23

24

25

Q I THINK IT'S IMPORTANT HERE.

26 THEY ARE ASSUMING THERE ARE ENVIRONMENTAL
27 FACTORS TO SUSCEPTIBILITY, THEY ARE JUST TRYING TO FIND
28 OUT TO WHAT EXTENT THOSE ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS PLAY A

1 ROLE; CORRECT?

I THINK THAT'S FAIR TO SAY. 2 Α NOW, LET'S TAKE A LOOK AT THE RESULTS HERE, 3 0 DOCTOR. I THINK THEY PRESENT THEM PAGE 1. 4 I'M JUST 5 GOING TO CALL THIS OUT. UNDER "RESULTS" THEY SAY, "FOR STRICT AUTISM, 6 7 PROGRAMWIDE CONCORDANCE FOR MALE TWINS WAS .58 FOR 40 8 MONOZYGOTIC PAIRS." 9 DO YOU SEE THAT, DOCTOR? 10 Α YES. AND CONCORDANCE REFERS TO WHAT IN THIS CONTEXT? 11 Ο 12 Α SO IN THIS CONTEXT IT MEANS THAT 58 PERCENT OF 13 THE TIME BOTH TWINS SHARED A DIAGNOSIS OF AUTISM. AND THESE ARE IDENTICAL TWINS, CORRECT, 14 0 15 MONOZYGOTIC? CORRECT. MONOZYGOTIC TWINS ARE IDENTICAL TWINS 16 Α 17 WHO SHARE A HUNDRED PERCENT OF THEIR GENETIC MATERIAL. SO IF 58 PERCENT SHARED A DIAGNOSIS OF AUTISM, 18 0 19 DOES THAT MEAN THAT 42 PERCENT DID NOT SHARE A DIAGNOSIS OF AUTISM? 20 21 THAT IS THE DIRECT IMPLICATION. Α 22 0 OKAY. 23 AND FOR FEMALE TWINS, THE CONCORDANCE WAS -- IS THAT 60 PERCENT, DOCTOR, FOR IDENTICAL PAIRS? 24 YES. 25 Α 26 0 AND DOES THAT MEAN THAT 40 PERCENT DID NOT SHARE THE DIAGNOSIS OF AUTISM? 40 PERCENT OF TWINS DID 27 28 NOT SHARE THE DIAGNOSIS OF AUTISM; IS THAT CORRECT? 74

A YES.

1

2

Q OKAY.

AND FOR ASD AND THE SPECTRUM DISORDERS, IT SAYS
THE PROGRAMWISE FOR CONCORDANCE FOR MALE TWINS WAS
77 PERCENT; CORRECT?

SO YOU KNOW WHAT THAT IS 6 Α THAT'S CORRECT. 7 SAYING IS THAT IF YOU LOOSEN THE CRITERIA A LITTLE BIT, 8 THE CONCORDANCE ACTUALLY GOES UP, AND WHAT THAT IS 9 SUGGESTING IS THAT ONE TWIN HAD MORE SEVERE SYMPTOMS 10 THAN THE OTHER TWIN OR MET MORE CRITERIA FOR THE DIAGNOSIS THAN THE OTHER TWIN; SO EVEN THOUGH THEY BOTH 11 12 HAVE THE DIAGNOSIS OR MEET THE CUTOFF CRITERIA FOR ASD, 13 ONE TWIN IS MORE SEVERELY AFFECTED THAN THE OTHER.

Q IT SAYS HERE THAT THEY CONCLUDE FROM THE BASIS
OF THIS DATA THAT A LARGE PROPORTION OF THE VARIANCE IN
LIABILITY CAN BE SHARED BY ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS IN
ADDITION TO MODERATE GENETIC HERITABILITY.

18

19

DO YOU SEE THAT, DOCTOR?

A YES.

20 Q OKAY. CAN YOU UNPACK THAT FOR US? WHAT IS 21 YOUR UNDERSTANDING OF WHAT THAT'S REFERRING TO, THAT 22 CONCLUSION?

A SO HERITABILITY IS THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN THE
CONCORDANCE RATE IN MONOZYGOTIC AND DIZYGOTIC TWINS, SO
THE EXTENT TO WHICH THE VARIATION IN A TRAIT IS
ATTRIBUTABLE TO GENETIC FACTORS; SO IF YOU TAKE
MONOZYGOTIC TWINS WHO SHARE A HUNDRED PERCENT OF THEIR
DNA AND DIZYGOTIC TWINS WHO SHARE 50 PERCENT OF THEIR

75

DNA, THE AMOUNT OF VARIATION IN A PARTICULAR DIAGNOSIS
 OR SUSCEPTIBILITY TO A DIAGNOSIS THAT CAN BE EXPLAINED
 BY GENETIC FACTORS CAN BE CALCULATED DIRECTLY FROM THAT
 DIFFERENCE.

5 SO WHAT THEY ARE SAYING HERE IS THAT, FIRST OF 6 ALL, THAT THE HERITABILITY IN THIS STUDY WAS -- IS LOWER 7 THAN IN THIS OTHER PUBLISHED STUDIES NOT NESS -- PARTLY 8 BECAUSE THE CONCORDANCE RATE IN MONOZYGOTIC TWINS MAY BE 9 LOWER, BUT ALSO BECAUSE THE CONCORDANCE RATE IN 10 DIZYGOTIC TWINS IS HIGHER THAN WHAT HAS BEEN OBSERVED IN 11 OTHER STUDIES.

SO THE HERITABILITY IS STILL, YOU KNOW, FOR
NEUROBEHAVIORAL DISORDER, IT'S STILL A SUBSTANTIAL
HERITABILITY, BUT LESS THAN WHAT HAS BEEN DEMONSTRATED
IN OTHER STUDIES. AND TO THE EXTENT THAT THE VARIATION
IN DIAGNOSIS OR SUSCEPTIBILITY TO THE DIAGNOSIS CAN'T BE
EXPLAINED BY GENETIC FACTORS MUST BE EXPLAINED BY
ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS.

19 AND THOSE -- IN THIS CASE THEY ARE SHARED 20 ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS BECAUSE THEY ARE TWINS. I BELIEVE IN THIS CASE THEY ARE ALL TWINS WHO WERE RAISED TOGETHER 21 22 SO THEY SHARED AN ENVIRONMENT. YOU CAN ALSO LOOK AT NON 23 SHARED ENVIRONMENT, BUT IT'S VERY HARD TO DO THAT IN THIS TYPE OF STUDY BECAUSE OF COURSE, YOU KNOW, IN TWINS 24 WHO ARE RAISED TOGETHER THEY ARE SHARING MOST OF THEIR 25 26 EARLY ENVIRONMENTAL EXPOSURES.

27 Q DOCTOR, TO JUST FOCUS IN ON THAT LAST POINT, I 28 WANT TO JUST LOOK AT THIS PARAGRAPH TOWARDS THE END OF

1 THE PAPER WHERE THEY SAY, "TO OUR KNOWLEDGE THIS STUDY 2 IS THE LARGEST POPULATION BASED TWIN STUDY OF AUTISM THAT USED CONTEMPORARY STANDARDS FOR THE 3 DIAGNOSIS OF AUTISM." 4 DO YOU SEE THAT, DOCTOR? 5 6 Α YES. 7 0 AND THEY SAY HERE THAT THE RESULTS SUGGEST THAT 8 THE ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS COMMON TO TWINS EXPLAIN ABOUT 9 55 PERCENT OF THE LIABILITY TO AUTISM. 10 DO YOU SEE THAT, DOCTOR? I SEE THAT. 11 Α CORRECT. 12 Ο AND WHAT DO THEY MEAN WHEN THEY SAY THAT 55 PERCENT OF THE ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS EXPLAIN THE 13 LIABILITY TO AUTISM? WHAT ARE THEY GETTING AT? 14 15 SO LIABILITY, AGAIN, THE SUSCEPTIBILITY TO А DEVELOP THE DIAGNOSIS OF AUTISM. 16 SO LIABILITY IS WHAT'S CALLED AN UNSEEN TRAIT, 17 SO IT'S THE TRAIT THAT KIND OF DETERMINES YOUR 18 19 LIKELIHOOD TO HAVE A DIAGNOSIS, AND ABOUT 55 PERCENT OF 20 THE VARIABILITY IN THAT TRAIT IS ATTRIBUTABLE TO 21 ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS. NOW, AS I SAID THEY USE THE TERM SHARED 22 23 ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS. THEY ACTUALLY CAN'T REALLY DISTINGUISH BETWEEN SHARED AND NON SHARED IN THIS CASE. 24 I THINK THE ASSUMPTION IS THAT THEY ARE SHARED 25 26 ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS. 27 0 AND WHAT IS IT -- FOR YOU WHAT IS THE PRIMARY TAKEAWAY FROM THE HALLMAYER STUDY? 28

1 Α SO I WOULD SAY THAT THE TAKEAWAY HERE IS THAT 2 THERE IS CERTAINLY AN IMPORTANT GENETIC INFLUENCE ON 3 SUSCEPTIBILITY OR LIABILITY TO AUTISM, AND, YOU KNOW, IT'S CLEARLY THE CASE THAT IF -- THAT GENETICS PLAYS A 4 ROLE IN LIABILITY. 5 BUT IT CAN'T BE THE ONLY FACTOR IN DETERMINING 6 7 WHETHER OR NOT YOU RECEIVE A DIAGNOSIS BECAUSE, YOU 8 KNOW, A SUBSTANTIAL PROPORTION OF THE TIME TWO CHILDREN, TWO TWINS WITH EXACTLY THE SAME GENETICS MAY NOT HAVE 9 THE SAME DIAGNOSIS, AND EVEN IF THEY HAVE THE SAME 10 11 CATEGORICAL DIAGNOSIS, THEY MAY NOT HAVE THE SAME 12 SYMPTOMS OR LEVEL OF SEVERITY SO SOMETHING ABOUT THAT 13 DIFFERENCE HAS TO BE EXPLAINED BY ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS. THE COURT: AND IF I COULD INTERJECT A 14 QUESTION. 15 16 ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS IN THIS STUDY MEANS 17 ANYTHING OTHER THAN GENETICS? THE WITNESS: CORRECT. YES. THAT'S WHAT THEY 18 19 MEAN IN THIS STUDY. 20 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. MR. ESFANDIARY: 21 22 0 DOCTOR, DO HEAVY METALS QUALIFY AS 23 ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS? 24 THEY DO. Α I'M SORRY? 25 Q 26 Α THEY COULD IF THEY ARE PRESENT IN THE PERIOD 27 THAT IS RELEVANT FOR THE DEVELOPMENT OF SYMPTOMS OF AUTISM, SO THEY OF COURSE DO NOT MENTION HEAVY METALS AS 28

A SPECIFIC ENVIRONMENTAL FACTOR, NOR DO THEY MENTION
INGESTION OF BABY FOOD OR EXPOSURE TO OTHER CHEMICALS OR
LIVING NEAR A HIGHWAY OR ANY OF THE OTHER, YOU KNOW,
EPIDEMIOLOGIC FACTORS THAT HAVE BEEN CITED AS
CONTRIBUTING TO AUTISM, SO NONE OF THOSE ARE CALLED OUT
SPECIFICALLY, BUT THEY COULD ALL BE INCLUDED.

Q NOW, DOCTOR, IS THIS APPROPRIATE TO THINK ABOUT
ASD RISK IN ANY GIVEN CASE IN TERMS OF STRICT
PERCENTAGES? 60 PERCENT ENVIRONMENTAL/40 GENETIC AND
VICE VERSA? IS IT APPROPRIATE TO THINK ABOUT ASD RISK
IN INDIVIDUAL CASES THAT WAY?

A SO HERITABILITY -- SO IT -- THE WAY IN WHICH WE
THINK ABOUT THAT FOR INDIVIDUAL CASES AS OPPOSED TO IN
THE POPULATION IS A LITTLE BIT DIFFERENT, SO
HERITABILITY IS A POPULATION ESTIMATE, AND IN PART THAT,
YOU KNOW, GETS THE QUESTION OF WHY THERE ARE DIFFERENCES
IN MEASURED HERITABILITY ACROSS DIFFERENT STUDIES.

SO IN THIS HALLMAYER STUDY, FOR EXAMPLE, THEY 18 19 POINTED OUT THAT A LOT OF OTHER STUDIES LOOKING AT 20 HERITABILITY OF AUTISM WERE DONE IN RELATIVELY HOMOGENOUS POPULATIONS IN NORTHERN EUROPE AND 21 SCANDINAVIA, FOR EXAMPLE; WHEREAS, THIS STUDY WAS DONE 22 23 IN CALIFORNIA AND INCLUDED SORT OF A BROADER ARRAY OF INDIVIDUALS IN THE POPULATION, SO WIDER VARIATION IN 24 SOCIOECONOMIC STATUS, ETHNICITY, AND SO FORTH. 25

AND THE RELEVANCE OF THAT IS THAT HERITABILITY
-- ACTUALLY, THE WAY IN WHICH YOU MEASURE HERITABILITY
ALSO DEPENDS A LOT ON ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS.

1 SO AN ANALOGY THAT YOU COULD USE IS THAT 2 IMAGINE THAT YOU HAVE, LIKE, A GARDEN OR A PLOT OF LAND AND YOU SEED IT WITH GRASS SEED, ASSUMING, YOU KNOW, THE 3 GRASS SEED IS NOT ALL GENETICALLY IDENTICAL AND, YOU 4 5 KNOW, THE GRASS WILL GROW. AND IF THE ENTIRE PLOT, THE LAND HAS ACCESS TO THE SAME ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES, 6 7 SUNLIGHT, WATER NUTRIENTS, YOU KNOW, THE SAME QUALITY 8 SOIL, THEN THE VARIATION IN THE HEIGHT OF THE GRASS IS GOING TO BE PRIMARILY BASED ON GENETIC FACTORS. 9

10 SO ALL OF THOSE, YOU KNOW, GRASS PLANTS HAVE 11 ACCESS TO THE SAME ENVIRONMENT, AND SO THE EXTENT TO 12 WHICH ONE IS TALLER THAN THE OTHER IS GOING TO BE 13 EXPLAINED BY GENETIC FACTORS.

ON THE OTHER HAND, YOU KNOW, IF YOU COVER PART 14 15 OF THE PATCH WITH AN UMBRELLA SO THAT SOME OF IT IS IN 16 THE SHADE OR IF YOU TAKE LEAD PELLETS AND SPRINKLE IT ON 17 ANOTHER PART OF THE GRASS, YOU KNOW, THAT THAT'S GOING TO BE AN ADVERSE IMPACT ON GROWTH OF THE PLANTS, THERE'S 18 19 GOING TO BE A LOT MORE VARIABILITY BECAUSE, YOU KNOW, 20 THESE ENVIRONMENTAL DIFFERENCES THAT YOU'VE INTRODUCED IN THE HERITABILITY, SO THE PROPORTION OF THAT 21 HERITABILITY THIS A DUE STRICTLY TO GENETIC FACTORS IS 22 23 GOING TO BE LESS.

24 Q DOCTOR, THAT SEGUES PERFECT INTO THE NEXT PART 25 OF OUR DISCUSSION HERE.

I WOULD JUST LIKE TO BRING UP THIS WITH THE
COURT'S PERMISSION, CAN WE GO BACK TO THE NIH DOCUMENT,
YOUR HONOR, SINCE THE HEARSAY ISSUE HAS BEEN RESOLVED?

1	THE COURT: YES.		
2	MR. ESFANDIARY: THANK YOU.		
3	Q NOW, DOCTOR, THEY SAY HERE THAT, "RESEARCH		
4	SHOWS THAT BOTH ENVIRONMENTAL AND GENETIC FACTORS LIKELY		
5	PLAY A ROLE IN AUTISM SPECTRUM DISORDER."		
6	DO YOU SEE THAT, DOCTOR?		
7	A YES.		
8	Q AND THAT'S WHAT WE WERE JUST TALKING ABOUT;		
9	CORRECT?		
10	A CORRECT.		
11	Q ALL RIGHT.		
12	AND HERE THEY SAY THAT, "NIH IS FINDING NEW		
13	WAYS TO RESEARCH FUND RESEARCH TO UNDERSTAND HOW		
14	ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS INTERACT WITH GENES IN WAYS THAT		
15	MAY LEAD TO ASD."		
16	DO YOU SEE THAT, DOCTOR?		
17	A YES.		
18	Q DOCTOR, CAN YOU JUST EXPLAIN TO US IN WHAT WAYS		
19	CAN ENVIRONMENT INTERACT WITH GENES TO CAUSE ASD?		
20	A WELL, SO FOR EXAMPLE, YOUR GENETIC PROFILE MAY		
21	LEAD YOU TO HAVE DIFFERENTIAL SUSCEPTIBILITY TO		
22	ENVIRONMENTAL INFLUENCES, AND SO, YOU KNOW, ONE OF THE		
23	STUDIES THAT LOOKED AT ENVIRONMENTAL CONTRIBUTORS TO		
24	AUTISM DIAGNOSIS WAS THE CHARGE STUDY WHICH LOOKED AT		
25	AIR POLLUTANTS, AND AMONG THE FINDINGS OF THAT STUDY WAS		
26	THAT CHILDREN WITH A PARTICULAR VARIANCE IN THE NAD		
27	RECEPTOR KINASE GENE WERE MORE SUSCEPTIBLE TO DEVELOP		
28	AUTISM WHEN EXPOSED TO POLLUTANTS.		

81

1 SO IN OTHER WORDS, YOU HAVE THE SAME -- WITH 2 ANY SORT OF THE GIVEN LEVEL OF EXPOSURE TO A POLLUTANT 3 THAT MAY CAUSE -- THAT MAY CAUSE AUTISM, SOME CHILDREN 4 DEVELOP IT AND OTHERS DON'T AND PART OF THE DIFFERENCE 5 THERE HAS TO DO WITH THEIR GENETIC SUSCEPTIBILITY.

SAME THING WITH, YOU KNOW, A LOT OF THE GENES 6 7 THAT PLAY A ROLE IN BRAIN DEVELOPMENT. WE TALKED A 8 LITTLE BIT EARLIER ABOUT THE VARIOUS KIND OF STAGES OF 9 THE BRAIN DEVELOPMENT. IF YOU HAVE A GENETIC RISK FACTOR THAT, YOU KNOW, THAT IMPAIRS THE FORMATION OF 10 CONNECTIONS OF THE GROWTH OF SYNAPSIS, YOU MIGHT BE MORE 11 VULNERABLE TO ANY ADDITIONAL INPUTS THAT ARE GOING TO 12 13 FURTHER DISRUPT THAT PROCESS.

I THINK ONE OF THE THINGS THAT HAS COME UP, FOR 14 15 EXAMPLE, IN THIS CONTEXT AS WELL IS THE DIFFERENTIAL 16 SORT OF SUSCEPTIBILITY OF -- OR THE DIFFERENT ABILITY OF 17 KIDS WITH AUTISM TO HANDLE AND METABOLIZE METALS, AND THAT IS, YOU KNOW, THE BASIS FOR THAT ARE NOT ENTIRELY 18 19 UNDERSTOOD. IT COULD MEAN THAT, YOU KNOW, FOR GENETIC 20 REASONS THESE CHILDREN HAVE THE DECREASED ABILITY TO 21 HANDLE AND EXCRETE METALS FROM THEIR SYSTEM, BUT THAT, YOU KNOW, THAT MIGHT ALSO MAKE THEM MORE SUSCEPTIBLE TO 22 23 DAMAGE CAUSED BY METAL EXPOSURE, THE METALS ARE HANGING AROUND LONGER IN THEIR SYSTEM, AND THEREFORE HAVE MORE 24 OF AN OPPORTUNITY TO INTERFERE WITH THE DEVELOPMENT OF 25 26 BRAIN CONNECTIVITY AND PROMOTE OXIDATIVE STRESS, AND SO 27 FORTH.

28

0

DOCTOR, IS THERE ONE CAUSE -- WELL, LET ME

1 PHRASE IT THIS WAY: SO EVEN IN CASES WHERE THERE'S A 2 WELL-DEFINED UNDERSTOOD GENETIC COMPONENT, CAN 3 ENVIRONMENTAL TRIGGERS STILL PLAY A ROLE IN CAUSING 4 AUTISM?

5

Α

I THINK THAT'S CERTAINLY THE CASE.

AND, YOU KNOW, EVEN IF YOU HAVE A GENETIC RISK
FACTOR THAT IS HIGHLY ASSOCIATED WITH AUTISM, THE DEGREE
AND THE SEVERITY OF YOUR SYMPTOMS IS GOING TO BE QUITE
DEPENDENT ON ENVIRONMENTAL INPUTS.

AND SO, FIRST OF ALL, YOU KNOW, THERE IS THIS QUESTION OF WHETHER YOU MEET CRITERIA FOR A BINARY DIAGNOSIS, AND TO MY KNOWLEDGE I'M NOT AWARE OF ANY GENES THAT CONFER A HUNDRED PERCENT RISK OF AUTISM, EVEN IN THE CONDITIONS THAT ARE MOST CLOSELY GENETICALLY ASSOCIATED WITH AUTISM, YOU KNOW, THE RISKS ARE NOT ABSOLUTE.

17 BUT IN CHILDREN WHO HAVE AUTISM AND HAVE GENETIC SUSCEPTIBILITY EVEN WHEN GENETICS IS RECOGNIZED 18 19 TO PLAY AN EXTREMELY IMPORTANT ROLE IN BRAIN DEVELOPMENT 20 AND THERE MAY BE, YOU KNOW, OBVIOUS EVEN STRUCTURAL 21 ABNORMALITIES IN THE BRAIN, STILL YOU KNOW, THE 22 ENVIRONMENT TO WHICH THAT CHILD IS EXPOSED HAS A BIG 23 ROLE IN THE EXPRESSION OF SYMPTOMS, AND THAT'S WHY, YOU KNOW, AS CLINICIANS WE ALL THINK IT'S CRITICAL THAT 24 CHILDREN HAVE, YOU KNOW, CERTAIN KINDS OF SUPPORTS THAT, 25 26 FIRST OF ALL, TO IDENTIFY CONCERNS FOR AUTISM AS EARLY 27 AS POSSIBLE AND NOT TO WAIT.

28

I THINK, SORRY IF THIS IS A DIGRESSION, BUT IN

THE CLINICAL WORLD, YOU KNOW, WE DON'T WAIT UNTIL THREE
 OR FOUR YEARS TO BE CONCERNED THAT A CHILD MIGHT DEVELOP
 AUTISM. IF YOU -- YOU WANT TO MAKE SURE THAT YOU'RE
 ADDRESSING ANY CONCERNS BEFOREHAND AND PART OF THAT IS
 BY OPTIMIZING THE ENVIRONMENT.

6 IF WE DIDN'T THINK THAT CHANGING THE
7 ENVIRONMENT COULD MODULATE THE EXPRESSION OF SYMPTOMS,
8 WE WOULDN'T BOTHER WITH THAT, BUT I THINK EVERYONE
9 AGREES THAT ENVIRONMENTAL INFLUENCES HAVE A BIG ROLE ON
10 YOUR ULTIMATE PROGNOSIS.

11 Q DOCTOR, I WANT TO TALK ABOUT YOUR BIOLOGICAL12 PLAUSIBILITY OPINION.

NOW, OTHER EXPERTS ARE GOING TO COME AND TALK
ABOUT CAUSATION. I THINK DR. ASCHNER IS GOING TO TALK
ABOUT BIOLOGICAL PLAUSIBILITY IN A LOT MORE DEPTH, BUT I
DO WANT TO FOCUS BRIEFLY ON YOUR BIOLOGICAL PLAUSIBILITY
OPINION, OKAY?

A SURE.

18

19	Q	AND IF WE JUST TAKE A LOOK AT THE NIH DOCUMENT.
20		AND HERE THEY HAVE A SECTION WHERE THEY SAY,
21		"ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS PLAY A ROLE, " AND
22		SPECIFICALLY THEY SAY "PRENATAL AND EARLY
23		CHILDHOOD EXPOSURE TO HEAVY METALS, ALTERED
24		LEVELS OF METALS IN THE BODY, PESTICIDES, AND
25		OTHER CONTAMINANTS MAY BE LINKED TO AUTISM
26		SPECTRUM DISORDER."
27		DO YOU SEE THAT, DOCTOR?
28	A	I SEE IT.

EXPOSURE TO HEAVY METAL MAY BE LINKED TO AUTISM SPECTRUM 2 3 DISORDER, DOES THAT MAKE BIOLOGICAL SENSE, DOCTOR? I THINK IT DOES, YEAH. I THINK THAT EXPOSURE 4 Α TO ANYTHING, AGAIN, THAT ALTERS THE PROCESSES OF BRAIN 5 DEVELOPMENT THAT ARE STILL ONGOING PRIOR TO THE 6 7 DIAGNOSIS DEFINITELY COULD PLAY A ROLE IN THE 8 SYMPTOMATOLOGY. 9 AND LET'S TALK ABOUT IN IT A BIT MORE DEPTH. 0 10 CAN YOU IDENTIFY SOME OF THE BIOLOGICAL PROCESSES THAT HAVE BEEN RECOGNIZED IN ASD ETIOLOGY? 11 LET START THERE. 12 SURE. 13 Α YEAH, THERE ARE A NUMBER, BUT I THINK THAT 14 15 AMONG THE MOST IMPORTANT ARE DIFFERENCES IN SYNAPTIC 16 CONNECTIVITY AND BRAIN NETWORK FORMATION, INFLAMMATION 17 AND OXIDATIVE STRESS. AND WHAT ARE SOME OF THE KNOWN BIOLOGICAL 18 0 19 PROCESSES THAT METALS LIKE LEAD, MERCURY AND ARSENIC ARE 20 CAPABLE OF AFFECTING IN THE BRAIN? 21 SO LEAD AND ARSENIC, FOR EXAMPLE, ARE KNOWN TO Α 2.2 DISRUPT THE FUNCTION OF THE N-METHYL-D ASPARTATE 23 RECEPTORS, SO THAT IS A GLUTAMATE RECEPTOR IN THE BRAIN 24 THAT IS REALLY CRITICAL FOR THE PROCESS OF SYNAPTIC FORMATION; SO AGAIN, FORMING THOSE CONNECTIONS IN BRAIN 25 26 NETWORKS RELIES TO A LARGE EXTENT ON SIGNALLING THROUGH 27 THIS RECEPTOR AND WE KNOW THAT EXPOSURE TO LEAD AND TO

DOES THAT STATEMENT THAT EARLY CHILDHOOD

1

0

28 ARSENIC INHIBITS INTRACELLULAR SIGNALLING THROUGH THE

MNDAR RECEPTOR, IT ALSO RESULTS IN ALTERED EXPRESSION OF
MNDAR SUBUNITS SO THAT THE RECEPTORS ARE PROTEINS WITHIN
CELL MEMBRANES THAT ARE COMPOSED OF VARIOUS SUBUNITS,
EXPOSURE TO LEAD WILL ALTER THE COMPOSITION OF THOSE
RECEPTORS IN SUCH A WAY THAT THE NORMAL KIND OF
SIGNALLING PROCESSES THAT LEAD TO FORMATION OF BRAIN
NETWORKS IS DISRUPTED.

8 SO WE KNOW THAT IF YOU BLOCK OR IMPAIR OR 9 DISRUPT NNDAR RECEPTOR AND NNDAR RECEPTOR FUNCTION, THAT 10 WILL IMPAIR SYNAPTOGENESIS AND IT WILL IMPAIR THE 11 MAINTENANCE OF CONNECTIONS WITHIN BRAIN NETWORKS.

SO WE KNOW THAT LEAD HAS THAT EFFECT FROM
NUMEROUS STUDIES ON NNDA RECEPTORS AND WE KNOW THAT
PROCESS OF SYNAPTOGENESIS AND SYNAPTIC FORMATION IS
ALTERED IN CHILDREN WITH AUTISM.

Q WOULD IT BE FAIR TO SAY THAT THE BIOLOGICAL
PATHWAYS INVOLVED IN AUTISM, DO THEY OVERLAP TO A GREAT
EXTENT WITH HOW METALS -- THE PROCESSES OF METALS
AFFECTING THE BRAIN?

A YEAH. SO THE VARIOUS, YOU KNOW, THE PATHWAYS
THAT SORT OF CONTRIBUTE TO THE EMERGENCE OF SYMPTOMS OF
AUTISM ARE THE SAME PATHWAYS THAT ARE DISRUPTED BY
EXPOSURE TO METALS DURING THE PERIOD OF BRAIN NETWORK
DEVELOPMENT SO, YOU KNOW, SYNAPTOGENESIS AND NETWORK
FORMATION.

26 THE OTHERS ARE -- THE OTHER PROCESSES I
27 MENTIONED ARE OXIDATIVE STRESS, SO THAT'S CAUSED BY AN
28 IMBALANCE IN OXYGEN FREE RADICALS THAT HAS DELETERIOUS

EFFECTS ON CELLULAR FUNCTION, IT CAN LEAD TO DNA DAMAGE,
 DISRUPTION IN CELL MEMBRANE FUNCTION AND ALTERATIONS IN
 MITOCHONDRIAL ENERGY METABOLISM. WE KNOW THAT THOSE
 PROCESSES ALSO ARE DISRUPTED IN AUTISM AND THE DEGREE OF
 DESTRUCTION CORRELATES WITH THE SEVERITY OF SYMPTOMS.

INTERESTINGLY, YOU KNOW, SOME STUDIES HAVE 6 7 SHOWN THAT, YOU KNOW, THERE ARE HIGHER LEVELS OF 8 INFLAMMATION AND OXIDATIVE STRESS IN PARENTS AND MOTHERS OF CHILDREN WITH AUTISM, WHICH SUGGESTS THAT THOSE 9 PROCESSES ARE, YOU KNOW, PLAYING A ROLE PRENATALLY AS 10 WELL, BUT THE EXTENT TO WHICH THEY CAN INJURE THE 11 12 DEVELOPING BRAIN DOES NOT, YOU KNOW, SORT OF DEPEND ON 13 TIMING IN THAT WAY, YOU KNOW, IN THE SAME WAY THAT THEY -- THAT OXIDATIVE STRESS CAN CAUSE DAMAGE TO THE DNA AND 14 15 CELL MEMBRANES PRIOR TO BIRTH, THE SAME THING CAN BE OCCURRING POSTNATALLY IN THE FACE OF AN EXPOSURE TO 16 SOMETHING THAT WILL INDUCE STRESS. 17

Q NOW, TALKING ABOUT ONE OF THE METALS,
SPECIFICALLY MERCURY, DEFENSE COUNSEL DURING HIS OPENING
STATEMENT SAID THAT WE'VE BEEN DOWN THIS ROAD BEFORE
WITH VACCINES AND MERCURY.

DOCTOR, IS IT YOUR UNDERSTANDING THAT THE
MERCURY FOUND IN VACCINES IS THE SAME MERCURY THAT IS
PRESENT THROUGH ENVIRONMENTAL FOOD SOURCES AND
ENVIRONMENT GENERALLY?

A NO. MY UNDERSTANDING IS THAT IT'S A DIFFERENT
FORM OF MERCURY THAN, YOU KNOW, IN FOODS AND
ENVIRONMENTAL SOURCES. WE'RE TALKING ABOUT METHYL

MERCURY, AND FURTHERMORE, THE TIMING OF EXPOSURE IS A
 LITTLE BIT DIFFERENT.

3 SO YOU KNOW, VACCINES ARE GIVEN AT, LET'S SAY, 4 THE TWO YEARS OF AGE OR AT LEAST THE ONES ALLEGED TO 5 CAUSE AUTISM ARE GIVEN 18 MONTHS TO TWO YEARS OF AGE SO 6 AT THE TIME THAT SYMPTOMS EMERGE WHEN REGRESSION IS 7 HAPPENING.

8 BUT I THINK AS WE'VE TALKED ABOUT THAT BY THE 9 TIME THAT HAPPENS, THE PROCESSES THAT LEAD TO THAT HAVE 10 ALREADY UNFOLDED, AND I THINK THAT THE CRITICAL WINDOW IS REALLY THE PERIOD BETWEEN BIRTH AND AROUND TWO YEARS 11 12 OF AGE THAT, YOU KNOW, AN EXPOSURE AT TWO YEARS OF AGE 13 MAY CAUSE -- I MEAN, IN THIS CASE IT'S A DIFFERENT FORM OF MERCURY, AND I THINK IT'S, YOU KNOW, ALREADY KIND OF 14 15 UNRELATED ON THAT BASIS.

16 BUT ALSO WITH RESPECT TO SPECIFICALLY TO AUTISM 17 THAT I THINK, YOU KNOW, YOU DON'T CAUSE AUTISM AT THE 18 VERY TIME THAT AUTISM IS DIAGNOSED. IT HAS TO BE 19 SOMETHING TO WHICH YOU WERE EXPOSED TO BEFOREHAND.

20QDOCTOR, DO YOU -- OR HAVE YOU EVER OPINED THAT21THE TYPE OF MERCURY FOUND IN VACCINES CAN CAUSE AUTISM?

A NO, I HAVEN'T.

23

22

Q OKAY. WHY HAVEN'T YOU OPINED THAT?

24AIDON'TBELIEVETHATTHERE'SANYEVIDENCETHAT25THAT'STHECASE.

26 Q NOW, DOCTOR, I JUST WANTED TO FINISH OFF A 27 COUPLE OF LAST POINTS. I WANTED TO JUST GO BACK TO THE 28 NIH DOCUMENT. THEY SAY IN HERE THAT NEARLY HALF OF THE

> Bryce Reporting Services (510)828-9404 - info@brycereporters.com

88

1 CHILDREN WITH AUTISM HAVE AVERAGE OR ABOVE AVERAGE 2 INTELLECTUAL ABILITY. DO YOU SEE THAT, DOCTOR? 3 4 Α YES, I DO. I JUST WANT TO PAUSE THERE FOR A SECOND BECAUSE 5 0 IF IT'S TRUE THAT EXPOSURE TO METALS CAN AFFECT 6 INTELLECTUAL DEVELOPMENT, PARTICULARLY LEAD CAN HAVE AN 7 8 EFFECT ON IQ, AND SO FORTH, HOW DOES THAT MAKE SENSE THAT NEARLY HALF OF ASD CHILDREN HAVE AN AVERAGE OR 9 10 ABOVE AVERAGE INTELLECTUAL ABILITY? CAN YOU RECONCILE THAT FOR US? 11 12 А YES, CERTAINLY. SO, FIRST OF ALL, NOT ALL CASES OF CHILDREN 13 WITH AUTISM ARE GOING TO HAVE LEAD EXPOSURE, AND SO I 14 15 THINK, YOU KNOW, IF HALF HAVE AVERAGE OR ABOVE AVERAGE INTELLECTUAL ABILITY, IT ALSO MEANS THAT HALF HAVE 16 17 AVERAGE OR BELOW AVERAGE -- OR HAVE BELOW AVERAGE INTELLECTUAL ABILITY. 18 19 0 UM-HMM. AND SO, YOU KNOW, THERE IS A SUBSTANTIAL 20 Α 21 PROPORTION OF CHILDREN WHO HAVE AUTISM WHO ALSO HAVE 22 INTELLECTUAL DISABILITY. ALSO, YOU KNOW, WE'RE DEALING 23 WITH A KIND OF A BINARY -- THE CUTOFF HERE IS BINARY, SO YOU KNOW, INTELLECTUAL DISABILITY OR NOT. WELL, THAT 24 DOESN'T MEAN THAT THERE'S NOT SOME IMPACT ON 25 26 INTELLECTUAL ABILITY AND COGNITIVE CONSCIOUS, SO WE KNOW 27 FROM LATER CHILDHOOD STUDIES OF LEAD EXPOSURE THAT LEAD 28 RESULTS IN A LOSS OF IQ POINTS, LET'S SAY, ANYWHERE IN

3 TIME. SO YOU KNOW, IF HYPOTHETICALLY IN THE ABSENCE 4 5 OF LEAD EXPOSURE YOUR IQ MIGHT HAVE BEEN 115 AND NOW IT'S 110, YOU'RE NOT INTELLECTUALLY DISABLED, BUT IT 6 7 DOES HAVE AN IMPACT ON YOUR LIFE AND YOUR ABILITY TO 8 FUNCTION. AND LASTLY, DOCTOR, THE DEFENDANTS HAVE SAID 9 0 10 THAT ANY STUDIES THAT ADDRESS THE EFFECT OF METALS ON DEVELOPMENT OF ASD SYMPTOMS SHOULD BE DISCOUNTED BECAUSE 11 12 THAT'S SYMPTOMS OF ASD, THAT'S NOT ASD. 13 IS THAT AN APPROPRIATE DISTINCTION? I MEAN, SYMPTOMS OF ASD ARE ASD, IT'S A 14 Α 15 SYMPTOM-BASED DIAGNOSIS. 16 NOW, THE OTHER THING THAT I WOULD SAY ABOUT 17 LEAD JUST TO FINISH MY PREVIOUS THOUGHT IS THAT, YOU 18 KNOW, CERTAIN TYPES OF BRAIN FUNCTIONS MAY BE MORE 19 LIKELY TO BE AFFECTED BY IT THAN OTHERS; SO FOR EXAMPLE, 20 EXECUTIVE FUNCTION, COGNITIVE CONTROL FUNCTIONS THAT 21 DEPEND ON THE PREFRONTAL CORTEX, WHICH IS ACTUALLY THE 22 PART OF THE BRAIN THAT IS LAST TO DEVELOP SO THE 23 CONNECTIVITY WITHIN SORT OF THAT FRONT PART OF THE BRAIN, THE PREFRONTAL CORTEX, DEVELOPS LATER THAN 24 CONNECTIVITY IN THE BACK PART OF THE BRAIN THAT IS 25 26 RESPONSIBLE FOR SORT OF BASIC LEVEL VISION AND HEARING, 27 AND SO FORTH. AND IT'S THAT PREFRONTAL CORTEX THAT IS 28

THE RANGE OF 1 TO 10 POINTS OR MORE DEPENDING ON HOW

MUCH LEAD YOU'RE EXPOSED TO AND OVER WHAT PERIOD OF

1

2

90

RESPONSIBLE, YOU KNOW, FOR ATTENTION, EMOTIONAL CONTROL,
 EXECUTIVE CONTROL AND INHIBITION, AND SO FORTH, AND
 THOSE PROCESSES ARE NOT NECESSARILY DIRECTLY RELATED TO
 IQ, SO WE ALL KNOW THAT THERE MAY BE, YOU KNOW,
 INDIVIDUALS WHO ARE -- HAVE EXTREMELY HIGH IQ'S, BUT
 HAVE DIFFICULTY WITH REGULATING THEIR EMOTION OR MAY
 HAVE -- MAY MEET CRITERIA FOR ADHD.

8 SO THAT'S A, YOU KNOW, HAS TO DO WITH 9 DIFFERENTIAL EFFECTS ON DIFFERENT BRAIN AREAS AND THAT 10 MIGHT BE RELATED TO THE SORT OF WINDOW OF SUSCEPTIBILITY 11 WITH RESPECT TO WHEN THOSE BRAIN AREAS ARE DEVELOPING.

12 Q LASTLY, DOCTOR, DEFENSE COUNSEL IN OPENING
13 STATEMENT THAT SAID THAT YOU EXPLICITLY REJECT CAUSATION
14 BETWEEN METALS AND ASD.

FIRST OF ALL, HAVE YOU BEEN ASKED TO LOOK AT
CAUSATION BETWEEN METALS AND ASD IN THIS LITIGATION?
A THAT HAS NOT BEEN MY CHARGE. I HAVE NOT
REJECTED THAT CLAIM, BUT MY -- MY UNDERSTANDING IS THAT
THE PURPOSE OF MY TESTIMONY IS NOT TO SPEAK TO
CAUSATION.

Q OKAY. AND YOU HAVEN'T REVIEWED THE VAST BODY
OF EPIDEMIOLOGICAL DATA ADDRESSING EXPOSURE TO METALS
AND ASD SPECIFICALLY IN THIS CASE; CORRECT?

A I HAVE LOOKED AT IT.
Q DOCTOR, THAT'S -- GIVE ME ONE SECOND.

26 THAT'S ALL I HAVE FOR YOU. THANK YOU SO MUCH
27 FOR YOUR TIME. I'M GOING TO PASS THE WITNESS.
28 THE WITNESS: THANK YOU.

1 THE COURT: OKAY. EXAMINATION FROM THE 2 DEFENSE, PLEASE. 3 MR. PETROSINELLI: YES, YOUR HONOR. IT LOOKS 4 LIKE THEY WENT ABOUT 55 MINUTES. I WILL BE SHORTER THAN 5 THAT, BUT I ASSUME WE SHOULD GO TO ABOUT NOON AND THEN WE'LL BREAK FOR LUNCH. 6 7 THE COURT: I HAVE TO BREAK FOR LUNCH TO GIVE 8 MY STAFF A BREAK, YES. 9 MR. PETROSINELLI: OF COURSE. YES, I WILL PROCEED. 10 11 THE COURT: THANK YOU. 12 13 CROSS EXAMINATION MR. IMBROSCIO: 14 15 LET ME -- FIRST OF ALL, GOOD MORNING. IT'S Q 16 NICE TO SEE YOU AGAIN, SIR. 17 А LIKEWISE. I WANT TO TRY TO BEGIN WITH SOME POINTS THAT I 18 0 DON'T THINK WE'RE GOING TO HAVE DISAGREEMENT ON AND PICK 19 UP RIGHT WHERE YOU LEFT OFF. 20 21 YOU ARE NOT OFFERING AN OPINION IN THIS 2.2 LITIGATION THAT EXPOSURE TO HEAVY METALS CAUSES AUTISM; 23 RIGHT? 24 Α CORRECT. YOU'VE LOOKED AT THE EPI, BUT YOU'RE NOT GOING 25 Q 26 TO OFFER THAT OPINION. 27 SAME WITH ADHD; RIGHT? 28 YES. Α 92

0 OKAY.

1 NOW, TO BE CLEAR, YOU'RE CURRENTLY A CLINICIAN 2 3 AND NOT AN EPIDEMIOLOGIST; RIGHT? I AM -- THAT'S CORRECT. I'M NOT AN 4 Α EPIDEMIOLOGIST. 5 AND WE'RE GOING TO BE TALKING ABOUT YOUR 6 0 7 BIOLOGIC PLAUSIBILITY OPINIONS PROBABLY AFTER THE LUNCH 8 BREAK. 9 BUT JUST TO BE CLEAR, YOU WOULD AGREE THAT BIOLOGIC PLAUSIBILITY DOES NOT DISPENSE WITH THE NEED TO 10 11 ESTABLISH A VALID EPIDEMIOLOGICAL ASSOCIATION; CORRECT? 12 А IN WHAT CONTEXT? WELL, LET ME ASK YOU AGAIN. 13 0 DO YOU AGREE, QUOTE, "THAT BIOLOGIC 14 15 PLAUSIBILITY DOES NOT DISPENSE WITH THE NEED TO 16 ESTABLISH A VALID EPIDEMIOLOGICAL ASSOCIATION IN THIS 17 CONTEXT, " HEAVY METALS AND AUTISM? YEAH, I DO THINK WE -- YOU NEED TO ESTABLISH 18 Α 19 EVIDENCE FROM A VARIETY OF SOURCES, INCLUDING 20 EPIDEMIOLOGIC EVIDENCE. ANOTHER WAY TO MAYBE SAY IT IS THAT BIOLOGIC 21 0 PLAUSIBILITY, THOSE KINDS OF ARGUMENTS MAY HELP RULE OUT 22 23 BUT NOT NECESSARILY RULE IN CAUSALITY IN ASSOCIATIONS. WOULD YOU AGREE WITH THAT? 24 I THINK THAT'S FAIR. 25 Α 26 0 OKAY. 27 NOW, YOU TALKED A LOT ABOUT THIS. YOU DON'T DISPUTE THE GENERAL PROPOSITION THAT 28 93

1 GENETICS PLAYS A SIGNIFICANT ROLE IN THE DEVELOPMENT OF 2 AUTISM; RIGHT? 3 NO, I WOULD NOT. Α AND I THINK IN YOUR REPORT YOU CITE SOME 4 0 5 ARTICLES THAT SUGGEST THAT CONCORDANCE MIGHT BE AS HIGH AS 83 PERCENT, BUT YOU AT LEAST IN YOUR REPORT CITED 6 7 SOME OF THOSE ARTICLES; CORRECT? 8 YES. Α 9 0 OKAY. 10 YOU UNDERSTAND THAT AUTISM IS ONE OF THE MOST, 11 IF NOT THE MOST, HERITABLE DISEASE; IS THAT YOUR 12 UNDERSTANDING? 13 IT -- WELL, PSYCHIATRIC OR BEHAVIORAL DISEASES, Α YES. 14 15 Q OKAY. BEAR WITH ME. NOW, YOU TALKED A LITTLE BIT ABOUT AUTISM ON 16 17 DIRECT. LET ME JUST ASK YOU A LITTLE BIT MORE ABOUT YOUR BACKGROUND. 18 YOU SAID CURRENTLY YOU'RE A CLINICIAN AT A 19 20 PRIVATE CLINIC; CORRECT? CORTICA? 21 CORRECT, YES. Α AND WHEN YOU WERE IN ACADEMIA, IS IT FAIR TO 22 0 23 SAY THAT YOUR FOCUS WAS NOT ON AUTISM RESEARCH; RIGHT? 24 Α NOT SPECIFICALLY. AND YOU WERE AT UCSF. YOU LEFT UCSF IN 2017; 25 Q 26 RIGHT? 27 Α THAT IS CORRECT. 28 Q AND UCSF, THEY HAVE GOT AN AUTISM CENTER THERE. 94

1 YOU WEREN'T PART OF IT; CORRECT? 2 I WAS NOT PART OF IT, BUT I COLLABORATED WITH А 3 THE AUTISM RESEARCHERS IN UCSF. YOU WERE NOT IN THAT GROUP, CORRECT, SIR? 4 0 YEAH, I WAS NOT IN THE GROUP. 5 Α THAT GROUP IS IN THE DEPARTMENT OF PSYCHIATRY. I WAS IN NEUROLOGY. 6 7 AND THROUGHOUT YOUR CAREER IN ACADEMIA UP UNTIL 0 8 THE TIME YOU LEFT UCSF, YOU ACTUALLY HAD NOT DONE ANY 9 PUBLICATIONS, PAPERS OR PRESENTATIONS ON ASD; RIGHT? 10 NOT SPECIFICALLY ON ASD. Α AND SITTING HERE TODAY AS OF THIS MOMENT, 11 0 12 YOU'VE NOT WRITTEN ANY PAPERS ON THE CAUSES OF ASD; 13 CORRECT? NO, I DON'T BELIEVE SO. 14 Α 15 Q OKAY. AND --WELL, I MEAN, I HAVE CONTRIBUTED TO GENETIC 16 Α 17 STUDIES LOOKING AT GENES THAT ARE IMPLICATED IN ASD. WELL, LET ME ASK YOU THIS QUESTION: 18 0 ARE YOU --19 ARE THERE ANY PAPERS THAT YOU'VE WRITTEN THAT HAVE 20 ANYTHING TO DO WITH THE CAUSES OF AUTISM? WELL, SO I HAVE BEEN A CO-AUTHOR ON PAPERS THAT 21 Α LOOK AT SPECIFIC GENETIC ALTERATIONS THAT MAY BE 22 23 IMPLICATED IN AUTISM. THOSE PAPERS DIDN'T DEAL WITH AUTISM 24 0 SPECIFICALLY, DID THEY, SIR? 25 26 Α WELL, SO THEY WERE MORE FOCUSED ON THE GENETIC 27 ALTERCATIONS, PER SE, AND NOT ON AUTISM; BUT, IN FACT, 28 THE CHILDREN WHO ARE REPORTED IN THOSE PAPERS DID HAVE

1 AUTISM. DO YOU REMEMBER ON DECEMBER 15TH YOU AND I 2 0 3 TALKED, YOU WERE DEPOSED? YES. 4 Α DO YOU REMEMBER BEING ASKED THIS OUESTION: 5 0 "YEAH, WELL, THERE -- ARE THERE ANY PAPERS THAT 6 7 YOU'VE WRITTEN THAT HAVE ANYTHING TO DO WITH 8 THE CAUSE OF AUTISM?" 9 "ANSWER: NO." 10 DO YOU REMEMBER ME ASKING THAT QUESTION, YOU GIVING THAT ANSWER? 11 12 Α I DON'T REMEMBER, BUT I BELIEVED -- I BELIEVE 13 IT IF YOU TELL ME THAT. IT'S A PARTICULAR PAPER THAT HAS TO DO WITH IT THAT I'M THINKING OF THAT HAS TO DO 14 15 WITH THE TRAP 7 MUTATION THAT MAY NOT HAVE COME TO MIND 16 WHEN I WAS ANSWERING. THE COURT: LET'S MOVE ON. 17 18 MR. PETROSINELLI: YES, YOUR HONOR. 19 AND TO BE CLEAR, AT CORTICA YOU'RE NOT DOING 0 20 ANY RESEARCH OR STUDIES ON POTENTIAL CAUSES OF AUTISM; 21 RIGHT? 22 А NO, I'M NOT. 23 0 OKAY. AND YOU MADE REFERENCE TO -- YOU HAVE 24 PUBLISHED A FEW PAPERS DEALING WITH NOVEL TREATMENTS OF AUTISM; CORRECT? 25 26 Α CORRECT. I DON'T KNOW THAT THOSE ARE 27 PUBLISHED, BUT THERE ARE SOME CONFERENCE PRESENTATIONS. 28 Q YEAH, I MISSPOKE. YOU'RE RIGHT. 96

1 YOU PRESENTED SOME PAPERS ABOUT I THINK SOME OF 2 THE CRANIAL ELECTROTHERAPY STIMULATION TREATMENT OF THE 3 BRAIN; CORRECT? YEAH, I PRESENTED SOME PAPERS AT CONFERENCES OF 4 Α THAT AND ALSO ABOUT THE UTILITY OF DEVELOPMENTAL 5 THERAPIES LIKE SPEECH AND OCCUPATION THERAPY IN THE 6 7 TREATMENT OF AUTISM. 8 OKAY. DOCTOR, WOULD YOU AGREE WITH ME THAT THE 0 NOTION OF EXPOSURE TO HEAVY METALS CAUSING AUTISM IS A 9 NOTION THAT'S NOT IN WIDE CURRENCY? 10 I THINK IT IS A NOTION THAT HAS -- I THINK IT 11 Α 12 IS IN WIDE CURRENCY. I'M NOT SURE THAT, YOU KNOW, IT IS 13 SOMETHING THAT IS UNIVERSALLY AGREED, BUT I DON'T THINK THAT IT'S FAIR TO SAY THAT IT IS SOMETHING THAT IF YOU 14 15 SPOKE TO A CLINICIAN ABOUT IT, THEY WOULD SAY, OH, THEY HAVE NEVER HEARD ANYTHING LIKE THAT BEFORE. 16 17 Q ALL RIGHT. WELL, DO YOU REMEMBER, AGAIN, BACK TO YOUR 18 19 DEPOSITION -- CAN YOU PULL THIS UP, EXHIBIT 8, PAGE 240, 20 LINES 4 TO 10. DOCTOR, YOU WERE ASKED THIS OUESTION: 21 "AND SO BY DEFINITION AT LEAST CURRENTLY, IT'S 22 23 NOT GENERALLY ACCEPTED IN THE SCIENTIFIC COMMUNITY THAT AUTISM -- HEAVY METALS CAUSE 24 AUTISM?" 25 26 AND YOU SAID, "IT'S NOT A NOTION THAT'S IN WIDE 27 CURRENCY." THOSE WERE YOUR WORDS AT THE DEPOSITION. 28

1 Α YEAH, SO HERE WE'RE REFERRING TO THE SCIENTIFIC 2 COMMUNITY. BUT, YEAH, SO I THINK IF YOU ASKED 3 CLINICIANS WHO TREAT AUTISM OR PARENTS OR FAMILIES, AND AGAIN, YOU KNOW, IT'S NOT NECESSARILY ACCEPTED THAT AS A 4 FACT THAT HEAVY METALS CAUSE AUTISM, BUT I THINK THAT 5 THE NOTION THAT THERE MIGHT BE A RELATIONSHIP IS NOT 6 7 NOVEL, LET'S SAY THAT. 8 OKAY. THANK YOU. 0 9 SO AT LEAST, AS YOU SAID, WITHIN THE SCIENTIFIC COMMUNITY THAT'S NOT BEEN ESTABLISHED? 10 11 Α YES. 12 0 OKAY. NOW, DOCTOR, YOU MENTIONED YOU TRAINED AT 13 HARVARD AND YOU SPENT TIME IN ACADEMIA AND YOU NOW TREAT 14 15 KIDS WITH AUTISM. 16 I THINK YOU TOLD ME THAT THE IDEA THAT HEAVY 17 METALS IN BABY FOOD MIGHT BE CAUSING AUTISM, THAT WAS SOMETHING THAT WAS NOT ON YOUR RADAR, AT LEAST AT THE 18 19 TIME OF YOUR DEPOSITION OR BEFORE YOU BECAME INVOLVED IN 20 THIS CASE; RIGHT? YEAH, I HAD NOT BEEN AWARE OF THE SPECIFIC 21 Α ISSUE OF BABY FOOD UNTIL I CAME ACROSS THE CONGRESSIONAL 22 23 REPORT. 0 OKAY. 24 AND YOU WERE NOT AWARE OF ANY CLAIM, ANY 25 26 PUBLICATION THAT MADE THAT CLAIM THAT HEAVY METALS IN 27 BABY FOOD CAUSED AUTISM; RIGHT? NO, I'M NOT. 28 Α

1 0 NOW, I WANT TO, BEFORE THE LUNCH BREAK IN ABOUT 2 A FEW MINUTES, TALK VERY BRIEFLY ABOUT YOUR WORK AT 3 CORTICA, AND I THINK YOU MAY HAVE ANSWERED SOME OF THESE ALREADY, BUT IT SOUNDS LIKE, I THINK YOU TOLD ME IN YOUR 4 DEPOSITION ABOUT 60 TO 70 PERCENT OF YOUR TIME IS SPENT 5 IN CLINICAL CARE? 6 7 Α THAT'S ABOUT RIGHT. 8 AND ABOUT ROUGHLY HALF THE PATIENTS YOU SEE ARE 0 9 ALREADY DIAGNOSED WITH AUTISM? 10 Α THAT SOUNDS RIGHT. 11 0 YEAH. 12 AND THEN FOR THOSE WHO HAVEN'T BEEN DIAGNOSED, 13 YOU SOMETIMES MAKE THOSE DIAGNOSES, OBVIOUSLY IF IT'S APPROPRIATE; RIGHT? 14 15 Α YES. AND AGAIN, I THINK YOU SAID THIS, BUT LET ME 16 0 17 JUST ASK IT PRECISELY. THERE IS A DIFFERENCE BETWEEN AUTISM AND 18 19 GENERALIZED NEURODEVELOPMENTAL SYMPTOMS; CORRECT? 20 WELL, AUTISM IS A SPECIFIC CONSTELLATION OF Α SYMPTOMS, SO NOT ALL THE CHILDREN WITH 21 2.2 NEURODEVELOPMENTAL ISSUES WILL HAVE A DIAGNOSIS OF 23 AUTISM. AND I THINK YOU MADE REFERENCE TO THE DSM 5. 24 0 TELL THE JUDGE WHAT DSM 5 VERSION IS. 25 26 Α YEAH, SO THE DSM IS THE DIAGNOSTIC AND 27 STATISTICAL MANUAL OF MEDICAL DISORDERS, 5 REFERS TO 28 FIFTH VERSION OF THAT MANUAL AND THAT IS BASICALLY THE

1 COMPENDIUM THAT LAYS OUT THE DIAGNOSTIC CRITERIA FOR VARIOUS CONDITIONS, INCLUDING AUTISM, ALSO MAJOR 2 DEPRESSION, GENERALIZED ANXIETY DISORDER, SO ANYTHING 3 THAT IS SORT OF FELT BY THE AMERICAN PSYCHIATRIC 4 5 ASSOCIATION TO BE WITHIN THEIR WHEELHOUSE IS DEFINED IN THE DSM 5. 6 7 0 OKAY. YEAH, THAT'S HELPFUL. 8 SO I JUST WANT TO -- SINCE YOU DIDN'T GET INTO 9 THE DETAIL THERE, I WANT TO JUST RUN THROUGH IT PRETTY 10 OUICKLY, THEN WE'LL TAKE THE LUNCH BREAK. CAN YOU -- AND, IAN, CAN YOU PLEASE PULL UP, 11 YEAH, SLIDE 5. 12 SO WHAT I'VE -- YOU'RE CERTAINLY FAMILIAR WITH 13 THE DSM 5, YOU USE IT EVERY DAY; CORRECT? 14 15 Α YES. AS IT RELATES TO AUTISM? 16 Q 17 Α WELL, CORRECT. I USE THESE CRITERIA EVERY DAY, 18 YEAH. 19 YEAH, FAIR ENOUGH. 0 AND SO THERE ARE -- AS I UNDERSTAND IT THERE 20 21 ARE FIVE TOP LINE CATEGORIES FOR AUTISM -- FOR AN AUTISM 2.2 DIAGNOSIS THAT WE'VE JUST EXTRACTED HERE. 23 Α YES. "PERSISTENT DEFICITS IN COMMUNICATION 24 0 INTERACTION, RESTRICTIVE, REPETITIVE BEHAVIORS, 25 26 AND THEN THE SYMPTOMS IN EARLY DEVELOPMENT 27 PERIOD, SYMPTOMS THAT CAN CAUSE CLINICALLY SIGNIFICANT IMPAIRMENT AND DISTURBANCES THAT 28

1	AREN'T BETTER EXPLAINED BY INTELLECTUAL
2	DISABILITY."
3	THAT'S A FAIR SUMMARY OF THE DSM 5 CATEGORIES;
4	RIGHT?
5	A YES.
6	Q OKAY.
7	LET'S GO TO THE NEXT SLIDE.
8	SO I JUST WANT TO BREAK THOSE DOWN.
9	UNDER CATEGORY 1, THERE ARE ACTUALLY THREE
10	NEXT SLIDE THERE ARE ACTUALLY THREE SUB COMPONENTS.
11	I WON'T READ THEM ALL.
12	BUT TO QUALIFY FOR AN AUTISM DIAGNOSIS, YOU
13	NEEDED TO MEET EACH OF THESE THREE SUB COMPONENTS WITHIN
14	PART 1. SO EMOTIONAL RECIPROCITY, NONVERBAL
15	COMMUNICATION BEHAVIORS, AND, YOU KNOW, DEVELOPING AND
16	UNDERSTANDING RELATIONSHIPS; TRUE?
17	A THAT'S TRUE.
18	Q AND THEN IF YOU'VE GOT THOSE THREE AREAS OF
19	PERSISTENT DEFICITS IN SOCIAL COMMUNICATION, YOU GO TO
20	STEP 2 NEXT SLIDE WHERE YOU'VE GOT TO HAVE TWO OF
21	THESE FOUR CATEGORIES OF BEHAVIORS; CORRECT?
22	A YES.
23	Q AND I WON'T READ ALL OF THOSE, BUT IF A CHILD
24	HAS TWO OR MORE OF THOSE, THEN THEY WOULD MEET THIS
25	SECOND CATEGORY OF DSM 5; CORRECT?
26	A CORRECT.
27	Q OKAY.
28	AND THEN TO SKIP AHEAD TO THE THIRD ONE NOW AND
	101

1 THE REST OF THEM.

SO THREE REALLY -- AND YOU SAID THIS -- THE 2 3 SYMPTOMS HAVE TO BE PRESENT, NOT NECESSARILY THE DIAGNOSIS, BUT THE SYMPTOMS HAVE TO BE PRESENT IN THE 4 5 EARLY DEVELOPMENTAL PERIOD; CORRECT? 6 Α RIGHT. 7 0 AND I THINK YOU SAID THREE IS SORT OF THE 8 GENERAL -- THREE YEARS OF AGE -- IS THE GENERAL THRESHOLD BY WHICH THE SYMPTOMS SHOULD HAVE TO HAVE 9 MANIFESTED EVEN IF SOMEONE DIDN'T PICK UP ON THEM. 10 11 IS THAT FAIR? THREE IS NOT AN ABSOLUTE THRESHOLD. 12 А YEAH. IΤ 13 COULD BE, YOU KNOW, FOUR OR FOUR AND A HALF. YEAH. 14 0 15 I THINK THE DSM 4, WHICH I THINK YOU ARE PROBABLY FAMILIAR WITH, I THINK THEY DID HAVE THREE 16 YEARS OLD AND NOW THEY'VE CHANGED IT TO EARLY 17 DEVELOPMENT PERIOD; RIGHT? 18 19 CORRECT. Α 20 0 OKAY. 21 AND THEN THE SYMPTOMS HAVE TO BE SIGNIFICANT. 2.2 THEY HAVE TO CAUSE CLINICALLY SIGNIFICANT IMPAIRMENT, 23 YOU KNOW, FOR THESE -- FOR THIS CHILD; CORRECT? 24 Α YES. AND FINALLY, THE SYMPTOMS HAVE TO NOT BE BETTER 25 0 26 EXPLAINED BY INTELLECTUAL DISABILITY OR GLOBAL 27 DEVELOPMENTAL DELAY; CORRECT? 28 Α YES.

1 0 AND I THINK YOU SAID THIS. A CHILD COULD HAVE ONE OR MORE OF THESE SYMPTOMS AND NOT NECESSARILY 2 3 OUALIFY AS HAVING AUTISM; RIGHT? WELL, UNLESS THEY MEET ALL OF THESE 4 Α RIGHT. 5 CRITERIA AS THEY DON'T BY DEFINITION HAVE AUTISM. AND WE TALKED ABOUT DECREASED IO AND I HAD SOME 6 0 7 CDC NUMBERS I'LL JUST SKIP THROUGH. 8 YOU AGREE THAT A FAIR NUMBER OF CHILDREN, MORE THAN HALF WITH AUTISM, HAVE EITHER HIGHER OR NORMAL IQ; 9 10 CORRECT? 11 Α YES. 12 0 OKAY. REALLY QUICKLY, LAST POINT I'LL MAKE BEFORE THE 13 LUNCH BREAK. 14 15 YOU'RE FAMILIAR WITH SOME OF THE SCREENING 16 QUESTIONNAIRES FOR AUTISM, LIKE THE SOCIAL COMMUNICATION 17 QUESTIONNAIRE, THE SOCIAL RESPONSIVENESS SCALE. YOU'RE FAMILIAR WITH THOSE GENERALLY, ARE YOU 18 19 NOT, SIR? 20 YES. Α AND THOSE OUESTIONNAIRES OR SCREENING TOOLS, 21 0 2.2 THEY ARE NOT DIAGNOSTIC INSTRUMENTS; CORRECT? 23 Α NOT GENERALLY, ALTHOUGH THEY CAN BE USED TO 2.4 SUPPORT A CLINICAL DIAGNOSIS. FAIR ENOUGH. 25 Q 26 THEY ARE SCREENING INSTRUMENTS TO IDENTIFY 27 PERSONS WHO HAVE AT LEAST SOME OF THESE CHARACTERISTICS 28 OF AUTISM; CORRECT?

> Bryce Reporting Services (510)828-9404 - info@brycereporters.com

1 Α YES. 2 SO, YOUR HONOR, I WAS GOING MR. PETROSINELLI: 3 TO MAYBE BREAK HERE, THIS IS PROBABLY A GOOD NATURAL 4 BREAKING POINT, BUT THREE MINUTES BEFORE. IS THAT OKAY? 5 PERFECT. THE COURT: WE WILL RESUME AT 1:30, PLEASE. 6 7 MR. PETROSINELLI: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. 8 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU, DOCTOR. 9 THANKS EVERYBODY. THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. 10 MR. ESFANDIARY: 11 1:30, PLEASE. THE COURT: 12 MR. PETROSINELLI: AND I ASSUME THAT THERE'S A 13 RULE IN PLACE, YOUR HONOR, WHERE THEY CANNOT TALK TO THE WITNESS DURING THE EXAMINATION. I DON'T KNOW WHAT THE 14 15 COURT'S RULES ARE ON THAT. 16 THE COURT: WELL, I DON'T HAVE ANY ORDER TO 17 THAT EFFECT. 18 MR. WISNER: WE HAVE NO INTENTION OF TALKING TO THE WITNESS BEYOND MAYBE MAKING SURE HE GETS FED. 19 20 THE COURT: OKAY. MR. PETROSINELLI: NO OBJECTION TO THE DIETARY 21 2.2 DISCUSSION, YOUR HONOR. THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. TERRIFIC. THANKS. 23 24 MR. PETROSINELLI: THANK YOU. {LUNCHEON RECESS} 25 26 27 28

104

1 CASE NUMBER: 21STCV22822 2 NC VS. HAIN, ET AL. CASE NAME: LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 3 MONDAY, JANUARY 31, 2022 DEPARTMENT 7 HON. AMY D. HOGUE, JUDGE 4 5 **APPEARANCES:** (AS HERETOFORE NOTED.) **REPORTER:** JEANESE JOHNSON, CSR 11635 6 7 TIME: 1:30 P.M. 8 9 --000--10 AFTERNOON SESSION 11 12 13 THE COURT: GOOD AFTERNOON. THIS IS JUDGE HOGUE SPEAKING. 14 15 MR. PETROSINELLI: LET ME KNOW WHEN YOU'RE 16 READY. 17 THE COURT: I'M READY. MR. PETROSINELLI: OKAY. I WAS WAITING FOR A 18 19 CUE FROM YOU. 20 OKAY. THANK YOU. WELCOME BACK, DR. SHAPIRO. 0 21 YEAH, HI. Α 22 BEFORE THE BREAK WE WERE TALKING ABOUT SOME OF Ο 23 THE SCREENING MECHANISMS. I WANT TO TALK ABOUT THAT. 2.4 BUT JUST SO I UNDERSTAND YOUR TESTIMONY, DID I 25 HEAR YOU TO SAY THAT JUST BECAUSE YOU'RE POSITIVE ON ONE 26 OF THOSE SCREENING MECHANISMS, THAT DOESN'T EQUATE WITH 27 A DIAGNOSIS OF AUTISM; CORRECT? 28 THAT'S TRUE. AUTISM IS A CLINICAL DIAGNOSIS SO А 105

1 YOU NEED TO PUT TOGETHER MULTIPLE SOURCES OF 2 INFORMATION. 3 0 UNDERSTOOD. SO IN CORTICA, YOU DO HAVE AN INTAKE 4 OKAY. 5 OUESTIONNAIRE THAT YOU USE IN -- WITH PROSPECTIVE PATIENTS; CORRECT? 6 7 А CORRECT. 8 AND YOUR COUNSEL WAS GRACIOUS ENOUGH TO SHARE 0 9 I JUST WANT TO ASK YOU A FEW QUESTIONS ΤΤ WITH ME. ABOUT IT. 10 11 I IMAGINE YOU'RE FAMILIAR WITH IT AND PROBABLY 12 LOOKED AT IT A LOT; RIGHT? 13 YES. Α 14 0 OKAY. 15 AND IT'S DESIGNED TO BE COMPREHENSIVE; CORRECT? WELL, IT'S DESIGNED TO BE COMPREHENSIVE, BUT 16 Α 17 NOT EXHAUSTIVE. FAIR ENOUGH. FAIR ENOUGH. 18 0 19 AT LEAST -- I KNOW IT'S ADMINISTERED 20 ELECTRONICALLY, BUT AT LEAST WHEN I PRINTED IT OUT, IT WAS 30 PAGES LONG. 21 2.2 SO HERE'S WHAT I'D LIKE TO DO, FIRST I WANT TO 23 PULL UP THE CDC'S STATEMENT OF RISK FACTORS THAT MR. 2.4 ESFANDIARY SHOWED YOU. CAN YOU PULL IT UP. IT'S SLIDE 8. 25 26 DO YOU RECALL GOING OVER THIS LIST WITH MR. 27 ESFANDIARY? 28 MR. ESFANDIARY: WE DID NOT GO OVER THIS. 106

1 THE WITNESS: T --2 MR. PETROSINELLI: I'M SORRY. IT WAS USED IN 3 OPENING. 4 WE TALKED ABOUT THIS IN YOUR DEPOSITION; RIGHT? 0 5 Α I BELIEVE SO, YES. 6 0 OKAY. 7 Α I'VE SEEN THIS BEFORE. 8 0 FAIR ENOUGH. OKAY. 9 SO I WANT TO WALK THROUGH THIS AND MAYBE LINE 10 IT UP AGAINST YOUR QUESTIONNAIRE. 11 SO FOR INSTANCE, THE FIRST LINE IT SAYS: "MOST SCIENTISTS AGREE THAT GENES ARE ONE OF 12 THE RISK FACTORS THAT CAN MAKE A PERSON MORE 13 LIKELY TO DEVELOP ASD." 14 15 YOU AGREE WITH THAT; CORRECT? YES. 16 Α 17 Q AND LET'S GO TO SLIDE 9 IF WE COULD. AND SO, IN FACT, YOUR INTAKE FORM ASKED ABOUT 18 19 WHETHER THE PATIENT HAS SEEN A GENETICIST AND ANY 20 GENETIC RESULTS THEY HAVE SEEN, THAT THEY HAVE GOTTEN; 21 CORRECT? 2.2 YES, WE DO ASK ABOUT THAT. Α 23 Q OKAY. NEXT SLIDE. THE CDC SAYS, "CHILDREN WHO HAVE A SIBLING WITH 24 ASD ARE AT A HIGHER RISK OF HAVING AUTISM." 25 26 YOU AGREE WITH THAT; RIGHT? 27 YES. Α AND YOUR INTAKE FORM ASKS ABOUT FAMILY HISTORY 28 0 107

> **Bryce Reporting Services** (510)828-9404 - info@brycereporters.com

1 INCLUDING HISTORY OF SIBLING; CORRECT? 2 YES. А 3 0 ALL RIGHT. NEXT SLIDE. YOUR QUESTIONNAIRE ASKS ABOUT CERTAIN GENETIC 4 5 AND CHROMOSOMAL CONDITIONS, AND AGAIN, YOUR INTAKE FORM TALKS ABOUT THAT AND SEEKS THAT INFORMATION; CORRECT? 6 7 Α YES. 8 0 AND NEXT SLIDE. 9 THEN IT TALKS ABOUT CERTAIN MEDICINES TAKEN 10 DURING PREGNANCY VALPROIC ACID AND THALIDOMIDE HAVE BEEN 11 LINKED AND YOUR INTAKE FORM REASONABLY ASKS WHAT 12 MEDICINES THE BIRTH MOTHER MIGHT HAVE TAKEN; CORRECT? 13 WE DO ASK THAT, YES. Α 14 0 OKAY. 15 AND THE NEXT SLIDE, PLEASE. IT SAYS THAT THERE'S SOME EVIDENCE THAT THE 16 17 CRITICAL PERIOD FOR DEVELOPING AUTISM OCCURS BEFORE, DURING AND IMMEDIATELY AFTER BIRTH. 18 19 THAT'S SOMETIMES CALLED THE PERINATAL PERIOD; CORRECT? 20 21 YES. Α AND YOUR INTAKE FORM ASKS, AMONG OTHER THINGS, 22 0 23 WERE THERE ANY PROBLEMS WITH PREGNANCY, LABOR OR BIRTH; 2.4 CORRECT? 25 Α CORRECT. 26 0 AND THEN FINALLY, THE CDC RISK FACTOR LISTS 27 CHILDREN BORN TO OLDER PARENTS ARE AT A HIGHER RISK. 28 YOU AGREE WITH THAT; CORRECT? 108

Α CORRECT.

1

AND ONE OF THE FIRST THINGS YOU ASK ON YOUR 2 0 3 INTAKE FORM IS, WHAT WAS THE MOTHER'S AGE, THE BIOLOGICAL MOTHER, AND THE FATHER'S AGE AT THE TIME OF 4 5 BIRTH; CORRECT? IT'S -- I DON'T KNOW IF IT'S ONE OF THE FIRST 6 А 7 THINGS, BUT IT'S DEFINITELY ON THERE. 8 YEAH, SURE. 0 9 LET ME JUST DO A QUICK THING OF TERMINOLOGY 10 HERE JUST SO I HAVE IT. 11 SO THE WORD "ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS" HAS BEEN 12 USED A LOT. SO I UNDERSTAND HOW IT'S USED BY YOU AND IN THE 13 LITERATURE, THE THINGS WE JUST WENT OVER, LIKE AGE OF 14 15 THE MOTHER OR SIBLINGS, THOSE ARE ACTUALLY TECHNICALLY CONSIDERED ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS. IT MEANS NON GENETIC; 16 17 RIGHT? SIBLING, NO. BUT THE AGE OF THE PARENTS ARE --18 Α 19 IS CONSIDERED AN ENVIRONMENTAL OR NONGENETIC RISK 20 BUT THE SIBLING FAMILY HISTORY SPEAKS TO THE FACTOR. 21 GENETIC RISK FACTORS. 22 0 FAIR ENOUGH. 23 I GUESS MY POINT IS MORE SIMPLE IS, AS A LAY PERSON I HEAR "ENVIRONMENTAL FACTOR," I THINK ABOUT 24 THINGS FLOATING AROUND IN THE ENVIRONMENTAL OR THINGS --25 26 IT'S USED MORE BROADLY THAN THAT WHEN IT'S USED IN THIS 27 CORRECT; CORRECT? 28 Α YEAH. GENERALLY, IT MEANS NONGENETIC IN THIS 109

1 CONTEXT.

2

6

7

8

Q THAT'S HELPFUL. THANK YOU.

WE TALKED ABOUT THIS IN YOUR DEPOSITION, BUT TO
BE CLEAR, YOUR QUESTIONNAIRE DOESN'T MENTION ANYTHING
ABOUT HEAVY METALS; CORRECT?

A IT DOES NOT.

Q OKAY. DOES IT -- WELL, LET'S SKIP THAT. BEAR WITH ME FOR A SECOND, YOUR HONOR.

9 YOU DON'T ASK YOUR PROSPECTIVE PATIENTS WHETHER
10 THEY HAVE EATEN FRUITS OR VEGETABLES OR GRAINS AS SOME
11 SCREENING MECHANISM FOR POTENTIAL HEAVY METALS; CORRECT?

12AITHINK WE ASK GENERALLY ABOUT DIET, BUT WE13DON'T ASK SPECIFICALLY ABOUT THAT WITH THAT INDICATION.

Q AND, IN FACT, YOU'VE NEVER WARNED A PATIENT OR
A PATIENT'S FAMILY NOT TO EAT CERTAIN KINDS OF FOODS
BECAUSE OF THE RISK OF HEAVY METALS; CORRECT?

A WELL, I DO COUNSEL -- I MEAN, I -- I HAVEN'T
WARNED FAMILIES NOT TO EAT BABY FOODS BECAUSE OF RISK OF
HEAVY METALS. I HAVE TALKED ABOUT THE IMPORTANCE OF
DIET AND THE PREFERENCE FOR FOODS THAT ARE, YOU KNOW,
FREE OF PESTICIDES AND CONTAMINANTS AND THAT SORT OF
THING.

Q OKAY. WELL, LET'S TALK ABOUT THE NUTRITIONALISSUES.

25 IT'S FAIR TO SAY THAT MANY CHILDREN WITH AUTISM
26 HAVE PROBLEMS RELATING TO EATING, INCLUDING FOOD
27 SELECTIVITY, RITUALS AROUND EATING AND CERTAIN OTHER
28 COMPULSIVE EATING HABITS; CORRECT?

1 Α THAT IS TRUE. 2 0 OKAY. AND ONE OF THOSE EATING PROBLEMS IS SOMETHING 3 CALLED PICA OR PICA, I DON'T KNOW HOW -- THE RIGHT WAY 4 5 TO PRONOUNCE. IT IS THAT TRUE? 6 Α YEAH, I USUALLY SAY PICA, BUT --7 Q OKAY. 8 Α -- SOME PEOPLE MAY PRONOUNCE IT DIFFERENTLY. 9 BUT THAT'S TRUE. IT'S NOT SO MUCH AN EATING PROBLEM AS INGESTING OR MOUTHING NONEDIBLE OBJECTS. 10 11 0 FAIR ENOUGH. 12 I WAS USING THAT, I THINK, BROADLY. SO PICA -- I'LL USE YOUR PRONUNCIATION -- PICA 13 IS WHEN A CHILD EATS NON FOOD ITEMS, DIRT, PAINT CHIPS, 14 15 VARIOUS OTHER THINGS; CORRECT? YES, CORRECT. 16 Α 17 Q OKAY. AND I THINK YOU MENTIONED THAT ON YOUR DIRECT. 18 19 AS TO THE ABNORMAL EATING PATTERNS OF FOOD, 20 SOMETIMES KIDS WITH AUTISM WILL ONLY EAT CERTAIN TYPES OF FOODS AND NOT OTHERS; CORRECT? 21 2.2 А YES. 23 0 AND THAT FOOD SELECTIVITY ISSUE CAN SOMETIMES 24 CREATE MALNUTRITION PROBLEMS WHERE THEY DON'T GET MAYBE 25 CERTAIN NUTRIENTS THAT THEY SHOULD OTHERWISE BE GETTING; 26 RIGHT? 27 YEAH, IT CAN LEAD TO CERTAIN NUTRITIONAL Α 28 DEFICITS. 111

1 0 AND I BELIEVE ON YOUR WEBSITE YOU POINT OUT THE 2 PROSPECT THAT MAYBE A PATIENT MIGHT NEED NUTRITIONAL 3 SUPPLEMENTS OR OTHER MEDICATIONS TO HELP ON THESE DEFICIENCIES; CORRECT? 4 YEAH, I DIDN'T AUTHOR THE WEBSITE, BUT I WOULD 5 Α GENERALLY AGREE WITH THAT. 6 7 Q YEAH, OKAY. 8 AND THEN FINALLY, THESE -- KIDS WITH AUTISM CAN 9 SOMETIMES HAVE METABOLIC DIFFERENCES, AND I THINK YOU ALLUDED TO THIS IN HOW THEY -- I THINK WHAT YOU SAID HOW 10 11 THEY PROCESSED HEAVY METALS; TRUE? 12 А THAT HAS BEEN SUGGESTED IN SOME RESEARCH, YES. 13 0 YEAH. NEXT TOPIC: QUICKLY, WE TALKED A LITTLE 14 OKAY. BIT ABOUT THE CDC EARLIER. I JUST WANT TO RUN THROUGH 15 16 TWO MORE OR THREE MORE QUICKLY. 17 CAN WE GO TO SLIDE 15, PLEASE, IAN. SO THE AMERICAN ACADEMY OF PEDIATRICS -- WHAT 18 I'M GOING TO DO IS I'M GOING TO RUN THROUGH JUST A FEW 19 20 OF THEM OUICKLY. 21 CAN YOU PULL THAT UP. THE AMERICAN ACADEMY OF PEDIATRICS, THEY 22 23 PUBLISHED A PAPER ON AUTISM. AND THAT'S EXHIBIT 8. RIGHT? NO, I'M SORRY. 24 651. 25 51. 26 I DON'T THINK THIS IS CONTROVERSIAL. 27 YOU AGREE, DOCTOR, THAT THE AMERICAN ACADEMY OF PEDIATRICS, THEY DON'T LIST HEAVY METALS AS A RISK 28

> Bryce Reporting Services (510)828-9404 - info@brycereporters.com

1 FACTOR FOR AUTISM; CORRECT? 2 DOES NOT SEEM TO BE LISTED HERE, YES. Α 3 0 YEAH. AND IT SAYS, "THE POTENTIAL ENVIRONMENTAL 4 5 FACTORS THAT MAY BE RELATED TO INCREASED PURPORTED PREVALENCE OF ASD IS AN INTERACTIVE 6 7 STUDY THAT AS YET IS WITHOUT FIRM CONCLUSION." YOU WOULD AGREE WITH THAT; RIGHT? 8 9 А I AGREE THAT IT'S AN AREA OF ACTIVE STUDY, 10 YEAH. YOU DISAGREE WITH THE "WITHOUT FIRM 11 Ο 12 CONCLUSION"? 13 WELL, I THINK IT -- AGAIN, IT DEPENDS ON WHAT Α SPECIFICALLY YOU'RE REFERRING TO. 14 15 Q OKAY. BUT AS A BROAD AREA, I WOULD SAY THERE'S NOT 16 Α 17 ONE SPECIFIC OR A LIST OF SPECIFIC ENVIRONMENTAL RISK FACTORS THAT ARE WELL ESTABLISHED. 18 19 OKAY. 0 MR. ESFANDIARY: I'D JUST LIKE TO INTERPOSE AN 20 21 OBJECTION TO THE EXTENT THAT MR. IMBROSCIO HASN'T 2.2 CLARIFIED WHETHER THE WITNESS HAS SEEN THE DOCUMENT, IT 23 SEEMS TO BE IMPEACHMENT BY EXTRINSIC EVIDENCE. 24 THE COURT: WELL, HE CAN DO THAT. I HAVE ANOTHER CONCERN, THOUGH, WHICH IS THAT EVERYBODY'S 25 26 MARKING AND SHOWING ME EXHIBITS AND WE'RE NOT OFFERING 27 THEM INTO THE RECORD, SO AT THE END OF THE HEARING OR HEARINGS, YOU ALL NEED TO SOMEHOW PUT THOSE INTO COURT 28

1 SO IT'S VERY CLEAR WHAT WAS USED OR NOT USED. 2 MR. ESFANDIARY: YOUR HONOR, AT THE END OF EACH 3 DAY WE'RE GOING TO ENTER INTO THE RECORD OFFICIALLY, THE EXHIBITS THAT WE USED DURING THE DAY. 4 THE COURT: OKAY. BUT WE'LL NEED COPIES OF 5 THEM TOO. 6 7 MR. PETROSINELLI: YEAH, WE TALKED ABOUT THAT. 8 WE HAVE AN AGREEMENT. 9 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. GOOD. 10 MR. PETROSINELLI: SO LET'S GO TO THE NEXT SLIDE. 11 0 12 THIS IS THE AMERICAN PSYCHIATRIC ASSOCIATION, 13 AND I THINK I SHOWED THIS TO YOU IN YOUR DEPOSITION. IT'S EXHIBIT 635. THANK YOU. 14 15 DO YOU RECALL SEEING THIS IN YOUR DEPOSITION? YES. 16 Α 17 ALL RIGHT. AND TO BE CLEAR, THE AMERICAN Q PSYCHIATRIC ASSOCIATION, THEY DON'T LIST HEAVY METALS AS 18 19 A RISK FACTOR FOR AUTISM; CORRECT? 20 NOT TO MY KNOWLEDGE. Α 21 0 OKAY. 2.2 AND LET'S GO TO EXHIBIT 674. 23 I THINK YOU WERE SHOWN A PUBLICATION FROM THE 24 NATIONAL INSTITUTES OF HEALTH, ONE OF THE SUBDIVISIONS, BUT I WANT TO SHOW YOU THIS IS THE NIH, THE BIG NIH, 25 26 THEIR PUBLICATION ON AUTISM SPECTRUM DISORDER, THE FACT 27 SHEET. 28 THAT'S EXHIBIT 674.

114

1 LET'S GO TO PAGE 3, IAN. 2 SO ACTUALLY, THIS IS A DIFFERENT SUBDIVISION. А 3 THIS IS THE NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF NEUROLOGIC DISORDERS AND STROKE, SO IT'S ANOTHER SUB-INSTITUTE. 4 I APOLOGIZE. YOU'RE ABSOLUTELY RIGHT ABOUT 5 0 THAT. 6 SORRY, I MISSED THAT. 7 IAN, COULD WE BLOW UP THAT SECTION ON WHAT 8 CAUSES AUTISM. 9 SO AS I THINK WE HAVE -- YOU'VE AGREED IN YOUR 10 DEPOSITION, NIH DOESN'T LIST HEAVY METALS AS A FACTOR --11 AS A RISK FACTOR FOR AUTISM; CORRECT? 12 А CORRECT. SO THIS PARTICULAR NIH FACT SHEET 13 DOES NOT LIST HEAVY METALS, BUT THE OTHER ONE WHICH WE SAW EARLIER DOES. 14 15 WELL, IT LISTS IT AS SOMETHING THAT MAY BE Q LINKED TO RESEARCH THAT'S ONGOING; CORRECT? 16 17 Α THAT'S RIGHT. I THINK IT CITED THE ARORA STUDY AS THE SINGLE 18 0 19 STUDY CITED; CORRECT? YES, THAT -- AT LEAST THAT STUDY. 20 Α OKAY. WELL, THE RECORD WILL SPEAK TO WHAT IT 21 0 2.2 SHOULD CITE. 23 Α YEAH. THE NIH SUBDIVISION HERE SAYS, "ENVIRONMENTAL 24 0 FACTORS MAY ALSO PLAY A ROLE IN GENE FUNCTION 25 26 AND DEVELOPMENT, BUT NO SPECIFIC ENVIRONMENTAL 27 CAUSES HAVE YET BEEN IDENTIFIED." THAT'S AT LEAST WHAT THIS DIVISION OF NIH SAYS 28 115

1 ABOUT OTHER ENVIRONMENTAL RISK FACTORS; CORRECT? THAT'S WHAT IT SAYS. 2 BUT IT ALSO CONTRADICTS А THE OTHER DOCUMENTS WE JUST REVIEWED WHICH LIST, FOR 3 EXAMPLE, EXPOSURE TO GALPORATE AND FLORDIMIDE AS RISK 4 5 FACTORS. 6 0 YEAH. 7 ALL RIGHT. LET'S MOVE ON. 8 NOW, HERE -- I -- NOW I WANT TO SPEND THE REST 9 OF OUR TIME TALKING ABOUT YOUR OPINIONS IN THIS CASE 10 THAT YOU'VE OFFERED IN YOUR REPORT. JUST SO I CAN SET THE FRAMEWORK: YOUR OPINION 11 12 IS ESSENTIALLY THAT THERE ARE NEUROBIOLOGICAL PATHWAYS 13 OF HEAVY METALS THAT ARE IMPLICATED -- EXCUSE ME -- LET ME STRIKE THAT AGAIN. I WANT TO GET THIS EXACTLY RIGHT. 14 15 THERE ARE NEUROBIOLOGICAL PATHWAYS OF HEAVY METAL TOXICITY THAT ARE SIMILAR TO MECHANISMS THAT HAVE 16 BEEN CONNECTED TO SOME OF THE SYMPTOMS OF AUTISM. 17 IS THAT A FAIR SUMMARY OF YOUR ESSENTIAL 18 19 OPINION HERE? 20 WELL, I -- YEAH, I THINK THAT -- I THINK THAT Α 21 MY OPINION IS LITTLE BIT STRONGER THAN THAT, WHICH IS 2.2 THAT THE MECHANISMS OF HEAVY METAL TOXICITY OVERLAP 23 SUBSTANTIALLY WITH THE PATHOGENETIC MECHANISMS OF 24 AUTISM. 25 Q RIGHT. 26 AND THAT'S WHAT I WANT TO TALK ABOUT TO YOU 27 ABOUT. 28 FIRST, YOU WOULD AGREE THAT THERE ARE MANY 116

1 THEORIES ABOUT THE MECHANISMS THAT ARE IMPLICATED WITH 2 AUTISM; CORRECT? 3 YES, I -- AS A GENERAL STATEMENT, YES. Α 0 4 OKAY. AND YOU'RE NOT HERE TO SAY THAT BECAUSE HEAVY 5 METALS IMPLICATE PATHWAY X AND PATHWAY X IS ALSO 6 IMPLICATED WITH AUTISM, THAT THEREFORE HEAVY METALS ARE 7 8 A DEMONSTRATED CAUSE OF AUTISM, RIGHT? THAT'S NOT WHY 9 YOU'RE HERE? 10 Α YEAH, I'M NOT OFFERING AN OPINION ON CAUSATION. 11 0 OKAY. AND I THINK YOU SAID THIS ON DIRECT. 12 YOU WOULD AGREE THERE'S NO BIOLOGICAL SIGNATURE 13 OF AUTISM THAT WOULD ALLOW US TO CONNECT ANY ONE OF 14 15 THESE MECHANISMS TO AUTISM; TRUE? 16 WELL, THAT -- THE WAY YOU PHRASED THAT, I DON'T Α 17 AGREE WITH IT. 18 SO THERE'S NO SPECIFIC BIOMARKER FOR AUTISM AND THERE'S NO -- THERE'S NO BIOLOGICAL TEST THAT CAN TELL 19 20 YOU YOU HAVE AUTISM OR DON'T. 21 BUT THERE ARE BIOLOGICAL SIGNATURES IN AUTISM, 22 SO GENE EXPRESSION PROFILES, PROFILES OF -- MARKERS OF 23 INFLAMMATION THAT CAN, YOU KNOW, DISTINGUISH AUTISM FROM 2.4 NON AUTISTIC POPULATIONS RELATIVELY RELIABLY AND SOME OF THOSE ACTUALLY -- SOME OF THOSE GENE EXPRESSION CHANGES 25 26 AND INFLAMMATORY ACTIVATION OF INFLAMMASONE CAN ALSO BE 27 TRIGGERED BY HEAVY METAL EXPOSURE. 28 0 OKAY.

117

1 AND JUST SO I UNDERSTAND THIS: AS YOU KNOW, 2 DR. GESCHWIND IS ONE OF OUR EXPERTS. HE'S AT UCLA. 3 YOU'RE FAMILIAR WITH DR. GESCHWIND; RIGHT? 4 Α YES. AND I BELIEVE YOU SAID HE ACTUALLY INTERVIEWED 5 0 YOU FOR MEDICAL SCHOOL AT SOME POINT IN TIME; RIGHT? 6 7 Α I -- I -- YEAH, I THINK IT WAS FOR MEDICAL 8 SCHOOL, I'M PRETTY SURE. 9 0 OKAY. NO WORRIES. 10 YOU WOULD AGREE THAT HE'S ONE OF THE LEADING RESEARCHERS WHEN IT COMES TO AUTISM AND GENETICS AND THE 11 12 LIKE; TRUE? 13 HE'S VERY WELL KNOWN IN THAT AREA. Α 14 0 FAIR ENOUGH. 15 AND JUST SO I UNDERSTAND, THE WORK THAT YOU DID IN ACADEMIA, IT ACTUALLY WAS NOT LOOKING INTO THESE 16 17 MECHANISMS OF AUTISM, RIGHT, THAT'S NOT -- THAT WASN'T YOUR RESEARCH AREA OF EX -- OF SPECIALTY; CORRECT? 18 19 MY RESEARCH WAS ON BRAIN DEVELOPMENT MORE Α 20 GENERALLY AND PARTICULARLY ON THE DEVELOPMENT OF COGNITION AND LANGUAGE. 21 22 0 OKAY. 23 SO WE TALK ABOUT THESE MECHANISMS THAT YOU'VE DISCUSSED. 24 IN FACT, MANY OF THESE MECHANISMS THAT YOU 25 DISCUSS ARE ACTUALLY THINGS THAT CAN HAPPEN IRRESPECTIVE 26 27 OF METAL EXPOSURE; CORRECT? 28 Α THEY DON'T REQUIRE METAL EXPOSURE.

1	Q OKAY.
2	AND JUST SO I MAKE SURE I HAVE THIS.
3	YOU'RE NOT HERE TODAY TO SAY THAT ANY ONE OF
4	THESE PARTICULAR MECHANISMS IS IN FACT A DEMONSTRATED
5	MECHANISM THAT CONNECTS HEAVY METALS WITH AUTISM; TRUE?
6	A WELL, I'M SAYING THAT THEY ARE DEMONSTRATED
7	MECHANISMS THAT AREN'T INVOLVED IN AUTISM AND IN THE
8	BRINGING ABOUT THE CHANGES IN THE BRAIN AND THE NERVOUS
9	SYSTEM FUNCTION THAT CAUSE AUTISM AND THERE ARE ALSO
10	CHANGES THAT CAN BE TRIGGERED BY A HEAVY METAL EXPOSURE.
11	Q SO THESE ARE THESE ARE HYPOTHESES OF HOW
12	THIS MIGHT BE GOING ON IN YOUR VIEW; CORRECT?
13	A ESSENTIALLY, THEY ARE
14	Q OKAY.
15	A THERE'S THESE BIOLOGICAL PATHWAYS ARE THE
16	SAME.
17	Q AND SO AS I UNDERSTAND YOUR REASONING, FIRST
18	YOU REVIEWED SOME ARTICLES ON THE BIOLOGICAL EFFECTS OF
19	HEAVY METAL FROM ANIMAL STUDIES OR EXPERIMENTAL HUMAN
20	STUDIES, RIGHT, THAT WAS SORT OF STEP ONE; TRUE?
21	A WELL, THE HUMAN STUDIES ARE I MEAN, WE DON'T
22	EXPERIMENT ON HUMANS. BUT OBSERVATIONAL HUMAN STUDIES,
23	YES.
24	Q YEAH, THAT'S WHAT I MEANT. I'M SORRY. I WAS
25	NOT CLEAR THERE.
26	AND THE STUDIES THAT YOU LOOKED AT LOOKING AT
27	THE BIOLOGICAL EFFECTS OF HEAVY METALS, TO BE CLEAR,
28	THESE AREN'T STUDIES THAT YOU YOURSELF HAVE CONDUCTED;
	119

1 CORRECT? I DID NOT CONDUCT THOSE STUDIES. 2 А AND AS WE SAID, THAT WAS NOT YOUR AREA OF 3 0 RESEARCH IN ACADEMIA; TRUE? 4 5 YOU MEAN THE PHYSIOLOGIC STUDIES OF HEAVY METAL Α EXPOSURE? 6 7 Q YEAH. 8 Α THAT WAS NOT MY AREA OF RESEARCH. 9 0 YEAH, YEAH. 10 AND THEN THE SECOND STEP WAS YOU REVIEWED SOME OTHER STUDIES THAT LOOKED AT AUTISM AND POTENTIAL 11 PATHWAYS IMPLICATED IN AUTISM; CORRECT? 12 13 Α YES. AND THEN KIND OF WHAT YOU DID, I THINK YOU USED 14 0 15 THE WORD SUBSTANTIAL OVERLAP, BUT YOU POINTED OUT THERE ARE SOME COMMONLY CITED MECHANISMS THAT ARE IMPLICATED 16 17 IN BOTH; CORRECT? RIGHT. 18 Α 19 0 OKAY. 20 AND THOSE INCLUDED, IF I GET THEM ALL DOWN FROM YOUR REPORT, INFLAMMATORY ACTIVATION, CELEPROCTOSIS, 21 2.2 OXIDATIVE STRESS AND MITOCHONDRIAL DISFUNCTION, THOSE 23 ARE AT LEAST FOUR OF THE ONES THAT YOU'VE MENTIONED 24 EITHER TODAY OR IN YOUR REPORT; CORRECT? THOSE ARE SOME OF THEM, YES. 25 Α 26 0 YEAH. 27 AND BECAUSE THERE'S THIS SIMILARITY, THIS 28 OVERLAP, THAT'S ESSENTIALLY THE BASIS OF YOUR BIOLOGIC

1 PLAUSIBILITY OPINION; CORRECT?

2 A YEAH. I MEAN, I WOULD SAY THERE'S SORT OF A 3 COMMON PATHWAY THAT IS FIGURED BOTH BY GENETIC CHANGES 4 AND BY A CERTAIN ENVIRONMENTAL INFLUENCE INCLUDING HEAVY 5 METALS.

AND JUST SO WE'RE CLEAR, THE BIOLOGIC PATHWAY 6 0 7 MECHANISMS, THEY ARE NOT SPECIFIC TO HEAVY METAL 8 EXPOSURE, THEY ARE GENERAL BIOLOGIC PROCESSES THAT OCCUR 9 AS A RESULT OF DIFFERENT ENVIRONMENTAL INSULTS, OF 10 PHYSIOLOGICAL ABNORMALITIES OR GENETIC VARIATIONS; TRUE? WELL, I WOULD SAY THERE ARE NUMEROUS CAUSES. 11 Т Α 12 WOULDN'T SAY THAT, YOU KNOW, THEY ARE EXTREMELY COMMON 13 SO, YOU KNOW, SEVERE INFECTIONS FOR EXAMPLE, OR NORMAL. MIGHT CAUSE EXPOSURE TO PHOSPHATES OR OTHER PESTICIDES, 14 15 THAT ARE VARIOUS AGENTS THAT CAN CAUSE THESE CHANGES APART FROM HEAVY METALS, BUT -- SO I'M NOT -- I AGREE IN 16 17 THE SENSE THAT IT'S NOT SPECIFIC TO HEAVY METAL TOXICITY. 18 19 AND THAT'S ALL THAT I WAS GETTING AT. 0 THESE MECHANISMS THAT YOU'RE TALKING ABOUT, NOT 20 21 SPECIFIC TO HEAVY METAL TOXICITY. 22 OKAY. ONE MOMENT. 23 SO THESE ARE THINGS THAT CAN HAPPEN IN THE BODY IRRESPECTIVE OF METAL EXPOSURE; TRUE? 24 25 Α WELL, I MEAN, THEY DON'T HAPPEN WITHOUT A 26 TRIGGER, BUT THE TRIGGER DOESN'T HAVE TO BE METAL 27 EXPOSURE.

28 Q YEAH, FAIR ENOUGH.

1 AND YOU CAN'T POINT ME TO A SINGLE STUDY THAT 2 SAYS THESE HEAVY METALS TRIGGER ANY OF THESE MECHANISMS 3 AND THEREFORE MAY CAUSE ASD? YOU --I'M NOT AWARE OF A SINGLE STUDY THAT PUTS IT 4 Α 5 TOGETHER IN THAT WAY. THAT'S WHAT YOU'RE DOING HERE; CORRECT? 6 0 7 Α ESSENTIALLY. 8 0 YEAH. 9 AND I LOOKED AT SOME OF THE STUDIES YOU CITED 10 ON PAGE 16 AND 17 OF YOUR REPORT. I WON'T READ THEM ALL 11 FOR THE COURT REPORTER BECAUSE THEY WILL BE LENGTHY. 12 BUT NONE OF THEM ACTUALLY MENTION AUTISM 13 SPECIFICALLY; CORRECT? THAT'S PROBABLY TRUE. I WOULD NEED TO REVIEW 14 Α 15 THEM TO BE SURE. OKAY. 16 Q 17 AND BY THE SAME TOKEN THESE MECHANISMS AREN'T SPECIFIC TO HEAVY METALS. THESE MECHANISMS ARE ALSO NOT 18 19 SPECIFIC TO ASD DEVELOPMENT EITHER; CORRECT? 20 MANY OF THE ONES WE TALKED ABOUT ARE NOT Α SPECIFIC TO ASD. 21 22 0 YEAH. 23 AND, IN FACT, SOME OF THESE PATHWAYS THAT 24 YOU'VE IDENTIFIED IMPLICATED IN PARKINSON'S AND ALZHEIMER'S FOR SURE; CORRECT? 25 26 Α YEAH, THAT'S CORRECT. AND THAT HAS TO DO WITH 27 THE -- SORT OF THE TIMING WITH RESPECT TO BRAIN 28 DEVELOPMENT AND MATURATION, SO THEY ARE CLEARLY

PROCESSES THAT ARE INVOLVED IN NEURODEGENERATION AND
 ABNORMAL NERVE CELL FUNCTION, BUT IF THE ONSET IS LATE
 IN LIFE, THEY CAN LEAD TO NEURODEGENERATIVE DISORDERS.
 IF IT'S EARLY IN LIFE, THEY CAN LEAD TO
 NEURODEVELOPMENTAL DISORDERS.

Q AND YOU MENTIONED NM -- NMDA, THAT'S BEEN
7 IMPLICATED IN STROKE AND SCHIZOPHRENIA AND EPILEPSY;
8 CORRECT?

9 A WELL, THEY ARE ABNORMALITIES IN NMDA RECEPTOR 10 FUNCTION IN THOSE CONDITIONS. IN STROKE IT'S MORE OF AN 11 -- IT'S A, YOU KNOW, THAT'S NOT THE PRIMARY ETIOLOGIC --12 THAT IS NOT WHAT KICKS OFF THE PROCESS IN STROKE, BUT 13 CERTAINLY AN NMDA RECEPTOR DYSFUNCTION IS A FEATURE OF 14 OTHER PSYCHIATRIC DISORDERS --

15 Q YEAH.

A -- AND EPILEPSY.

- 17 Q SURE.
- 18

16

AND --

19 A AND THEY TO SOME EXTENT EXPLAIN THE GENETIC
20 OVERLAP BETWEEN SCHIZOPHRENIA AND AUTISM SO THERE'S SORT
21 OF SHARED GENETIC RISK BETWEEN THOSE DISORDERS.

Q ONE FINAL MECHANISM I WANT TO MENTION BRIEFLY
IS YOU MENTIONED PROTEIN KINASE C, SOMETIMES CALLED PKC
AS ONE POTENTIAL MECHANISM GOING ON HERE IN YOUR REPORT.

25 A RIGHT. THE ALTERATION OF PROTEIN KINASE C26 SIGNALLING, YES.

27 Q YEAH.

28

AND TO BE CLEAR, THAT PATHWAY HAS BEEN

## Bryce Reporting Services (510)828-9404 - info@brycereporters.com

123

1 IMPLICATED IN CERTAIN CANCERS, RENAL DISEASE, CARDIOVASCULAR DISEASE, AMONG OTHERS; TRUE? 2 THAT'S TRUE. SOME OF THESE PATHWAYS ARE, YOU 3 А KNOW, RATHER GENERAL, AND IT DEPENDS, AGAIN, ON WHAT 4 5 CELLS ARE EXPOSED AT WHAT TIME DURING DEVELOPMENT THEY ARE EXPOSED, AND, YOU KNOW, WHAT THE REST OF THE 6 7 SUBSTRATE IS. 8 AND AS I UNDERSTAND IN YOUR REPORT YOU DON'T 0 9 OPINE ON WHETHER AND HOW THE EFFECT OF THESE SPECIFIC 10 BIOLOGICAL MECHANISMS YOU DESCRIBE, HOW THEY VARY 11 BETWEEN POTENTIAL PRENATAL AND POST-NATAL ENVIRONMENT; 12 TRUE? I AM NOT -- I'M NOT SURE WHAT EXACTLY YOU'RE 13 Α REFERRING TO. 14 15 Q YEAH. THAT'S A FAIR -- THAT WAS NOT A GREAT OUESTION. 16 17 AS I READ YOUR REPORT, DOCTOR, YOU DESCRIBE THESE PATHWAYS, BUT YOU DON'T TEASE OUT WHICH ONES ARE 18 19 PRE-NATAL PATHWAYS WHICH ONES MAY BE IN THE EARLY 20 DEVELOPMENT PHASE AS YOU SAID. YEAH, SO THAT'S A GOOD OUESTION. I DON'T GO 21 Α INTO MUCH DETAIL ON THAT IN THE REPORT. 22 23 SO THAT THERE ARE A COUPLE OF, I THINK, CLARIFICATIONS THAT MIGHT BE RELEVANT. SO THAT THERE 24 ARE SORT OF GENERAL -- MORE GENERAL PROCESSES AND MORE 25 26 SPECIFIC PROCESSES, SO THINGS LIKE DISRUPTION OF 27 MITOCHONDRIAL FUNCTION, OXIDATIVE STRESS, INFLAMMATION, 28 THOSE ARE SYSTEMIC ISSUES THAT CAN OCCUR, YOU KNOW, PRE-124

OR POST-NATALLY AND IN FACT, YOU KNOW, THERE'S A BODY OF
 RESEARCH LOOKING AT INFLAMMATION AND OXIDATIVE STRESS, I
 THINK I EVEN REFERRED TO THIS EARLIER, IN THE MOTHER
 AFFECTING RISK OF AUTISM AND DEVELOPMENTAL DISORDERS IN
 THE CHILD.

6

Q YES.

7 A SO THOSE ARE KIND OF SYSTEMIC CHANGES IN THE
8 SORT OF THE DEVELOPMENTAL AREA THAT CAN AFFECT HOW THE
9 BRAIN FORMS.

10 AND THEN THERE ARE RATHER SPECIFIC PROCESSES LIKE SYNAPTOGENESIS WHICH OCCUR AT A STAGE IN THE 11 12 DEVELOPMENT OF BRAIN AND THE NERVOUS SYSTEM THAT IS, YOU 13 KNOW, POTENTIALLY A VULNERABLE PERIOD WITH RESPECT TO THE DEVELOPMENT OF AUTISM AND OTHER NEURODEVELOPMENTAL 14 15 CONDITIONS, SO SYNAPTOGENESIS IS SOMETHING THAT'S OCCURRING IN, YOU KNOW, MOSTLY IN POST-NATAL LIFE, AT 16 17 LEAST THE FORMATION OF -- AND REFINEMENT OF FRAME NETWORKS. 18

AND THE FUNCTION OF NMDA RECEPTORS, AS I SAID
EARLIER, IS IMPORTANT FOR ENSURING THAT THAT HAPPENS IN
AN APPROPRIATE MANNER. SO IF YOU INTERFERE WITHIN NMDA
RECEPTOR FUNCTION AT THIS KIND OF CRITICAL JUNCTURE FOR
SYNAPTOGENESIS, YOU'LL GET A CERTAIN -- A PARTICULAR
KIND OF ARRAY OF ANOMALIES.

25 IF YOU INTERFERE WITH THAT RECEPTOR FUNCTION AT
26 A DIFFERENT AGE OR A DIFFERENT STAGE OF BRAIN
27 DEVELOPMENT, YOU MAY SEE SOMETHING ELSE.

28

0

OKAY. THAT'S HELPFUL.

1 LAST TOPIC I JUST WANT TO PICK UP THE --2 SOMETHING THAT YOU TALKED ABOUT ON DIRECT, THAT'S THE 3 HALLMAYER STUDY. AND JUST SO THE RECORD IS CLEAR, YOU DON'T 4 DISCUSS THE HALLMAYER STUDY IN YOUR REPORT THAT YOU GAVE 5 TO US; CORRECT? 6 7 А I DON'T THINK I DISCUSS IT SPECIFICALLY IN THE 8 REPORT. 9 0 YEAH. 10 AND WE'VE GOTTEN, I THINK, FOUR DIFFERENT LISTS OF THE MATERIALS THAT YOU'VE RELIED ON, ONE WITH YOUR 11 12 REPORT, ONE ON NOVEMBER 24TH, ONE ON DECEMBER 13TH, AND 13 ONE FIVE DAYS AGO, LAST WEDNESDAY, JANUARY 26TH. TO BE CLEAR, THE FIRST TIME THAT YOU'VE LISTED 14 15 HALLMAYER AS SOMETHING THAT YOU'RE RELYING ON WAS LAST WEDNESDAY; CORRECT? 16 I WAS AWARE OF THE STUDY 17 Α THAT MIGHT BE TRUE. AND IT HAD COME UP IN DISCUSSION, AND I DON'T REMEMBER 18 19 EXACTLY WHEN I PROVIDED THE REFERENCE, BUT IT WAS AT 20 SOME POINT -- WELL, AT SOME POINT PRIOR TO THIS HEARING. YEAH. 21 Q SO THAT'S WHY I WANTED TO ASK YOU. I'M JUST 22 23 CURIOUS. DID YOU GIVE THE REPORT -- THE STUDY TO MS. --24 TO THE LAWYERS OR DID THEY GIVE IT TO YOU THAT REMINDED 25 26 YOU ABOUT THE STUDY? 27 NO, I GAVE IT --Α 28 MR. ESFANDIARY: I'M GOING TO OBJECT ON THE 126

GROUNDS OF PRIVILEGE HERE, PURSUANT TO THE PARTIES' 1 2 AGREEMENT THAT COMMUNICATION BETWEEN THE COUNSEL AND THE EXPERTS ARE PRIVILEGED. WE HAVE A STIPULATION TO THAT 3 4 EFFECT. MR. PETROSINELLI: I DON'T WANT TO GET INTO 5 I DON'T THINK IT IS, BUT I'LL WITHDRAW THE 6 THAT. 7 QUESTION. 8 IN ANY EVENT, FAIR TO SAY THAT YOU LOOKED AT Q 9 THE STUDY RECENTLY IN ANTICIPATION OF YOUR TESTIMONY; 10 CORRECT? WELL, I'VE BEEN FAMILIAR WITH THE STUDY FOR A 11 Α 12 WHILE. I MAY NOT HAVE CITED IT IN MY REPORT. I CITED 13 OTHER STUDIES THAT, YOU KNOW, ESTIMATE GENETIC HERITABILITY OF AUTISM AND HALLMAYER WAS ONE THAT I WAS 14 15 FAMILIAR WITH, AND I THINK IT --OKAY. 16 Q 17 -- MAY HAVE BEEN, YOU KNOW, JUST AN OVERSIGHT Α IN THAT I DIDN'T MENTION IT IN MY REPORT, BUT IT'S ALONG 18 19 THE LINES OF SOME OTHER STUDIES THAT I DID MENTION. WELL, THAT'S WHAT I WANT TO ASK YOU ABOUT. 20 Q CAN WE PULL THAT UP, HALLMAYER. WHAT EXHIBIT 21 2.2 IS IT? 23 EXHIBIT 71. AND CAN YOU GO TO PAGE 10. 24 SO THERE WAS A DISCUSSION ABOUT WHAT WERE THE 25 26 ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS THAT WERE DISCUSSED IN HALLMAYER, 27 AND I THINK THE HALLMAYER STUDY ITSELF ACTUALLY LISTS 28 WHAT ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS WERE FOCUSSED -- CAN YOU PULL

1 UP THE BOTTOM PARAGRAPH, PLEASE, IAN. THERE WE GO. 2 AND LOOKING AT THE MIDDLE SENTENCE. IT SAYS, "THE NONGENETIC RISK FACTORS THAT MAY 3 INDEX ENVIRONMENTAL INFLUENCES INCLUDE PARENTAL 4 AGE, LOW BIRTH WEIGHT, MULTIPLE BIRTHS AND 5 MATERNAL INFECTIONS DURING PREGNANCY." 6 7 DO YOU SEE THAT? 8 YEAH, THOSE ARE THE ONES THAT ARE LISTED THERE. Α 9 0 AND THOSE ARE THE ONES THAT ARE LISTED IN CDC 10 WEBSITE; CORRECT? THEY ARE -- YEAH, THERE ARE AT LEAST PARTIALLY 11 Α 12 OVERLAPPING WITH THAT. AND THEY ARE THE ONES THAT YOUR INTAKE FORM AT 13 0 CORTICA DESIGNS TO GET INFORMATION ABOUT; CORRECT? 14 15 Α YEAH, I THINK THE INTAKE FORM DOES ASK ABOUT THOSE, MOST OF THOSE THINGS. 16 17 Q AND IT DOESN'T SAY ANYTHING ABOUT HEAVY METALS THERE; CORRECT? 18 19 ON THE INTAKE FORM OR IN THE PAPER? Α WELL, I'M SORRY, IN THE HALLMAYER PAPER. 20 0 YEAH. RIGHT. THE -- YEAH. 21 Α 22 0 OKAY. AND THEN I GUESS LET ME ASK YOU THIS: 23 HAVE YOU SINCE BEING PREPARED TO COME AND TESTIFY ABOUT THIS 24 ARTICLE HERE BEFORE JUDGE HOGUE, DID YOU DO ANY WORK TO 25 26 FIGURE OUT WHAT THE SCIENTIFIC COMMUNITY HAS SAID ABOUT 27 THE HALLMAYER PAPER SINCE IT WAS PUBLISHED IN 2011? NOT SPECIFICALLY. 28 А 128

1 0 OKAY. WELL, LET'S PULL UP EXHIBIT 661. 2 THIS IS AN ARTICLE WHERE THE FIRST AUTHOR IS 3 DR. TICK, BEATA TICK. MR. ESFANDIARY: I'M GOING TO OBJECT TO THE 4 5 EXTENT THAT YOU HAVEN'T ESTABLISHED WHETHER THE WITNESS HAS ACTUALLY RECEIVED THIS DOCUMENT BEFORE. 6 7 THE COURT: OVERRULED. 8 MR. PETROSINELLI: YEAH. 9 AND THEN ONE -- THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR -- ONE OF 0 10 THE AUTHORS IS MICHAEL RUTTER. DO YOU KNOW WHO DR. RUTTER IS? 11 12 Α NOT PERSONALLY, BUT I'M FAMILIAR WITH THE NAME. 13 0 YEAH. AND HE'S SORT OF KNOWN AS THE FATHER OF -- WHAT 14 15 IS HE THE FATHER OF? FATHER THE CHILD PSYCHIATRY. DOES THAT RING A BELL? 16 17 Α I DON'T KNOW THAT I'VE HEARD THAT REFERRAL, BUT I'LL TAKE YOUR WORD FOR IT. 18 19 THAT'S FINE. 0 CAN WE BLOW UP THE BACKGROUND SECTION, PLEASE, 20 21 IAN. 2.2 SO THE ISSUE HERE IN THIS STUDY IS LIKE IT WAS 23 IN HALLMAYER, WHICH IS WHAT IS THE IMPACT OF 24 ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS VERSUS GENETIC FACTORS AND MAYBE JUST HIGHLIGHT THE CONCLUSION. 25 26 IN THIS STUDY THEY DEMONSTRATE THAT AUTISM, 27 ASD, IS DUE TO STRONG GENETIC EFFECTS, B, A SHARED 28 ENVIRONMENTAL EFFECTS BECOMES SIGNIFICANT AS A FUNCTION 129

1 OF LOWER PREVALENCE RATE, AND C, PREVIOUSLY REPORTED 2 SIGNIFICANT SHARED ENVIRONMENTAL INFLUENCES ARE LIKELY A 3 STATISTICAL ARTIFACT OF OVER-INCLUSION OF CONCORDANT 4 DIZYGOTIC TWINS. 5 WE'LL GET TO THAT IN A MOMENT. BUT LET'S GO TO THE CONCLUSION OF THE ARTICLE 6 7 WHICH IT SAYS IT IN SOMEWHAT PLAINER ENGLISH. 8 IF YOU CAN BLOW UP PAGE 593 AT THE BOTTOM OF 9 THE CONCLUSION OR ALL THE CONCLUSION. 10 THANK YOU, SIR. AND THE NEXT PARAGRAPH TOO. 11 12 ALL RIGHT. DOCTOR, I HAVE IN FRONT OF YOU THE 13 CONCLUSION FROM THE TICK STUDY. AND LET'S JUST READ IT TOGETHER. 14 15 IT SAYS IT USED AN APPROPRIATE META ANALYTIC 16 STATISTICAL APPROACH, THEY DEMONSTRATED THAT THE 17 ETIOLOGY OF AUTISM SPECTRUM DISORDER IN A COMBINED 18 SAMPLE IS MORE CONSISTENT WITH STRONG GENETIC 19 INFLUENCES. 20 AND JUST TO BE CLEAR, WHEN YOU TALKED ABOUT 21 HALLMAYER, YOU SAID THE HALLMAYER DATA SEEMED TO BE --22 SHOW LESS CONNECTION WITH GENETICS THAN OTHER STUDIES. 23 I THINK THAT'S WHAT YOU SAID; CORRECT? SOMETHING LIKE THAT. YES. THE HERITABILITY 24 Α ESTIMATES IN THE HALLMAYER STUDY WERE LOWER THAN IN SOME 25 OTHER STUDIES. 26 27 Q YEAH. AND THEN IT GOES ON, THIS STUDY SAYS, "WE CAN 28 130

1		REJECT THE CLAIM THAT THERE IS A STRONG SHARED
2		ENVIRONMENTAL EFFECT ON AUTISM SPECTRUM
3		DISORDERS ACCOUNTING FOR THE MAJORITY OF
4		VARIANCE AND ALERT TO THE DANGER OF PLACING TOO
5		MUCH WEIGHT ON FINDINGS FROM A SINGLE STUDY
6		SUCH AS HALLMAYER, ET AL., 2011."
7		THAT'S THE STUDY YOU LOOKED AT WITH MR.
8	ESFANDIA	ARY; CORRECT?
9	А	THAT WAS THE HALLMAYER STUDY, YES.
10	Q	AND THEN IT GOES ON TO SAY, "AT THE SAME TIME
11		WE DO NOT EXCLUDE THE POSSIBILITY THAT
12		ENVIRONMENTAL OR AT LEAST NONGENETIC EFFECTS
13		INFLUENCE AUTISM SPECTRUM DISORDER, BUT UNLESS
14		A SUITABLY POWERED AND WELL DESIGNED NEW STUDY
15		COMES FORWARD, THIS CLAIM SHOULD BE PUT TO ONE
16		SIDE FOR NOW."
17		THAT'S THE CONCLUSION OF THE TICK STUDY IN
18	2015; CC	RRECT?
19	A	THAT IS WHAT THEY SAY.
20	Q	OKAY.
21		MR. PETROSINELLI: I DON'T HAVE ANY FURTHER
22	QUESTION	IS, YOUR HONOR. THANK YOU.
23		THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.
24		DOES THE PLAINTIFF WANT TO FOLLOW UP WITH ANY
25	QUESTION	IS?
26		MR. ESFANDIARY: JUST A COUPLE OF VERY SHORT
27	QUESTION	IS, YOUR HONOR. IT WON'T TAKE VERY LONG AT ALL.
28		THE COURT: THANK YOU.
		131

Bryce Reporting Services (510)828-9404 - info@brycereporters.com

1 REDIRECT EXAMINATION 2 MR. ESFANDIARY: DR. SHAPIRO, THANK YOU FOR BEING WITH US HERE 3 0 THIS AFTERNOON. I JUST HAVE A COUPLE FOLLOW-UP 4 5 OUESTIONS FOR YOU. DO YOU REMEMBER MR. IMBROSCIO ASKING YOU 6 7 WHETHER IT WAS GENERALLY ACCEPTED IN THE SCIENTIFIC 8 COMMUNITY WHETHER METALS CAN CAUSE ASD? DO YOU REMEMBER 9 THAT QUESTION? 10 Α YES. PUTTING ASIDE THE OUESTION OF WHETHER IT'S 11 0 12 GENERALLY ACCEPTED, DOES IT MAKE LOGICAL SENSE BASED ON 13 WHAT WE KNOW ABOUT HEAVY METALS AND WHAT THEY DO TO THE 14 BRAIN? 15 Α I THINK IT IS GENERALLY ACCEPTED IN THE 16 SCIENTIFIC COMMUNITY THAT HEAVY METALS ARE NEUROTOXIC, 17 AND IF YOU INTRODUCE A TOXIC AGENT TO THE BRAIN AT A 18 CRITICAL DEVELOPMENTAL PERIOD, IT CERTAINLY MAKES SENSE 19 THAT IT COULD LEAD TO AN EARLY DEVELOPMENTAL 20 DISTURBANCES INCLUDING ASD. MR. IMBROSCIO ALSO ASKED YOU ABOUT THE SPECIFIC 21 0 2.2 MECHANISMS THAT METALS INDUCE IN THE BRAIN AND HE ASKED 23 OUESTIONS ABOUT WHETHER THERE ARE GENERAL MECHANISMS 2.4 THAT HAPPEN IN THE BRAIN IRRESPECTIVE OF THE HEAVY METAL I BELIEVE YOU ALSO TALKED ABOUT THIS DURING 25 PRESENCE. 26 YOUR DEPOSITION. 27 IS IT JUST THAT METALS OR THESE PROCESSES IN 28 THE BRAIN HAPPEN GENERALLY OR IS IT THAT METALS CAN

132

1 CAUSE A PATHOLOGICAL AMOUNT OF THESE PROCESSES TO HAPPEN 2 THAT OVERLAPS WITH THE SYMPTOMS OF ASD? MR. PETROSINELLI: OBJECTION; LEADING. 3 THE COURT: OVERRULED. 4 THE WITNESS: SO THESE ARE PATHOLOGICAL 5 PROCESSES, AND I THINK WHAT I TRIED TO SAY IN MY ANSWER 6 7 EARLIER WAS THESE ARE NOT SORT OF PROCESSES THAT UNFOLD 8 WITHOUT PROVOCATION AND WE HAVE NO IMPACT ON BRAIN 9 DEVELOPMENT. THEY ARE, YOU KNOW, CLEARLY PATHOLOGICAL, 10 THE MORE INTENSE THEY ARE, THE MORE PATHOLOGY THEY 11 CAUSE. 12 AND THEY CAN, YOU KNOW, BE TRIGGERED BY A 13 VARIETY OF DIFFERENT CAUSES OF WHICH METALS ARE ONE, BUT JUST BECAUSE THEY HAVE MANY POTENTIAL CAUSES DOESN'T 14 15 MEAN THAT THEY ARE BENIGN. SO, FOR EXAMPLE, A FLU-LIKE ILLNESS COULD BE 16 17 CAUSED BY COVID OR FLU OR ANY NUMBER OF DIFFERENT VIRUSES OR INFECTIOUS AGENTS, IT'S NOT SPECIFIC TO A 18 19 PARTICULAR INFECTIOUS AGENT, BUT IT'S NOT A BENIGN CONDITION. 20 21 MR. ESFANDIARY: AND LASTLY, DOCTOR, AND WE'RE GOING TO END ON 22 0 23 THIS: I WANT TO SHOW YOU, IF WE CAN JUST INSERT THIS HERE, DOCTOR, JUST GOING BACK TO THE HALLMAYER STUDY 24 BRIEFLY, CAN YOU -- OOPS -- JUST GOING BACK TO THE 25 HALLMAYER STUDY BRIEFLY. 26 27 COUNSEL JUST SHOWED YOU THE PART OF THIS 28 DOCUMENT TALKING ABOUT NONGENETIC RISK FACTORS SUCH AS

1 PARENTAL AGE, LOW BIRTH WEIGHT AND PREMATURE BIRTHS. 2 DO YOU REMEMBER TALKING TO HIM ABOUT THAT? 3 Α YES. I JUST WANT TO TAKE A LOOK AT WHAT PAPER THE 4 0 5 HALLMAYER STUDY CITES FOR THIS PROPOSITION VERY OUICKLY. AND IF WE GO DOWN TO THEIR CITATIONS, I BELIEVE IT'S 6 7 CITATION 24 THEY CITE DR. GARDENER, DON'T THEY? 8 SEEMS TO BE THE CASE. Α 9 SO, YOU KNOW, IF I COULD SAY SOMETHING ABOUT 10 THE HALLMAYER STUDY. SURE. 11 0 AND THE PREVIOUS STUDY THAT WAS REFERENCED. 12 А SO, AGAIN, THIS GETS TO AN ISSUE THAT WE TALKED 13 ABOUT A LITTLE BIT EARLIER, WHICH IS THE DIFFERENCE 14 15 BETWEEN HERITABILITY AS A POPULATION RISK AND INDIVIDUAL 16 RISKS OF DEVELOPING DISORDER AND SEVERITY OF THAT 17 DISORDER, WHICH ARE ALSO IMPORTANT. 18 AND I THINK THAT, YOU KNOW, I CERTAINLY WOULD 19 AGREE WITH THE STATEMENT THAT GENETICS PLAYS A VERY 20 STRONG ROLE IN A POPULATION BASIS IN THE PATHOGENESIS OF 21 AUTISM AND THAT THE PURE ENVIRONMENTAL VARIABLES ARE AT 22 PLAY, BUT THE MORE THE INFLUENCE OF GENETICS WILL BE 23 EVIDENT. SO IF YOU KIND OF EOUALIZE THE ENVIRONMENT, 24 THEN GENETICS IS GOING TO ACCOUNT FOR ALMOST ALL OF, YOU KNOW, WHAT'S LEFT IN TERMS OF VARIABILITY. 25 26 IF YOU HAVE MORE ENVIRONMENTAL VARIABILITY, 27 THEN, YOU KNOW, THE GENETIC COMPONENT IS GOING TO APPEAR 28 TO BE WEAKER.

2 INDIVIDUAL LEVEL I THINK IT'S SORT OF, YOU KNOW, IT'S A 3 DIFFERENT STORY. SO AT THE INDIVIDUAL LEVEL YOU MAY HAVE GENETIC 4 5 SUSCEPTIBILITY BASED ON, YOU KNOW, EITHER ONE, YOU KNOW, GENE THAT IS VERY A STRONG GENETIC RISK FACTOR OR A 6 7 COMBINATION OF GENES THAT TOGETHER CONTRIBUTE TO RISK, 8 BUT THE OUESTION OF WHETHER YOU'RE GOING TO DEVELOP A 9 DISORDER AND HOW SEVERE THAT DISORDER IS IS GOING TO 10 DEPEND ON ENVIRONMENTAL INFLUENCE. 11 SO I THINK WE NEED TO BE CAREFUL NOT TO 12 CONFLATE THE POPULATION LEVEL DESCRIPTION AND THE INDIVIDUAL DESCRIPTION. 13 BUT IN THE HALLMAYER AND THESE OTHER STUDIES 14 15 ARE POPULATION STUDIES, BUT IF YOU JUST LOOK AT THE 16 DATA, THE FACT IS THAT THERE ARE TWIN PAIRS THAT ARE 17 DISCORDANT FOR AUTISM, AND THAT IS NOT EXPLAINED AWAY BY A META ANALYSIS. 18 19 MR. ESFANDIARY: THANK YOU, DOCTOR. THAT'S A 20 GREAT PLACE TO END IT. I HAVE NO FURTHER OUESTIONS. 21 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. 2.2 MR. PETROSINELLI: YEAH, NO QUESTIONS, YOUR

AGAIN, AT THE POPULATION LEVEL. AT THE

1

23

HONOR.

24THE COURT: THANK YOU. WOULD YOU LIKE TO TAKE25A BREAK NOW OR DO YOU WANT TO LAUNCH INTO THE NEXT26WITNESS?

27 MR. ESFANDIARY: WE CAN TAKE A FIVE-MINUTE28 BREAK, YOUR HONOR.

Bryce Reporting Services (510)828-9404 - info@brycereporters.com

1 THE COURT: OKAY. WE'LL COME BACK IN FIVE 2 MINUTES. THANK YOU. 3 MR. ESFANDIARY: THANK YOU. 4 MR. PETROSINELLI: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. 5 (RECESS) THE COURT: OKAY. WE'LL NEED TO SWEAR THE 6 7 WITNESS IN AGAIN, MR. WISNER. MY CLERK IS COMING 8 AROUND. 9 HI, DR. RITZ. MY NAME IS AMY HOGUE. IT'S NICE 10 TO MEET YOU. 11 IT'S NICE TO MEET YOU, JUDGE. THE WITNESS: 12 THE CLERK: CAN YOU PLEASE RAISE YOUR RIGHT HAND. 13 OKAY. 14 THE WITNESS: YOU NEED TO SEE ME. 15 THE CLERK: I SEE YOU. 16 DO YOU SOLEMNLY STATE THAT THE TESTIMONY YOU 17 MAY GIVE IN THE CAUSE NOW PENDING BEFORE THIS COURT 18 SHALL BE THE TRUTH, THE WHOLE TRUTH, AND NOTHING BUT THE 19 TRUTH SO HELP YOU GOD? 20 THE WITNESS: I DO. 21 THE CLERK: PLEASE STATE AND SPELL YOUR FIRST 2.2 AND LAST NAME FOR THE RECORD. 23 THE WITNESS: DR. BEATE RITZ. B-E-A-T-E, FIRST 24 NAME. AND LAST NAME R-I-T-Z. 25 THE CLERK: THANK YOU. 26 27 28 136

1 DIRECT EXAMINATION 2 MR. WISNER: 3 GOOD AFTERNOON, DR. RITZ. THANK YOU SO MUCH 0 4 FOR BEING HERE. 5 BEFORE WE GET STARTED, THOUGH, I JUST WANTED TO ASK THE COURT, IS THERE ANYTHING SPECIFICALLY YOUR HONOR 6 7 WOULD LIKE ME TO ADDRESS BECAUSE I HAVE MY SCRIPT AND I 8 HAVE MY OUTLINE, BUT I WANT TO MAKE SURE I GET ALL THE 9 THINGS YOU CARE ABOUT, YOUR HONOR. 10 THE COURT: WELL, AS DISCUSSED, I WANT TO HEAR ABOUT IS THE UNDERLYING RESEARCH AND THE STRENGTH OF THE 11 12 CONNECTION AND SUPPORT THAT IT GETS TO THE OPINIONS OF 13 DR. RITZ. MR. WISNER: OKAY. WE'RE DEFINITELY GOING TO 14 15 COVER THAT. THE COURT: YES. 16 17 MR. WISNER: OKAY. GREAT. WELL, DR. RITZ, THANK YOU FOR 18 0 ALL RIGHT. 19 JOINING US. I UNDERSTAND YOU HAVE A ACCENT. 20 WHERE ARE YOU FROM? I'M FROM GERMANY. 21 Α 22 HOW LONG HAVE YOU BEEN HERE IN L.A.? 0 23 Α 30 -- 33 YEARS NOW, I GUESS. OKAY. SO AND IN HOMAGE TO THE FACT THAT YOU'RE 24 0 GERMAN AND THE FACT WE'RE GOING TO HAVE TO BLITZ THROUGH 25 26 ALL OF THIS TESTIMONY BECAUSE THERE'S A LOT TO COVER, WE 27 PUT TOGETHER WHAT I'M GOING TO CALL THE RITZ AUTOBAHN 28 AND THIS IS THE ROADMAP THAT WE'RE GOING TO USE FOR YOUR 137

1 TESTIMONY TODAY; ALL RIGHT? 2 SO NO SPEED LIMITS, RIGHT. А EXACTLY. 3 0 EXACTLY. SO WE'RE GOING TO START OF WITH YOUR 4 5 OUALIFICATIONS AND EXPERIENCE AND WE'RE GOING TO TALK ABOUT SOME BASIC EPI PRINCIPLES. WE'LL PROBABLY GO 6 7 THROUGH THAT OUICKER THAN I ANTICIPATED JUST BECAUSE I 8 THINK THE COURT IS FAMILIAR WITH A LOT OF THAT ALREADY. 9 THEN WE'RE GOING TO TALK ABOUT YOUR 10 METHODOLOGY THAT YOU USED. WE'RE GOING TO TALK ABOUT 11 THE DATA ABOUT LEAD AND ASD AND THEN WE'RE GOING TO END 12 ON THE DATA REGARDING LEAD AND ADHD; OKAY? 13 Α GOOD. ALL RIGHT. SO LET'S START OFF WITH YOUR 14 0 15 QUALIFICATIONS. WHERE DO YOU CURRENTLY WORK? 16 17 Α WELL, I WORK AT UCLA IN THE SCHOOL OF PUBLIC HEALTH AND THE SCHOOL OF MEDICINE. I HAVE DOUBLE 18 19 APPOINTMENTS. WHAT DO YOU DO AT UCLA? 20 0 WELL, I AM WHAT'S CALLED A MEMBER OF THE CENTER 21 Α 2.2 FOR OCCUPATIONAL AND ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH, BUT I AM THE 23 CURRENTLY SOLE EPIDEMIOLOGIST IN THAT CENTER, SO MY 2.4 FACULTY APPOINTMENT IT IS EPIDEMIOLOGY, THAT'S WHAT I TEACH. BUT MY DESIGNATED JOB FOR THE STATE OF 25 26 CALIFORNIA, BECAUSE THESE SPECIFIC FACULTY POSITIONS 27 WERE GIVEN TO UCLA BY THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, AS EXTRA 28 POSITIONS ARE TO DO RESEARCH AND OUTREACH AND

1 INFORMATION PROVIDING FOR THE COMMUNITY OF CALIFORNIA IN 2 TERMS OF OCCUPATIONAL AND ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH. WHAT IS OCCUPATIONAL EPIDEMIOLOGY OR 3 0 4 ENVIRONMENTAL EPIDEMIOLOGY? WELL, RIGHT, SO EPIDEMIOLOGISTS OFTEN DEFINE 5 Α THEMSELVES ACCORDING TO A SPECIFIC DISEASE THEY ARE 6 7 STUDYING, SO YOU MIGHT HEAR THAT SOMEBODY SAYS I'M A 8 CANCER EPIDEMIOLOGIST OR, YOU KNOW, I'M AN HIV 9 EPIDEMIOLOGIST. 10 WELL, FOR OCCUPATIONAL AND ENVIRONMENTAL EPIDEMIOLOGY, IT'S ACTUALLY SPECIFIC TO THE EXPOSURES 11 12 THAT WE ARE STUDYING, SO I OFTEN, WHEN I TEACH MY 13 STUDENTS, WOULD SAY, WELL, YOU KNOW, THERE IS DEFINITELY FOR SURE ONE TYPE OF DISEASE YOU CAN PREVENT AND THAT IS 14 15 OCCUPATIONAL AND ENVIRONMENTAL INDUCED DISEASE BECAUSE IF YOU TAKE THOSE TOXINS OR WHATEVER THE EXPOSURES ARE 16 17 AWAY, YOU WOULD NOT SEE THE DISEASE, AND THEREFORE, IN A WAY OUR JOB IS EASIER, BUT OUR JOB IS ALSO HARDER 18 19 BECAUSE WE HAVE A VERY BROAD SPECTRUM OF DISEASES WE ARE 20 STUDYING AND OF EXPOSURES. LET ME SHOW YOU EXHIBIT 3. THIS IS A COPY OF 21 0 YOUR C.V. 22 23 DO YOU SEE THAT? Α YES. 24 AND I SEE HERE IN YOUR EDUCATION THAT YOU 25 0 26 RECEIVED YOUR PH.D. AND MASTERS IN PUBLIC HEALTH IN 27 EPIDEMIOLOGY FROM UCLA. DO YOU SEE THAT? 28

139

A YES.

1

5

6

2 Q BUT IT LOOKS LIKE BEFORE THAT YOU HAD AN 3 EARLIER CAREER IN MEDICINE AT THE MEDICAL SCHOOL 4 UNIVERSITY OF HAMBURG.

DO YOU SEE THAT?

A YES.

7 Q CAN YOU PLEASE DESCRIBE TO THE COURT WHAT THAT 8 BACKGROUND WAS?

9 A YEAH, ACTUALLY I HAVE A MEDICAL DEGREE, AN M.D.
10 FROM THE UNIVERSITY OF HAMBURG AND A PH.D. ACTUALLY ALSO
11 SO I BASICALLY HAVE TWO PH.D.'S IN MEDICAL SOCIOLOGY.

12 MY MEDICAL EDUCATION AT THE UNIVERSITY OF 13 HAMBURG WAS VERY BROAD, BUT I DID MY SPECIALTY IN 14 PSYCHIATRY SO I WAS A RESIDENT IN PSYCHIATRY AND I WAS A 15 RESEARCHER AND THEN A ASSISTANT PROFESSOR IN MEDICAL 16 SOCIOLOGY WHICH WAS AT THE TIME THE BRANCH WHERE WE WERE 17 STUDYING WORK-RELATED AND ENVIRONMENTAL EXPOSURES AND 18 THAT WAS PRIOR TO ME COMING TO UCLA TO GET A FORMAL 19 TRAINING IN EPIDEMIOLOGY.

AND BY THE WAY, THAT WAS FUNDED BY THE GERMAN
STATE WITH A FELLOWSHIP SPECIFICALLY TO GET PEOPLE
EDUCATED IN EPIDEMIOLOGY BECAUSE THAT BRANCH OF SCIENCE
AT THE TIME WAS NOT TAUGHT IN GERMANY.

Q LET ME GET THIS STRAIGHT. GERMANY PAID FOR
YOUR EPIDEMIOLOGICAL TRAINING AND CALIFORNIA GETS THE
BENEFIT; IS THAT RIGHT?

- 27 A YES. EXACTLY.
- 28 Q OKAY.

DOCTOR, ONE OF THE THINGS I WANT TO ASK YOU AND I THINK THIS IS IMPORTANT FOR ANY EXPERT: WHY DID YOU GET INTO EPIDEMIOLOGY? WHY GO FROM THIS CAREER IN MEDICINE FOCUSING ON PSYCHIATRY AND START LOOKING AT THE CAUSES OF DISEASES?

YEAH, I WAS VERY FASCINATED BY THE CAUSE OF 6 Α 7 DISEASE FROM THE VERY BEGINNING OF MY MEDICAL STUDIES; 8 IN FACT, I WOULD ALWAYS, YOU KNOW, I'M ONE OF THESE PEOPLE WHO LIKES DETECTIVE STORIES AND I'D WANT TO KNOW 9 10 THE "WHO DONE IT" THAN ANYTHING ELSE. SO IN MEDICINE IT 11 REALLY INTERESTED ME WHO DONE IT. AND I REALIZED THAT A 12 LOT OF MEDICINE NEVER REALLY ASKED THAT OUESTION OR 13 ASKED THE OUESTION TOO LATE, MEANING, HAD I KNOWN WHO DONE IT, I COULD HAVE PREVENTED THE OUTCOME. 14

15 OF COURSE THAT WOULD MAKE ME WITHOUT A JOB BECAUSE I WOULDN'T TREAT PEOPLE ANYMORE, BUT HONESTLY, I 16 17 WOULD RATHER LOSE MY JOB BECAUSE OF THAT THAN SEE WHAT I SAW IN A LOT OF FIELDS OF MEDICINE WHERE, YOU KNOW, THE 18 19 EFFECTS OF A LOT OF THINGS WERE JUST REALLY HORRIBLE, 20 ESPECIALLY NEUROLOGY AND PSYCHIATRY WHERE WE HAVE VERY LITTLE TREATMENT OPPORTUNITIES. YOU BASICALLY ARE 21 WATCHING PEOPLE GET WORSE OR YOU ARE WATCHING PEOPLE 22 23 BEING TAKEN CARE OF, BUT YOU REALLY CAN'T HELP THEM. IT'S NOT LIKE CANCER WHERE YOU CAN CUT SOMETHING OUT. 24

Q BECAUSE OF YOUR TRAINING AND BACKGROUND
SPECIFICALLY IN MEDICINE AS IT RELATES TO PSYCHIATRY AND
NEUROLOGICAL DISEASE, HAS THE STUDY OF THE CAUSE OF
THOSE DISEASES PLAYED A PRIMARY ROLE IN YOUR RESEARCH AS

141

1 AN EPIDEMIOLOGIST?

2	A ABSOLUTELY. I VERY EARLY AT UCLA FIGURED OUT
3	THAT ONE OR TWO OF THE MOST IMPORTANT THINGS IN
4	ENVIRONMENTAL EPIDEMIOLOGY AT UCLA FOR ME WAS TO STUDY
5	EXPOSURES THAT ARE VERY WIDESPREAD IN THE STATE OF
6	CALIFORNIA, AND THAT'S AIR POLLUTION AND PESTICIDE
7	EXPOSURES, SO I CONCENTRATED ON THOSE. AND
8	INTERESTINGLY THE PESTICIDE EXPOSURES ARE KNOWN
9	NEUROTOXINS AND ACTUALLY A LOT OF THEM HAVE METALS IN
10	THEM.
11	AND OF COURSE AIR POLLUTION, WE ARE CURRENTLY
12	DOING A STUDY SPECIFICALLY ON THE METAL COMPONENTS IN
13	AIR POLLUTION BECAUSE WE BELIEVE THAT THEY ARE POSSIBLY
14	THE MOST TOXIC.
15	AND WHEN YOU LOOK AT MY C.V., I ACTUALLY LED IN
16	2012 OF THE LARGEST STUDY IN THE U.S. STILL EXISTING, I
17	THINK, ON AUTISM AND AIR POLLUTION.
18	Q LET'S LOOK AT YOUR C.V.
19	SO WE HAVE HERE THE AREA RELATED TO RESEARCH,
20	AND LET'S LOOK AT PAGE 6.
21	SO HERE WE ARE.
22	IS THAT THE TELL ME WHEN YOU SEE THE ONE ON
23	IS THIS THE AIR THE ONE DOWN HERE?
24	A YEAH, DOWN THERE IS THE ONE WITH CALIFORNIA
25	DATA.
26	Q OKAY.
27	A THE ONE UP TOP IS ACTUALLY EVEN MORE
28	INTERESTING IN A WAY BECAUSE IT'S A LONGER RUNNING STUDY
	142

Bryce Reporting Services (510)828-9404 - info@brycereporters.com

1 IN DENMARK WHERE YOU HAVE UNBELIEVABLY GOOD OPPORTUNITIES TO LINK DATA SETS AND THEY ALSO HAVE A 2 NATIONAL BIRTH COHORT AND I'VE DONE A LOT OF STUDIES IN 3 DENMARK BECAUSE THEY HAVE THIS WEALTH OF DATA AND THEY 4 ALSO HAVE A NATIONAL HEALTH SYSTEM SO YOU CAN ACTUALLY 5 GET DIAGNOSIS DONE REALLY WELL AND YOU CAN PICK OUT 6 7 DISEASES FROM THESE REGISTRIES QUITE WELL. 8 AND THE NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF ENVIRONMENTAL 9 HEALTH SCIENCES FUNDED ME TO DO THIS BIG STUDY IN 10 DENMARK. NOW, DOCTOR, THERE'S BEEN SOME DISCUSSION 11 0 12 EARLIER TODAY ABOUT DIFFERENT PROGRAMS AT UCLA. Ι 13 BELIEVE THERE IS A SPECIFIC PROGRAM THAT LOOKS AT AUTISM CALLED CART. 14 15 ARE YOU FAMILIAR WITH THAT PROGRAM? ABSOLUTELY. 16 Α YES. 17 0 WHAT'S YOUR FAMILIARITY WITH THAT GROUP? WELL, IT'S A GROUP THAT SPECIALIZED ON -- IT'S 18 Α 19 SITUATED WITHIN NEUROLOGY, AND BY THE WAY, I HAVE A 20 CO-APPOINTMENT OF COURSE IN NEUROLOGY AT UCLA, SO I KNOW ALL THOSE COLLEAGUES. BUT IT IS CENTERED SPECIFICALLY 21 22 FOR THE TREATMENT AND STUDIES OF AUTISM. IT IS MORE 23 HEAVILY TREATMENT-ORIENTED THAN REALLY ETIOLOGIC RESEARCH ORIENTED, BUT IT HAS BOTH COMPONENTS. 24 AND IF WE LOOK BACK AT YOUR C.V. HERE, I SEE 25 Q 26 THAT -- I MEAN, IT'S A FAIRLY LONG C.V., IT'S 50 PAGES 27 LONG SO I WOULD ACTUALLY HAVE TO SPEND ALL DAY IN IT, 28 BUT I SEE RIGHT HERE THAT THERE WAS AN AUTISM

1 EPIDEMIOLOGY INVITED SPEAKER AT THE ANNUAL CART MEETING 2 AT UCLA IN 2014. 3 DO YOU SEE THAT? 4 YES. Α 5 SO CAN YOU PLEASE -- SO WOULD IT BE FAIR TO 0 SAY, THEN, THAT THIS PROGRAM ACTUALLY INVITED YOU TO 6 7 COME AND PRESENT ON AUTISM AND EPIDEMIOLOGY TO THEIR 8 PROGRAM? 9 THEY HAVE A REGULAR SPEAKER SERIES THAT I Α YES. 10 ALSO ATTEND WHENEVER I CAN, AND IN 2014 THEY RECOGNIZED 11 ME AS ONE OF THE EPIDEMIOLOGISTS IN AUTISM AND 12 ENVIRONMENT AND I GAVE THAT INVITED SPEECH. 13 WERE YOU INVITED BY DR. GESCHWIND? 0 14 Α YES. 15 Q OKAY. ALL RIGHT. ON PAGE 49 OF YOUR C.V. THERE IS -- LET ME GO 16 TO IT -- THERE IS A BUNCH OF LETTERS AND OTHER 17 18 PUBLICATIONS. 19 DO YOU SEE THAT? 20 YES. Α 21 AND DOWN HERE AT THE BOTTOM, THERE'S A 0 2.2 PUBLICATION NUMBER 10 AND IT APPEARS TO BE PROJECT 23 TENDR, "TARGETING ENVIRONMENTAL NEURODEVELOPMENTAL RISKS 24 AND THE TENDR CONSENSUS STATEMENT." DO YOU SEE THAT? 25 26 Α YES, I SEE THAT. 27 Q WHAT IS TENDR, DOCTOR? 28 Α WELL, IT'S A GROUP OF SCIENTISTS, I BELIEVE WE 144

> Bryce Reporting Services (510)828-9404 - info@brycereporters.com

1 ARE BETWEEN 60 AND A HUNDRED DEPENDING ON WHEN YOU 2 COUNT, WHO HAVE COME TOGETHER, WE'RE ALL FUNDED BY THE NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH SCIENCES, 3 ACTUALLY THE FORMER DIRECTOR DR. BONBAUM IS ONE OF THE 4 CO-AUTHORS OF THIS STATEMENT. WE COME TOGETHER ON A 5 REGULAR BASIS WITH SOME FUNDING FROM FOUNDATIONS IN 6 7 ORDER TO DISCUSS WHAT WE KNOW ABOUT THE SCIENCE IN 8 NEURODEVELOPMENT, ESPECIALLY THE SCIENCE WHERE WE HAVE -- WHERE WE CAN ENCOURAGE PREVENTATIVE ACTION WHICH ARE 9 10 ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS AND, YOU KNOW, OCCUPATIONAL RISK 11 FACTORS, BEHAVIORAL RISK FACTORS, AND WE EVALUATE THE 12 SCIENCE AND THEN COME UP WITH A CONSENSUS STATEMENT THAT 13 WE PUBLISH IN A PEER-REVIEWED JOURNAL, IN THIS CASE THIS ONE WAS PUBLISHED IN ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH PERSPECTIVES, 14 15 WHICH IS THE OFFICIAL JOURNAL PUBLISHED BY THE NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH SCIENCES. 16

Q NOW, JUST FOR THOSE OF US WHO AREN'T FAMILIAR
WITH THE VARIOUS ALPHABET SOUP OF AGENCIES, WHAT IS THE
NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH, NIEH?

A NIEHS IS THE NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF
ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH SCIENCES AND IT IS SPECIFICALLY
TASKS JUST LIKE I AM IN THE COEH AT UCLA IN THAT CENTER
TO INVESTIGATE ENVIRONMENTAL CAUSES OF DISEASE, AND
ENVIRONMENT IN THIS CASE REALLY EXCLUDES BEHAVIORS LIKE
SMOKING BUT INCLUDES EVERYTHING ELSE THAT WE CAN CALL
ENVIRONMENTAL.

Q NOW, I WANT TO TAKE A LOOK AT THAT VERY28 DOCUMENT THAT'S LISTED RIGHT HERE.

1 YOU SEE THAT WAS PUBLISHED IN 2016? 2 Α YES. 3 WOULD YOU RECOGNIZE A COPY OF THAT DOCUMENT IF 0 4 YOU SAW IT TODAY? 5 YES. Α I'M SHOWING YOU WHAT HAS BEEN 6 0 ALL RIGHT. 7 MARKED AS EXHIBIT 32 IN THE RECORD. 8 DOCTOR, DO YOU RECOGNIZE THIS DOCUMENT? 9 Α YES. 10 0 IS THIS THAT CONSENSUS STATEMENT THAT WE WERE 11 JUST DISCUSSING? 12 Α YES, IT IS. NOW, IF WE GO DOWN TO THE BOTTOM 13 ALL RIGHT. 0 THE BACK OF IT THERE IS A LIST OF VARIOUS AUTHORS. 14 15 DO YOU SEE THAT? YES. 16 Α 17 Q YOU HAVE A GROUP OF SCIENTISTS; RIGHT? 18 Α YES. 19 AND YOU HAVE SCIENTISTS FROM ALL OVER. 0 YOU 20 HAVE UC DAVIS, HARVARD, NIEHS, BERKELEY, CINCINNATI COLLEGE OF MEDICINE, UNIVERSITY OF ROCHESTER MEDICAL 21 2.2 SCHOOL. DO YOU SEE ALL THAT? 23 24 Α YES. AND THEN THIS SORT OF BROAD GROUP OF SCIENTISTS 25 0 26 ALL COMING TOGETHER AS PART OF THIS TENDR GROUP, IS THAT 27 SOMETHING THAT YOU FREQUENTLY SEE IN THE AREA OF 28 ENVIRONMENTAL EPIDEMIOLOGY?

1 Α IT'S ACTUALLY RATHER UNUSUAL. AND WHAT IS EVEN MORE UNUSUAL IS THAT IT'S DIFFERENT DISCIPLINES ACTUALLY 2 TALKING TO EACH OTHER, SO EPIDEMIOLOGISTS AND YOU SEE A 3 FEW PEOPLE HERE WHO HAVE THE TITLE TOXICOLOGY AND 4 NEUROSCIENCE, AND THAT IS REALLY THE UNUSUAL PART, BUT 5 SOMETHING THAT THE NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF ENVIRONMENTAL 6 7 HEALTH SCIENCES HAS BEEN FOSTERING OVER THE LAST DECADE 8 THAT WE ACTUALLY TALK TO BASIC SCIENTISTS WHO DO ANIMAL STUDIES, TOXICOLOGY STUDIES, AND WE GO ALL THE WAY FROM 9 10 HUMAN RESEARCH TO CELL MODELS. 11 AND EVEN MORE IMPORTANT WE ALSO HAVE HEALTH 12 PROFESSIONALS AND PROVIDERS, AND YOU'RE POINTING THAT 13 OUT RIGHT HERE, SO WE HAVE ACTUALLY REPRESENTATIVES OF

14 THE CLINICAL SCIENCES WHO CARE ABOUT CHILDREN'S HEALTH
15 IN THIS CASE, ESPECIALLY CHILDREN'S NEURODEVELOPMENTAL
16 HEALTH.

17 Q NOW, OBVIOUSLY YOU ARE ONE THE SIGNATORIES ON18 THIS.

19

DO YOU SEE THAT?

20 A YES.

Q OKAY. AND IF WE GO DOWN TO THE NEXT PAGE,
MORE SCIENTISTS, AND THEN THERE'S A GROUP OF CHILDREN'S
HEALTH AND DISABILITY ADVOCATES.

24

25

DO YOU SEE THAT?

A YES.

Q AND THAT'S THE THIRD GROUP OF INTERESTING
INDIVIDUALS WHO PARTICIPATE IN THIS WHO KEEP US HONEST
AND ON TARGET IN TERMS OF REALLY CARING ABOUT WHAT'S

1 HAPPENING TO THE AFFECTED POPULATION AND THEY ARE REALLY 2 ADVOCATES FOR THE FAMILIES AND FOR, YEAH, THE 3 COMMUNITIES. 0 THAT'S WHAT I WAS GOING TO GET AT. 4 THESE ARE GROUPS THAT REPRESENT THE AUTISM 5 COMMUNITY. 6 7 IS THAT FAIR? 8 Α THAT'S CORRECT. NOT JUST AUTISM, BUT, YOU 9 KNOW, GENERAL NEURODEVELOPMENT AND HEALTH OF CHILDREN, 10 HEALTHY DEVELOPMENT OF THE BRAIN, BASICALLY. 11 AND THEN DOWN HERE THERE'S A SECTION THAT SAYS, 0 12 "ORGANIZATIONS THAT ENDORSE OR SUPPORT THE TENDR 13 CONSENSUS STATEMENT." DO YOU SEE THAT? 14 15 Α YES. AND THERE'S BEEN SOME DISCUSSION ABOUT THIS 16 0 17 INSTITUTION. THERE'S THE AMERICAN COLLEGE OF 18 OBSTETRICIANS AND GYNECOLOGISTS. 19 DO YOU SEE THAT? 20 YES. ACOG. Α 21 YEAH. 0 2.2 AND DID YOU SEE THAT THEY SPECIFICALLY HAVE 23 SUPPORT THE USE OF THIS DOCUMENT AS AN EDUCATIONAL TOOL? 2.4 DO YOU SEE THAT? AND THAT'S ACTUALLY HOW SOME OF THESE 25 Α YES. 26 DOCUMENTS ARE SPECIFICALLY MEANT TO BE USED. 27 Q CAN YOU EXPLAIN? 28 SO WHAT WE ARE DOING AS SCIENTISTS IS OFTEN WE Α 148

1 SIT IN OUR SO-CALLED IVORY TOWER, WE DO OUR RESEARCH, WE 2 COMMUNICATE THAT TO OUR COLLEAGUES, BUT WE ARE NOT COMMUNICATING IT MORE BROADLY TO THE PUBLIC OR TO 3 STAKEHOLDERS. AND IN THIS CASE STAKEHOLDERS COULD BE, 4 FOR EXAMPLE, COLLEAGUES WHO WORK WITH FAMILIES AND WORK 5 WITH WOMEN AND CHILDREN AND WHO NEED TO FIND OUT MORE 6 7 ABOUT THE CAUSES OF CERTAIN DISEASES AND THE WAY HOW WE 8 CAN PREVENT THEM AND WHO ALSO CAN THEN EDUCATE THOSE AFFECTED ABOUT, YOU KNOW, POSSIBLE PREVENTIVE MEASURES 9 10 OR POSSIBLE ACTIONS THEY COULD BE TAKING. SO IF WE GO UP TO THE TOP OF THE PAPER, THIS IS 11 0 12 ON THE FIRST PAGE, AND WE LOOK AT THE PARAGRAPH DOWN HERE AT THE BOTTOM. 13 YES. 14 Α 15 THERE'S A SECTION THAT READS, "PRIME EXAMPLES Q OF NEURODEVELOPMENTALLY TOXIC CHEMICALS." 16 17 RIGHT. Α AND IT GOES ON TO SAY, "THE FOLLOWING LIST 18 0 19 PROVIDES PRIME EXAMPLES OF TOXIC CHEMICALS THAT CAN CONTRIBUTE TO LEARNING BEHAVIORAL OR 20 INTELLECTUAL IMPAIRMENT AS WELL AS THE SPECIFIC 21 NEURODEVELOPMENTAL DISORDERS SUCH AS ADHD OR 22 23 AUTISM SPECTRUM DISORDER." DO YOU SEE THAT? 24 25 Α YES. 26 NOW, WE SEE DOWN HERE SOME STUFF THAT YOU KIND 0 27 OF MENTIONED ALREADY. WE'VE GOT PESTICIDES. WE HAVE 28 AIR POLLUTION. FLAME RETARDANTS.

1 DO YOU SEE THAT? 2 YES. А 3 AND THEN --0 ACTUALLY MY STUDY IS ONE OF THOSE. 4 Α 5 THAT'S RIGHT. THAT'S RIGHT. 0 AND THEN IF WE LOOK ON THE NEXT PAGE, THEY 6 7 SPECIFICALLY MENTION LEAD AND MERCURY. 8 DO YOU SEE THAT? 9 Α YES. AND SOME OF THESE PEOPLE THAT ARE HIGHLIGHTED 10 0 HERE LIKE, FOR EXAMPLE, DR. LAMPIER, THESE ARE PEOPLE 11 12 WHO ARE ACTUALLY PART OF TENDR; CORRECT? 13 THAT'S CORRECT. AS WELL AS DR. KARGAR WHO IS Α UNDER MERCURY. 14 15 Q OH, YES. THANK YOU. 16 AND SO I MEAN, TO BE CLEAR, DOCTOR, THIS 17 DOCUMENT THAT YOU SIGNED AND APPARENTLY OTHER PEOPLE DID AS WELL, THIS WAS ISSUED BEFORE YOU WERE INVOLVED IN 18 19 THIS LITIGATION; IS THAT RIGHT? YES, IN 2016. 20 Α 21 OKAY. 0 2.2 SO, I MEAN, I GUESS ONE OF THE THINGS THAT I'M 23 TRYING TO GET AT IS THERE'S BEEN SOME DISCUSSION, SOME 24 FIGHTING REALLY, ABOUT WHETHER OR NOT THERE IS AN AUTISM COMMUNITY AND WHETHER OR NOT HEAVY METALS HAS A 25 26 POTENTIAL ETIOLOGICAL FACTOR AND WHETHER THAT IS 27 ACCEPTED OR NOT. 28 FROM YOUR PERSPECTIVE WHO WORKS SPECIFICALLY IN 150

1 ENVIRONMENTAL EPIDEMIOLOGY, SPECIFICALLY IN

NEURODEVELOPMENTAL DISABILITY ISSUES AND SPECIFICALLY IN
EPIDEMIOLOGY, WHAT IS YOUR VIEW ABOUT WHETHER OR NOT THE
RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN HEAVY METALS AND ASD AND ADHD IS
SOMETHING THAT IS GENERALLY ACCEPTED?

6 A IT'S ABSOLUTELY ACCEPTED AND THAT'S WHAT THIS 7 TENDR STATEMENT IS ALL ABOUT.

8 IN FACT, WHEN WE CAME TOGETHER TO WRITE THIS 9 STATEMENT, WE ALL THOUGHT, OH, WE HAVE TO GO AFTER THE 10 NEXT REALLY IMPORTANT EXCITING NEUROTOXIN, AND REALLY 11 THE METALS ARE AN OLD HAT, WE KNOW SO MUCH ABOUT THEM, 12 RIGHT, IT WAS ALMOST LIKE UNDER, OKAY, YES, OF COURSE 13 METALS, BUT WE ALREADY KNOW THIS, NOW LET'S MOVE ON TO 14 THIS EXCITING NEW PESTICIDE.

15 Q ALL RIGHT. WELL, LET'S GO BACK TO YOUR16 AUTOBAHN.

17 WE'VE COVERED YOUR QUALIFICATIONS AND18 EXPERIENCE I THINK PRETTY WELL.

LET'S GET INTO SOME EPI 101 ISSUES.

20 I UNDERSTAND WE'VE PREPARED A DEMONSTRATIVE TO 21 SORT OF HELP WALK THROUGH SOME OF THESE CONCEPTS.

THIS IS EXHIBIT 140.

19

22

28

23DO YOU SEE THE SCREEN IN FRONT OF YOU, DOCTOR?24AYES.

Q ALL RIGHT. NOW, WE DON'T NEED TO SPEND TOO
MUCH TIME ON THIS. I'M SURE THE COURT IS FAMILIAR
GENERALLY WITH SOME OF THESE STUDIES.

BUT THERE WERE SOME THINGS SAID ABOUT THE

HIERARCHY OF STUDIES DURING THE OPENING STATEMENTS, AND
 I KIND OF WANT TO EXPLORE THAT WITH YOU SINCE THIS IS
 LITERALLY WHAT YOU TEACH.

4 SO, FIRST OF ALL, WITH IS A COHORT STUDY? 5 A WELL, A COHORT STUDY IS A STUDY OF HUMANS IN 6 WHOM I ASSESS EXPOSURE RIGHT AT THE ONSET AT BASELINE, 7 AND THEN I FOLLOW PEOPLE, I CATEGORIZE THEM ACCORDING TO 8 EXPOSED/UNEXPOSED OR I HAVE A MEASURE OF THE LEVEL OF 9 EXPOSURE, AND THEN I FOLLOW THEM FOR THE OUTCOME OF 10 INTEREST.

SO IF IT'S CANCER, I ASSESS THEM FOR CANCER.
IF IT'S AUTISM, IT MIGHT BE A FIRST COHORT AND I FOLLOW
THAT UP FOR AUTISTIC -- THE AUTISM DIAGNOSIS LATER ON.

Q DOCTOR -- OH.

Q

15 A BUT WHAT IS -- YEAH, WHAT IS IMPORTANT IS THAT
16 I ASSESS MY EXPOSURES RIGHT AT THE OUTSET.

17

14

WHY? WHY IS THAT IMPORTANT?

A BECAUSE THAT ESTABLISHES TEMPORALITY VERY CLEARLY AND SO WE HAVE -- WE DON'T HAVE TO USE ANY --ANY OTHER TYPES OF MEASURES REALLY BECAUSE, YOU KNOW, EVERYTHING WE MEASURE IN TERMS OF EXPOSURE THEN AT THE BEGINNING IS DEFINITELY PRIOR TO THE ONSET OF THE DISEASE BECAUSE I'M MEASURING IT BEFORE THE DISEASE EVEN OCCURRED.

HOWEVER, THERE ARE PROBLEMS WITH THIS IF THE
EXPOSURE IS ACTUALLY A CUMULATIVE EXPOSURE, THEN I HAVE
TO KEEP MEASURING.

28

SO ONE SINGLE MEASURE AT BASELINE IN A COHORT

1 MAY OR MAY NOT BE SUFFICIENT AND MAY NOT TELL ME THE 2 WHOLE STORY. NOW, LET'S SAY, FOR EXAMPLE, YOU TAKE A 3 0 MEASUREMENT HERE AT THE ONSET OF THE STUDY. 4 I'LL MARK 5 THIS WITH SOME RED LINES. DO YOU SEE THAT? 6 7 Α YES. 8 0 OKAY. 9 AND THERE YOU HAVE A MEASURE OF WHO WAS EXPOSED 10 TO, LET'S SAY, LEAD AND WHO ISN'T, OKAY. 11 Α (INDICATING). AND THEN, LET'S SAY, AS YOU MOVE ALONG, THERE 12 0 13 IS EXPOSURES, YOU KNOW, LATER ON AT DIFFERENT POINTS, MAYBE THROUGH FOOD OR THE ENVIRONMENT OR WHATEVER; OKAY? 14 15 Α YES. 16 IF YOU DON'T CAPTURE THOSE EXPOSURES, WHAT 0 17 HAPPENS TO YOUR DATA ON THE END? 18 SO THIS IS A QUESTION OF ADEQUATE EXPOSURE Α 19 ASSESSMENT, AND IF I DON'T BELIEVE THAT THE ONLY EXPOSURE OF INTEREST FOR THE OUTCOME IS THE ONE THAT I 20 MEASURE AT BASELINE, IF, IN FACT, THERE ARE DIFFERENT 21 22 SENSITIVE PERIODS DURING WHICH THE EXPOSURE IS 23 PARTICULARLY IMPORTANT, I MAY ACTUALLY, IF I DON'T 24 CONTINUE TO MEASURE THE EXPOSURES THROUGHOUT THE FOLLOW 25 UP UNTIL THE DIAGNOSIS HAPPENED, THEN I MAY ACTUALLY 26 HAVE MISSED THE MOST IMPORTANT EXPOSURES. 27 0 WELL, COHORT STUDY. SO IF YOU'RE GOING OKAY. TO LOOK AT PEOPLE AND FOLLOW THEM FOR A LONG TIME, YOU 28 153

HAVE TO HAVE A REALLY BIG GROUP OF PEOPLE TO STUDY A
 RARE DISEASE.

A ABSOLUTELY. THAT'S WHY IT'S ACTUALLY QUITE A RARE TO SEE THESE KIND OF STUDY FOR RARE DISEASES, AND 5 AUTISM IS CERTAINLY A RARE DISEASE, LUCKILY.

6 Q ALL RIGHT. WELL, LET'S LOOK AT CASE CONTROL 7 STUDIES.

8

## WHAT ARE THOSE?

9 WELL, IN THIS CASE WE'RE ACTUALLY STARTING WITH Α 10 CASES. SO THIS IS MORE OR LESS THE MORE EFFICIENT WAY OF DOING THE SAME KIND OF RESEARCH THAT WE'RE DOING WHEN 11 12 WE DO A COHORT STUDY, BUT YOU'RE STARTING WITH PEOPLE 13 WHO ARE ALREADY DIAGNOSED. AND WHY IS THAT MORE BECAUSE YOU CAN GO TO A CLINIC OR YOU CAN GO 14 EFFICIENT? 15 TO SOME REGISTRY OR SOME WAY OF FINDING CASES AND THEY HAVE ALREADY DIAGNOSED THE CASES FOR YOU, THEY HAVE 16 17 FOUND THE CASES FOR YOU, AND NOW YOU HAVE TO KIND OF GO BACK IN TIME, YOU WALK YOUR WAY BACKWARDS AND YOU SAY AT 18 19 WHAT POINT IN THE LIFE SPAN OF THESE INDIVIDUALS DID 20 EXPOSURES OCCUR?

21AND YOU MAY HAVE RECORDS THAT TELL YOU ABOUT22THIS AND THAT'S VERY GOOD. OR YOU MAY HAVE BLOOD23SAMPLES THAT ARE STORED SOMEWHERE THAT SOMEBODY USED.

AND YOU DO THE SAME THING FOR WHAT WE CALL THE CONTROL SUBJECTS, WHO ARE BASICALLY A SAMPLE OF PEOPLE WHO DON'T HAVE THE DIAGNOSIS, BUT OTHERWISE, IF THEY HAD GOTTEN THE DIAGNOSIS, WOULD HAVE ALSO BEEN PICKED UP IN THE SAME SYSTEM THAT GAVE YOU THE CASES. 1 AND THEN YOU WALK BACK IN TIME WITH THE 2 CONTROLS IN THE SAME WAY. YOU FIND THE SAME RECORDS FOR 3 THEM, AND YOU BASICALLY DETERMINE THE EXPOSURE DISTRIBUTION IN THE CONTROLS AS KIND OF THE REFERENCE 4 SET BECAUSE THE CONTROLS NEVER GOT DIAGNOSED, MEANING, 5 WHATEVER THEIR EXPOSURES WERE DID NOT HARM THEM, AND NOW 6 7 YOU'RE COMPARING THIS REFERENCE EXPOSURE TO THE EXPOSURE 8 IN THE CASES, AND YOU THEN DETERMINE WHETHER THE EXPOSURE IN THE CASES WAS HIGHER OR LOWER. 9

10 Q NOW, DOCTOR, IS IT ALWAYS THE CASE THAT A 11 COHORT STUDY IS BETTER THAN A CASE CONTROL STUDY? 12 A ABSOLUTELY NOT.

A ABSOLUTELY NOT.

A PYRAMID.

I KNOW THAT PEOPLE WHO ARE NOT EDUCATED AS 13 EPIDEMIOLOGISTS EVEN ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH SCIENTISTS AND 14 15 PHYSICIANS WHO ARE VERY SMART PEOPLE OFTEN HAVE SAID THIS STORY THAT, YOU KNOW, COHORT STUDIES ARE THE BEST 16 17 OBSERVATIONAL, COHORT STUDIES ARE THE BEST STUDIES DESIGNED IN EPI AND THEN, YOU KNOW, DOWNGRADING YOU'RE 18 19 GOING TO CASE CONTROL STUDIES, CROSS SECTIONAL STUDIES, 20 TIME SERIES, ET CETERA; ACTUALLY I USE A SLIDE IN MY TEACHING WHERE I SHOW A PICTURE LIKE THAT OF THIS, YOU 21 22 KNOW, FUNNEL KIND OF AND --

23 Q

A YEAH. AND THEN I EXPLAIN TO MY STUDENTS WHY
THAT IS THE WRONG WAY OF THINKING ABOUT STUDY DESIGN
BECAUSE STUDY DESIGNS HAVE ADVANTAGES AND DISADVANTAGES.

AND, FOR EXAMPLE, IN A CASE CONTROL STUDY, I
CAN GET BETTER DATA THAN IN A COHORT STUDY BECAUSE I CAN

SPEND MORE EFFORT AND MORE MONEY ON MUCH SMALLER GROUPS
 OF PEOPLE. IT'S A MUCH MORE EFFICIENT STUDY DESIGN THAN
 A COHORT STUDY.

IF YOU IMAGINE THAT I HAVE TO COLLECT DATA FROM
A HUNDRED THOUSAND PEOPLE AND NOT ONLY COLLECT DATA AT
BASELINE, BUT I THEN HAVE TO FOLLOW THEM OVER MANY,
MANY, MANY YEARS, THAT'S REALLY EXPENSIVE, SO ALL I
MIGHT BE ABLE TO AFFORD IS A QUESTIONNAIRE THAT PEOPLE
FILL OUT BY THEMSELVES.

IN A CASE CONTROL STUDY, I CAN SIT DOWN WITH
THESE PEOPLE, I CAN TAKE BLOOD SAMPLES, I CAN TAKE A LOT
OF TIME RECONSTRUCTING EXPOSURE HISTORIES. I CAN ASK
THEM ABOUT RECORDS THAT EXIST. I CAN GO BACK TO RECORD
SYSTEMS. I CAN MAKE A LOT MORE EFFORT TO ACTUALLY
CHARACTERIZE EXPOSURES IN A MUCH BETTER WAY.

SIMILARLY, THESE CASES WERE ALREADY DIAGNOSED.
THEY USUALLY COME FROM VERY CREDIBLE SOURCES. THEY COME
FROM MEDICAL CENTERS. THEY COME FROM REGISTRIES THAT
ARE CERTIFIED. SO THERE'S A LOT OF EFFORT THAT WENT
INTO CASE ASCERTAINMENT AND CASE DIAGNOSING AND GETTING
IT RIGHT.

AND IN A COHORT STUDY WHERE YOU HAVE TO LIKE FOLLOW UP OVER AND OVER AGAIN, YOU REALLY NEED TO SET UP THIS KIND OF DIAGNOSTIC SYSTEM ON YOUR OWN AND MAKE SURE THAT EVERY COHORT MEMBER IS SUBJECTED TO IT, AND THAT MAY NOT BE POSSIBLE SO YOU NEED TO USE LESS VALID OR LESS SENSITIVE MEASURES THAN WHAT YOU GET IN A CASE CONTROL STUDY. Q WOULD IT BE FAIR TO SAY THAT SOMETIMES CASE
 CONTROL STUDIES ARE BETTER AND SOMETIMES COHORT STUDIES
 ARE BETTER, IT JUST DEPENDS?

A ABSOLUTELY. AND WHAT I USUALLY TEACH MY
STUDENTS IS THAT WE WANT -- IDEALLY, WE WANT BOTH TYPES
OF STUDY AND WE WANT TO SEE WHETHER THEY AGREE AND HOW
THEY AGREE AND, YOU KNOW, EVALUATE FOR WHAT THEY ARE.

8 Q NOW, DOCTOR, HOW LONG HAVE YOU BEEN TEACHING 9 YOUR EPIDEMIOLOGY PH.D. STUDENTS AT UCLA THE FALLACY OF 10 THIS HIERARCHY? HOW LONG HAVE YOU BEEN DOING THAT FOR?

11 A WELL, I'VE BEEN TEACHING SINCE 1995, AND I
12 THINK THIS HIERARCHY I'VE BEEN TEACHING FOR THE LAST 20
13 YEARS BECAUSE THERE WAS ONE PAPER THAT REALLY EXPLAINED
14 THIS VERY NICELY AND I THOUGHT IT WAS A GREAT EXAMPLE.

Q NOW, REGARDING THE APPROACH YOU TOOK IN THIS
CASE, DID YOU APPLY THAT SAME INTELLECTUAL RIGOR,
LOOKING AT EACH STUDY ON ITS OWN MERITS AND NOT JUST
CATEGORIZING THEM ON A HIERARCHY?

A ABSOLUTELY. I MEAN, THAT'S WHAT WE
EPIDEMIOLOGISTS ARE REALLY TAUGHT. WE ARE TAUGHT TO BE
EXTREMELY CRITICAL. WE ARE TAUGHT TO FIND THE FAULT IN
EVERYTHING AND WE ARE BARELY EVER TAUGHT TO SEE THE
POSITIVE BECAUSE WE ARE ALWAYS DOUBTING FIRST AND IT
NEEDS A LOT OF DATA TO OVERCOME THAT.

Q NOW, SINCE WE'RE SITTING HERE ON A CASE
CONTROL, I JUST WANT TO ASK ABOUT THE NATURE OF THESE
STUDIES.

28

LET'S SAY, FOR EXAMPLE, THERE IS WE'LL SAY,

1 WE'LL CALL THEM GENES; OKAY?

A OKAY.

2

8

9

24

3 Q AND LET'S SAY GENES CAN CAUSE WHETHER OR NOT
4 SOMEONE DEVELOPS A DISEASE OR DOES NOT DEVELOP A
5 DISEASE.

6 DOES THAT CONSIDERATION BOTHER YOU IN THE7 CONTEXT OF THIS STUDY?

A ABSOLUTELY NOT.

Q WHY?

10 A WELL, BECAUSE IN THE END GENES ARE ALL
11 BLUEPRINTS AND GENES ARE THERE TO, YOU KNOW, GENERATE
12 THE ORGANISMS WE ARE AND PROGRAMMING US FOR THE
13 ENVIRONMENT THAT WE ARE ENCOUNTERING, SO OUR GENETICS IS
14 BASICALLY WHAT SETS US UP TO ENCOUNTER WHAT WE LIVE
15 THROUGH IN EVERY SENSE.

16 SO WE COULD BE GENETICALLY SUSCEPTIBLE TO 17 CERTAIN TYPES OF EXPOSURES OR WE MAY HAVE A LOT OF 18 GENETIC RESILIENCE TO OTHER TYPES OF EXPOSURES, AND IN 19 FACT, THAT'S CALLED THE STUDY OF GENE ENVIRONMENT 20 INTERACTION THAT I'VE BEEN ENGAGING IN FOR THE LAST 20 21 YEARS TRYING TO EXACTLY LOOK AT, YOU KNOW, HOW DO THESE 22 TWO COMPONENTS COME TOGETHER BECAUSE WE ALL KNOW NOT 23 EVERYBODY WHO SMOKES GETS LUNG CANCER, RIGHT.

Q RIGHT.

25 A THERE'S A LARGE SUB GROUP OF HEAVY SMOKERS WHO26 DO, BUT IT'S 10 PERCENT OF ALL HEAVY SMOKERS.

27 Q YEAH, THOSE ARE ALWAYS THE PEOPLE THAT, YOU 28 KNOW, SMOKERS TELL YOU, WELL, MY GRANDFATHER SMOKED FOR 1 40 YEARS AND WAS PERFECTLY HEALTHY, RIGHT?

A EXACTLY.

2

9

ALL RIGHT. SO I DON'T WANT TO GO THROUGH ALL 3 0 THESE OTHER STUDY DESIGNS. WE DON'T REALLY HAVE TIME 4 5 AND IF WE NEED TO GET INTO IT, WE WILL. FOR THAT. BUT I DO WANT TO TALK BRIEFLY ABOUT HOW TO INTERPRET 6 7 STATISTICAL SIGNIFICANCE AND WHAT DO YOU EVERY AS AN 8 EPIDEMIOLOGIST.

SO WHAT ARE WE LOOKING AT HERE?

10 A WELL, WE'RE LOOKING AT A GRAPH WITH A STUDY
11 RESULT THAT WE CALL A SUMMARY ESTIMATE. WE WOULD CALL
12 -- SO THAT SUMMARY ESTIMATE IS THE DOT IN THE MIDDLE.

AND BASICALLY THAT ESTIMATE TELLS YOU SOMETHING ABOUT WHAT THE DISEASE RISK IN THE EXPOSED IS COMPARED TO THE UNEXPOSED AND THAT WOULD BE A RISK RATIO. THE RISK IN THE EXPOSED OVER THE RISK IN THE UNEXPOSED. OR THE DISEASE RATE IN THE EXPOSED OVER THE DISEASE RATE IN THE UNEXPOSED.

AND SINCE THAT'S A RATIO MEASURE, IF IT'S ABOVE
ONE, IT MEANS THAT THE RISK OF DISEASE IS HIGHER IN
THOSE EXPOSED THAN UNEXPOSED, AND IF IT'S BELOW ONE, IT
WOULD BE LOWER.

23 SO THAT'S ALL OUR CENTRAL ESTIMATE HERE, OUR24 SUMMARY ESTIMATE IN THE MIDDLE OF THE DOT.

AND THEN WE HAVE THESE LINES, THESE WHISKERS GOING OUT THERE, AND THAT'S WHAT WE USUALLY -- I DON'T KNOW WHAT YOU USED HERE, BUT THE CONVENTION IS THAT IT'S A 95 PERCENT CONFIDENCE INTERVAL, AND AT THE END OF IT

> Bryce Reporting Services (510)828-9404 - info@brycereporters.com

YOU FIND THE CONFIDENCE LIMITS. IN THIS CASE IT WOULD
 BE SOMETHING LIKE 1.423 AND YOUR CENTRAL ESTIMATE WOULD
 BE 2.

4 SO THIS STUDY WOULD TELL ME THAT WHATEVER THE 5 EXPOSURE WAS THERE'S PROBABLY A TWO-FOLD INCREASED RISK 6 IN THE EXPOSED OVER THE UNEXPOSED WITH A 95 PERCENT 7 CONFIDENCE INTERVAL OF 1.423 EXCLUDING THE 1 WHICH IS 8 THE NULL VALUE BECAUSE IF THIS RATIO, THE NUMBER ON TOP 9 AND THE BOTTOM IS THE SAME, THEN THE RATIO MEASURE IS 1.

10 Q NOW, DOCTOR, WHEN YOU SAY THE STATISTICAL 11 SIGNIFICANCE, WHAT DOES THAT ACTUALLY MEAN?

12 A THAT MEANS THAT THE 95 PERCENT CONFIDENCE 13 INTERVAL ACTUALLY EXCLUDES THAT NULL VALUE THAT I SET 14 WHICH IS THE 1, AND THAT IF I WERE TO DO THIS KIND OF 15 STUDY AGAIN, THIS EXPERIMENT AGAIN, THAT A HUNDRED MORE 16 OR 99 MORE TIMES, THEN IN 95 PERCENT OF THE --17 95 PERCENT OF THE RESULTS WOULD BE WITHIN THAT FRAMEWORK 18 OF 1.423, WOULD LEND MY ESTIMATE IN THAT RANGE.

Q NOW, WHAT IF YOU HAVE ANOTHER RESULT THAT LIKE
WE HAVE HERE, SAME POINT ESTIMATE, BUT THE CONFIDENCE
INTERVAL JUST PASSES NULL? IS THAT CONSIDERED A
STATISTICALLY SIGNIFICANT RESULT?

A NO, THIS -- ACCORDING TO THE P VALUE OF LESS
THAN .05 OR THE 95 PERCENT CONFIDENCE INTERVAL, IN THIS
CASE STATISTICIANS AND EPIDEMIOLOGISTS WOULD AGREE THAT
YOU CALL THIS STUDY NOT STATISTICALLY SIGNIFICANT.

27YOU CAN SEE THAT THE POINT ESTIMATES ARE28EXACTLY THE SAME. THEY TELL YOU EXACTLY THE SAME STORY

THAT, YOU KNOW, THE EXPOSURE INCREASED THE RISK OF
 TWO-FOLD BECAUSE THAT'S THE DISEASE RISK IN THE EXPOSED
 OVER THE UNEXPOSED, BUT THESE WHISKERS ARE WIDER. WHY
 ARE THEY WIDER? VERY SIMPLY THE STUDY MAY HAVE BEEN
 SLIGHTLY SMALLER.

6 SO THE STUDY OF NUMBER 1 MAY HAVE ENROLLED 7 200 CASES IN CONTROLS AND THE STUDY NUMBER 2 100 CASES 8 AND CONTROLS AND I'M GETTING THESE WIDER CONFIDENCE 9 INTERVAL LIMITS BECAUSE I DON'T HAVE ENOUGH INFORMATION 10 TO EXCLUDE THE SMALL CHANCE THAT 2 ISN'T 2, THAT IT'S 11 TRULY SOMEWHERE CLOSER TO 1.

12 Q AND THE FACT THAT THIS CROSS THAT BLUE LINE 13 RIGHT THERE, DOES THAT MEAN YOU IGNORE IT?

A ABSOLUTELY NOT. AND THAT'S ACTUALLY SOMETHING I ALSO TEACH MY STUDENTS, JUST TAUGHT IT TWO WEEKS AGO, THAT YES, IF YOU SEE NUMBER -- STUDY NUMBER 2 AND THE ONLY STUDY IN THE WORLD, YOU SCRATCH YOUR HEAD AND SAY INTERESTING, SUGGESTIVE, I'D BETTER GO AND DO ANOTHER BIGGER STUDY.

IF YOU, HOWEVER, SEE STUDY NUMBER 2 AFTER YOU
SEE STUDY RESULTS NUMBER 1, THEN YOU SAY, AHH, GREAT,
IT'S CONSISTENT AND NOW I CAN ADD THE TWO STUDIES
TOGETHER AND CONCLUDE THAT GIVEN THAT THEY ARE
CONSISTENT AND GIVEN THAT THEY ARE BOTH TELLING ME THE
SAME STORY, MY STUDY NUMBER 2 IS KIND OF CONFIRMATIVE OF
STUDY NUMBER 1.

27 Q WHAT IF WE ADDED A THIRD STUDY HERE? NOW THIS 28 ONE'S MUCH SMALLER POINT ESTIMATE, MUCH SMALLER CONFIDENCE INTERVAL, BUT TO THE RIGHT OF 1, WHAT DOES
 THAT TELL YOU AS AN EPIDEMIOLOGIST?

WELL, FIRST OF ALL, IT'S CONSISTENT WITH AN 3 А EFFECT, HOWEVER, THE EFFECT SIZE IS MUCH SMALLER. 4 THIS 5 MUST BE A MUCH LARGER STUDY BECAUSE OTHERWISE WE WOULDN'T HAVE THIS VERY TIGHT CONFIDENCE INTERVAL. 6 AND 7 IT TELLS ME THAT MY TWO-FOLD RISK ESTIMATE WAS POSSIBLY 8 AN OVERESTIMATION AND THAT THE TRUE RISK IS MAYBE SOMEWHERE BETWEEN 1.4 AND 1.5 AS MY CONFIDENCE INTERVAL 9 10 OF STUDY 3 SUGGESTS, BUT THAT OVERALL THERE IS AN INCREASE THIS RISK AND OVERALL ALL THREE STUDIES ARE 11 12 INCREDIBLY CONSISTENT WITH AN ADVERSE EFFECT OF THIS 13 EXPOSURE.

Q DOCTOR, BEFORE YOU COULD SAY THIS IS CONSISTENT
OR CONFIRMATORY OR EVEN MAKE A CONCLUSION ABOUT
CAUSATION, WOULD YOU NEED TO INDIVIDUALLY LOOK AT THE
STRENGTHS AND WEAKNESSES OF EACH OF THESE STUDIES TO SEE
IF THEY WERE BEING CAUSED BY ANY SYSTEMIC BIAS?

A ABSOLUTELY. THAT'S, YOU KNOW, THAT'S WHERE WE
AS EPIDEMIOLOGISTS START. WE LOOK AT THESE DATA AND
THEN WE SAY, OH, HOW WAS THE STUDY DONE? WHY IS STUDY 1
AND 2 SUGGESTING A TWO-FOLD RISK INCREASE AND STUDY 3
ONLY A 1.4 FOLD?

FOR EXAMPLE, I SAY, WELL, EXPOSURE OR RISK IN THE EXPOSED. WHEN I SAY THAT, I DIDN'T TELL YOU WHAT IS THE EXPOSURE. THE EXPOSURE COULD BE HIGH. THE EXPOSURE COULD BE LOW. SO IF I NOW LOOK AT STUDY 1 AND 2 AND I SEE THAT THE EXPOSURE WAS ACTUALLY TWO-FOLD OR THREE-FOLD HIGHER IN THOSE STUDY SUBJECTS THAN IN STUDY
 NUMBER 3, THEN IT'S EVEN MORE CONSISTENT.

3 IF I DON'T SEE THAT, THEN I HAVE TO SAY, WELL,
4 WHAT ELSE WAS IT THAT GAVE ME A TWO-FOLD WHEN MAYBE THE
5 TRUTH IS CLOSER TO THE THIRD STUDY.

Q NOW, LET'S SAY, I THROW IN A NEW SCENARIO,
RIGHT, WHERE WE HAVE THE SAME CONFIDENCE INTERVALS JUST
ALL SHIFTED OVER SO THAT NONE OF THEM ARE STATISTICALLY
SIGNIFICANT.

10 THE APPROACH YOU JUST DESCRIBED LOOKING AT THE
11 STRENGTHS AND WEAKNESSES OF THE STUDIES AND THINKING
12 ABOUT BIASES OR WHATNOT, WOULD YOU APPLY THAT SAME
13 APPROACH REGARDLESS OF THE RESULTS THAT YOU'RE SEEING?

14 A ABSOLUTELY. BY THE WAY, STUDY 3 IS STILL
15 STATISTICALLY SIGNIFICANT, IT'S JUST ON THE LEFT
16 SUGGESTING NEGATIVE EFFECTS. SO -- NEGATIVE
17 ASSOCIATION.

SO WHAT YOU WOULD SAY HERE IS STUDY 1 AND 2
SUGGESTED NO ASSOCIATION, BUT NOW A MUCH LARGER STUDY,
STUDY 3 SUGGESTS A NEGATIVE ASSOCIATION SO YOU HAVE TO
DEFINITELY LOOK INTO WHAT THE DIFFERENCES ARE BETWEEN
STUDY NUMBER 1 AND 2 AND WHY THEY WOULD NOT SEE THE SAME
OUTCOME -- ASSOCIATION OUTCOME AS IN STUDY 3.

Q IF WE'RE TALKING ABOUT SOMETHING LIKE HEAVY
METALS THAT HAVE BEEN STUDIED PRETTY RIGOROUSLY FOR
DECADES AND YOU SEE A RESULT THAT IT'S HIGHLY PROTECTIVE
OF SOME INJURY THAT IT'S KNOWN TO PROBABLY CAUSE, HOW DO
YOU DEAL WITH THAT?

1 Α WELL, THIS KIND OF RESULT ARE NOT UNUSUAL ESPECIALLY WHEN -- I STUDY A LOT OF PREGNANCY-RELATED 2 3 OUTCOMES AND WE KNOW THAT THERE IS ONE ACTUALLY WEIRD BIAS THAT WE CALL THE LIFE FIRST BIAS WHERE IF YOU 4 REALLY HAVE HIGH -- VERY HIGH EXPOSURE IN PREGNANCY, 5 THAT CHILD NEVER COMES TO BE BORN ALIVE. WE HAVE EARLY 6 7 ABORTION. AND IN THOSE KIND OF STUDIES YOU CAN SEE 8 EXACTLY THIS KIND OF EFFECT WHERE ALL OF A SUDDEN A HIGHLY TOXIC EXPOSURE THAT IN ANIMAL STUDIES IN OTHER 9 10 HUMAN STUDIES HAS BEEN PROVEN TO BE OUITE TOXIC SO YOU 11 WOULDN'T ASSUME THAT A VITAMIN IS HELPFUL AND YOU SHOULD 12 FEED IT TO PREGNANT WOMEN.

13 THAT IN THIS CASE YOU WOULD SEE A STUDY NUMBER 3 RESULT BECAUSE THE MOST AFFECTED INDIVIDUALS, 14 15 THE MOST AFFECTED CHILDREN, FETUSES ARE ACTUALLY LOST 16 BEFORE THEY COME TO A LIVE BIRTH, SO -- AND THOSE ARE 17 ALSO THE ONES WHO LATER WOULD BE DIAGNOSED WITH THE DISORDER, AND YOU SYSTEMATICALLY LOST EXACTLY THESE 18 19 INDIVIDUALS. AND THAT HAS ACTUALLY BEEN DESCRIBED VERY NICELY IN THE EPI LITERATURE AS THE SO-CALLED LIFE FIRST 20 21 BIAS OF PREGNANCY EXPOSURES.

Q AND WOULD IT BE FAIR TO SAY, THEN, DOCTOR, THAT
WHEN YOU'RE LOOKING AT SOMETHING LIKE A PROTECTIVE
EFFECT FOR HEAVY METALS, THAT YOU DON'T LEAVE YOUR
KNOWLEDGE ABOUT THE MECHANISMS AND BIOLOGY OF THE METALS
AT THE DOOR, THAT YOU HAVE TO BRING THAT INTO THE
ANALYSIS?

28

A ABSOLUTELY. THAT'S -- EVERY EPIDEMIOLOGIST

1 WOULD NOT DO THEIR JOB IF THEY WOULDN'T READ THE 2 LITERATURE, IF THEY WEREN'T EDUCATED ENOUGH ABOUT WHAT PREVIOUS STUDIES HAVE SHOWN, WHAT BIOLOGY TELLS YOU; IN 3 FACT, YOU KNOW, IT'S A LITTLE SAD THAT NOT MORE PEOPLE 4 WITH A MEDICAL AND BIOLOGIC DEGREE TAKE UP EPIDEMIOLOGY 5 BECAUSE I THINK IT'S ABSOLUTELY IMPORTANT THAT WE KNOW 6 7 ABOUT THESE KIND OF MECHANISTIC AND TYPE OF CONTEXTS AND 8 THAT WE PUT EVERYTHING, AS A SCIENTIST AT LEAST, PUT EVERYTHING INTO THE CONTEXT OF BIOLOGIC PLAUSIBILITY AND 9 10 OF EVERYTHING ELSE WE KNOW ABOUT THE AGENTS.

11 Q NOW, ONE OF THE THINGS THAT I WANT TO ASK YOU 12 ABOUT IS ACTUALLY I'M GOING TO GO BACK TO THIS CASE 13 CONTROL STUDY. AND THIS IS REALLY IMPORTANT BECAUSE 14 THIS HAS BEEN THE FOCUS OF THE DEFENDANTS' OPENING 15 STATEMENT, AND THIS IS THE CONCEPT OF THE REVERSE 16 CAUSATION.

17 ARE YOU FAMILIAR WITH THAT CONCEPT, DOCTOR?18 A ABSOLUTELY.

0

19

WHAT IS REVERSE CAUSATION?

20 A SO REVERSE CAUSATION WOULD BE IF THE EXPOSURE 21 DID NOT CAUSE THE OUTCOME, BUT THE OUTCOME CAUSED THE

22 EXPOSURE OR THE LEVEL OF EXPOSURE.

SO THE WAY THAT I USUALLY EXPLAIN THAT IN MY
CLASSROOM IS THAT IF YOU WANT TO KNOW WHETHER COFFEE
DRINKING, AND I LOVE COFFEE, CAUSES MYOCARDIAL
INFARCTION AND YOU STUDY PEOPLE WITH MYOCARDIAL
INFARCTION YOU MAY REALIZE THAT THEY DRINK LESS COFFEE.
AND NOW, WHY, RIGHT, COMPARED TO OTHER PEOPLE WHO

Bryce Reporting Services (510)828-9404 - info@brycereporters.com

HAVEN'T HAD A MI. AND MORE LIKELY THAN NOT, THEY HAVE
EITHER FIGURED OUT THAT THEY START HAVING HEART -- A
RACING HEART OR THEIR DOCTOR HAD TOLD THEM, WELL, YOU
KNOW, CAFFEINE INCREASES YOUR BLOOD PRESSURE OR
CARDIOVASCULAR DISEASE, INCREASES YOUR RISK OF MI, MAYBE
YOU SHOULD NOT DRINK COFFEE.

SO IN THIS CASE BEING DIAGNOSED WITH
CARDIOVASCULAR DISEASE HAVING HAD AN MI ACTUALLY CAUSES
THE EXPOSURE LEVEL AND NOT THE OTHER WAY AROUND, SO YOU
HAVE -- YOU DRINK LESS COFFEE BECAUSE YOU'RE A CASE.
O AND WHEN YOU'RE -- LET'S TALK ABOUT IT IN THE

11QAND WHEN YOU'RE -- LET'S TALK ABOUT IT IN THE12CONTEXT OF SOME OF THESE HEAVY METAL STUDIES.

NOW, I UNDERSTAND THAT A LOT OF STUDIES -- AND
WE'RE GOING TO GET INTO THEM IN A MINUTE MORE
SPECIFICALLY -- BUT A LOT OF THEM, NOT ALL, BUT SOME OF
THEM LOOK AT CHILDREN WITH AUTISM AND CHILDREN WITHOUT
AUTISM AFTER THEY HAVE BEEN DIAGNOSED AND THEN TAKE
THEIR BIOMARKERS, WHETHER IT BE BLOOD, URINE, HAIR,
WHATEVER IT BE, AT THAT TIME.

YOU'RE FAMILIAR WITH THOSE TYPES OF STUDIES? A YES. ABSOLUTELY.

Q YOU KNOW, WHEN YOU WERE THINKING ABOUT THE
EPIDEMIOLOGICAL EVIDENCE IN THIS CASE, DID YOU CONSIDER
AND REALLY THINK THROUGH WHETHER OR NOT THE AUTISM WAS
CAUSING THESE CHILDREN TO HAVE HIGHER LEVEL OF THESE
METALS VERSUS THE HEAVY METALS CAUSING THEM TO HAVE
AUTISM?

28

20

21

A ABSOLUTELY. THAT'S THE FIRST THING YOU THINK

1 ABOUT, YOU KNOW, IS THERE ANY KIND OF BEHAVIOR THAT 2 AUTISM MAY ACTUALLY CAUSE OR, YEAH, ENGAGE IN KIDS WITH 3 AUTISM OR AUTISM SPECTRUM DISORDER ENGAGE IN THAT COULD ACTUALLY CAUSE THE EXPOSURE TO BE INCREASED. 4 SO DO -- ARE THEY VERY THIRSTY AND THEY DRINK 5 LEAD FROM LEAD PIPES FOR SOME REASON? OR YOU KNOW, ARE 6 THEY HAVING MORE HAND/MOUTH BEHAVIOR AND THAT HAND/MOUTH 7 8 BEHAVIOR EXPOSES THEM TO MORE LEAD BECAUSE THERE'S LEAD IN THE PAINT OR THERE'S LEAD IN THE SOIL? 9 10 NOW, DOCTOR, ARE YOU FAMILIAR WITH SOMETHING 0 CALLED PICA? 11 12 Α YES. AND PICA, THAT'S A MANIFESTATION OF CHILDREN 13 0 PUTTING INEDIBLE OBJECTS IN THEIR MOUTH? 14 15 Α YES, THAT'S WHAT IT'S USUALLY DEFINED AS, AND BETTER, YOU KNOW, CHEWING ON DIRT. 16 17 Q OKAY. AND WHATEVER. 18 Α 19 SURE. 0 SURE. NOW, DID YOU -- LET'S BREAK IT DOWN BY METAL. 20 LET'S FIRST TALK ABOUT LEAD. 21 2.2 А UM-HMM. 23 0 WHEN YOU LOOKED AT LEAD IN AUTISM, DID YOU CONSIDER WHETHER OR NOT WHAT YOU WERE SEEING IN THE DATA 24 WAS SIMPLY AUTISTIC CHILDREN PUTTING LEAD INTO THEIR 25 26 MOUTH AND THAT'S WHY THEY HAD ELEVATED LEVELS AS OPPOSED 27 TO HAVING HISTORICAL LEVELS OF EXPOSURE THAT LED TO 28 THEIR AUTISM?

1 A RIGHT. SO THAT'S OF COURSE SOMETHING YOU NEED 2 TO CONSIDER.

IN THIS CASE YOU WOULD HAVE TO HAVE AN 3 ENVIRONMENT IN WHICH THERE ACTUALLY IS A EXPOSURE THAT 4 YOU CAN INCREASE THROUGH PICA; MEANING, THESE KIDS ALL 5 HAVE TO LIVE IN ENVIRONMENTS WHERE THERE'S LOTS OF LEAD 6 7 DUST OR LOTS OF THINGS THAT CONTAIN LEAD THAT THEY 8 ACTUALLY CAN PUT IN THEIR MOUTH AND CHEW ON, AND 9 HOPEFULLY, YOU KNOW, THAT MIGHT BE THE CASE IN SOME 10 COMMUNITIES WHERE WE HAVE HIGH LEAD LEVELS DUE TO, LET'S 11 SAY, A BATTERY RECYCLING FACILITY AROUND THE CORNER OR, 12 YOU KNOW, SOME KIND OF COMMUNITY BUILT ON A TOXIC WASTE 13 DUMP OR SOME KIND OF COMMUNITY WHERE A LOT OF LEAD PAINT HAS BEEN USED IN THE HOUSES. THOSE ARE COMMUNITIES 14 15 WHERE YOU'RE WORRIED ABOUT THIS.

BUT THEN HOPEFULLY, THERE ARE ALSO STUDIES THAT ARE DONE IN COMMUNITIES WHERE IT'S HIGHLY UNLIKELY THAT THESE ARE THE ONLY OR THE MAJOR SOURCES OF EXPOSURE BECAUSE WE DO KNOW THERE ARE VERY DIFFERENT SOURCES OF EXPOSURE FOR LEAD, RIGHT, COULD BE DIET. IT COULD BE WATER.

22 Q YEAH.

23 SO HOW DO YOU THEN GO ABOUT TRYING TO DETERMINE 24 IF -- SO, FOR EXAMPLE, IF YOU'RE DOING A STUDY AND YOU 25 SEE THAT THE AUTISTIC HAVE MUCH HIGHER LEVELS OF BLOOD, 26 URINE AND HAIR LEAD AS WE SEE IN THE DATA HERE, HOW CAN 27 YOU DETERMINE IF THAT'S A FUNCTION OF, YOU KNOW, IF THE 28 PRIOR EXPOSURE CAUSING THE DISEASE OR IF IT'S JUST A 1 FUNCTION OF THEM PUTTING STUFF IN THEIR MOUTH?

2 A WELL, ONE WAY OF AT LEAST GETTING CLOSER TO 3 THIS WOULD BE TO CONDUCT A STUDY IN WHICH YOU 4 INVESTIGATE ABOUT THESE KIND OF BEHAVIORS THAT WOULD 5 EXPOSE THE CHILDREN.

SO YOU ASK THE PARENTS TO DESCRIBE THESE KIND 6 7 OF BEHAVIORS IN THEIR KIDS AND TELL YOU HOW MUCH THEY 8 ARE ACTUALLY DOING THIS. AND IF YOU DON'T KNOW WHETHER -- THAT THEN ALSO THIS KIND OF BEHAVIOR ACTUALLY EXPOSES 9 10 THEM TO LEAD, THEN YOU MEASURE THEIR BLOOD LEAD, AND THEN YOU COMPARE THE BLOOD LEAD IN ALL OF THE KIDS WITH 11 12 THIS KIND OF EXPOSURE TO THE BLOOD LEAD IN THE KIDS WITHOUT THAT KIND OF EXPOSURE, AND IF IT'S PRETTY 13 SIMILAR, THEN IT PROBABLY WASN'T THE BEHAVIOR. 14

Q SO, FOR EXAMPLE, IF YOU GET A GROUP OF AUTISTIC
CHILDREN AND SOME OF THEM HAD PICA AND SOME OF THEM
DIDN'T AND YOU LOOKED AT TO SEE WHAT THEIR LEAD LEVELS
WERE AND SEE IF THE KIDS WITH PICA HAD HIGHER LEAD
LEVELS THAN THE KIDS WITHOUT PICA. WOULD THAT BE A FAIR
WAY OF DOING IT?

21 A RIGHT. THAT'S HOW WOULD YOU DO IT.

22 Q WHAT ABOUT LOOKING AT HISTORICAL EXPOSURE DATA?23 A THAT'S ALWAYS A GOOD IDEA.

- 24 Q HOW --
- 25 A SO --

Q GO AHEAD.

27A-- SO IF YOU HAVE BLOOD SPOTS OR IF YOU HAVE --28THAT OF COURSE WOULD BE BIOMARKER, BUT IF YOU HAD LIKE

1 MEASUREMENTS IN THE SOIL, MEASUREMENTS IN THE AIR, 2 MEASUREMENTS OF THE WATER THAT WOULD ALSO BE QUITE 3 HELPFUL. AND I THINK THE STUDIES THAT ARE REALLY 4 5 INTERESTED IN, YOU KNOW, THESE KIND OF QUESTIONS, THEY OFTEN ARE WORRIED ABOUT SOURCES, SPECIFIC SOURCES, SO 6 7 YOU CAN ACTUALLY GO BACK AND SEE WHAT THE AUTHORS 8 THOUGHT THE MAJOR SOURCE FOR THE EXPOSURE WAS. 9 FOR EXAMPLE, IF IT WAS LEAD IN THE WATER, THEN 10 IT WOULD BE HIGHLY UNLIKELY THAT PICA MAKES ANY 11 DIFFERENCE. 12 Q OKAY. BECAUSE BOTH PEOPLE WOULD BE EXPOSED? YES. 13 Α 14 0 OKAY. 15 Α WATER EXPOSES EVERYONE. OKAY. AS THE JUDGE TAKES A DRINK OF WATER. 16 Q 17 GOOD TIMING, DR. RITZ. THE COURT: CHEERS. 18 19 THE WITNESS: HOPEFULLY THERE'S NOT LEAD IN IT. 20 MR. WISNER: YOUR HONOR, WE'VE BEEN GOING FOR A DO YOU THINK TAKING A FIVE-MINUTE BREAK NOW 21 LITTLE BIT. 2.2 AND THEN FINISHING UP MAKES SENSE? 23 THE COURT: YEAH. I THINK THAT MAKES SENSE. LET'S TAKE TEN MINUTES, PLEASE, SO ABOUT 3:30. 24 MR. WISNER: 25 OKAY. 26 THE COURT: THANK YOU. THE WITNESS: THANK YOU. 27 (RECESS) 28

1 THE COURT: OKAY. IT LOOKS LIKE WE'RE ALL 2 READY TO GO AGAIN. THANK YOU. 3 THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. MR. WISNER: MAY I 4 PROCEED? 5 YES, PLEASE. THE COURT: 6 MR. WISNER: GREAT. 7 0 ALL RIGHT. DOCTOR, WE SPENT SOME TIME SORT OF 8 GOING OVER SOME EPI 101 PRINCIPLES. I WANT TO GET INTO YOUR SPECIFIC METHODOLOGY AND THEN GET INTO LEAD AND 9 10 ASD. 11 SO LET'S MOVE ON TO METHODOLOGY. 12 NOW, I WANT TO SHOW YOU YOUR -- WELL, LET'S NOT 13 SHOW YOUR REPORT JUST YET. LET'S JUST ASK YOU SOME 14 QUESTIONS. 15 CAN YOU PLEASE DESCRIBE TO THE COURT WHAT 16 METHODOLOGY YOU USED TO ASSESS THE CAUSAL ASSOCIATION, 17 IF ANY, BETWEEN LEAD AND ASD AND ADHD? WELL, I DO WHAT YOU USUALLY WOULD DO IN ORDER 18 Α 19 TO GET YOURSELF ACQUAINTED WITH THE LITERATURE, WHICH IS 20 SCOURED THE LITERATURE FOR THE KIND OF EPIDEMIOLOGY 21 PAPERS THAT REFERRED TO THE SUBJECT MATTER AND THEN KIND 2.2 OF SORT THEM AND READ THEM. AND WHILE YOU'RE READING 23 THEM, ASSESSING THEM FOR WEAKNESSES AND STRENGTHS. AND 2.4 THEN FINALLY, YOU KNOW, PUTTING THEM ALL TOGETHER, RE-READING THEM USUALLY AND THEN COMING UP WITH AN 25 26 EVALUATION OF THE OVERALL LITERATURE IN EPIDEMIOLOGY, AND THEN GOING BACK TO -- I AT LEAST LIKE TO DO THAT TO 27 28 SOME OF THE BASIC SCIENCE LITERATURE ON THE SUBJECT AND

1 THE EXPOSURE AND THEN SEE HOW THAT FITS TOGETHER. 2 NOW, I UNDERSTAND THAT YOU RELIED ALMOST 0 3 EXCLUSIVELY ON PEER-REVIEWED LITERATURE, IS THAT RIGHT? 4 Α YES. WHY? WHY DO YOU DO THAT? 5 0 6 Α WELL, THEY DO A LITTLE BIT OF THE WORK FOR YOU, 7 MEANING, I'VE BEEN, YOU KNOW, AN EDITOR FOR SEVERAL 8 JOURNALS AND I'M A REVIEWER OF PAPERS, LIKE I THINK I 9 GET ONE A DAY SENT TO ME TO REVIEW AND I SAY NO TO MOST 10 OF THEM. BUT I DO PICK AND CHOOSE THE PAPERS THAT INTEREST ME, OF COURSE, OR WHERE I THINK I, YOU KNOW, A 11 12 GOOD EPIDEMIOLOGIST HAS TO ACTUALLY READ THIS BECAUSE 13 IT'S AN IMPORTANT SUBJECT, AND SO I KNOW WHAT KIND OF CRITERIA A REVIEWER AND AN EDITOR WOULD APPLY TO THESE 14 15 STUDIES AND YOU READ THEM WITH A VERY CRITICAL EYE BUT ALSO AN EYE TO WHAT'S POSSIBLE AND WE ARE AN APPLIED 16 17 SCIENCE. WE CANNOT TOX CHILDREN WITH METALS AND WAIT FOR THEM TO HAVE NEURO DEVELOPMENTAL DEFECTS. 18 THAT'S 19 NOT WHAT WE DO. AND SO WE HAVE TO LIVE WITH WHAT'S 20 GIVEN TO US. WE HAVE TO LIVE WITH THE OBSERVATIONAL KIND OF DATA THAT'S PRESENTED AND WE HAVE TO TAKE IT AT 21 FACE VALUE AND SAY, OKAY, THIS IS MAYBE THE BEST WE CAN 22 23 DO IN THIS INSTANCE. BUT IF I LOOK AT DIFFERENT STUDIES AND, YOU 24 KNOW, WITH CASE CONTROL STUDY AND A COHORT STUDY AND A 25 26 CROSS SECTIONAL STUDY AND A CASE REPORT, THEY ARE ALL 27 TELLING ME KIND OF SAME STORY, THEN I'M MUCH MORE CONFIDENT THAT THIS IS A STORY THAT HAS SOME TRUTH TO 28

1 IT. 2 NOW, AFTER YOU'VE DONE THAT LITERATURE REVIEW, 0 3 ARE YOU FAMILIAR WITH THE BRADFORD HILL CRITERIA OR FACTORS? 4 YES. ABSOLUTELY. 5 Α 6 0 OKAY. 7 NOW, IF WE LOOK HERE AT, WE'RE LOOKING AT YOUR 8 REPORT, THIS IS EXHIBIT 3. 9 AND RIGHT HERE AFTER YOU REVIEWED ALL THAT 10 LITERATURE, YOU WRITE, "THE AVAILABLE EPIDEMIOLOGICAL LITERATURE DEMONSTRATES A CONSISTENT 11 12 ASSOCIATION BETWEEN LEAD EXPOSURE AND THE DEVELOPMENT OF CHILDHOOD ASD. 13 I WILL NOW TURN TO THE BRADFORD HILL CRITERIA 14 15 TO MAKE AN ASSESSMENT CAUSALITY." DO YOU SEE THAT? 16 17 Α YES. IS IT IN YOUR EXPERIENCE THAT THE FACTORS OR 18 0 19 CONSIDERATIONS THAT BRADFORD HILL, SIR BRADFORD HILL 20 CREATED, HELPED GUIDE YOU IN ASSESSING WHETHER THE 21 ASSOCIATION OF WHAT YOU'RE SEEING IS IN FACT CAUSAL? YES, THAT'S GENERALLY WHAT IS ACCEPTED IN 22 А 23 SCIENCE AND ESPECIALLY IN EPIDEMIOLOGY AS THE PROPER WAY TO GO ABOUT THIS. IT'S NOT A CHECKLIST. IT'S ACTUALLY 24 APPLYING YOUR EXPERTISE, YOUR SCIENCE, KNOWLEDGE IN A 25 26 VERY CONSISTENT AND ALSO A VERY TRANSPARENT MANNER. 27 SO WHY BRADFORD HILL IS STILL, YOU KNOW, THE 28 KIND OF STANDARD BEARER FOR CAUSALITY IN OUR SCIENCE IS 173

BECAUSE HE MADE IT CLEAR WHAT KIND OF "CRITERIA" YOU'RE
 USING AND YOU HAVE TO ACTUALLY TELL YOUR COLLEAGUES WHAT
 YOU THINK ABOUT THESE DIFFERENT GUIDELINES CRITERIA AND
 HOW THEY FIT TOGETHER OVERALL IN COMING UP WITH YOUR
 EVALUATION IN THE END.

Q DOCTOR, CAN TWO EPIDEMIOLOGISTS WHO ARE
7 EMINENTLY QUALIFIED APPLY THE SAME BRADFORD HILL FACTORS
8 AND REACH DIFFERENT CONCLUSIONS?

9 Α IT HAS HAPPENED. BUT IF -- YOU KNOW, IF THE 10 LITERATURE IS VERY DIVERSE, DIVERGENT AND, I MEAN, 11 PEOPLE HAVE BIASES, THEY BELIEVE IN CERTAIN SUBJECT 12 MATTER: FOR EXAMPLE, PEOPLE WHO STUDY A CERTAIN SUBJECT 13 THEIR WHOLE LIFE, THEY HAVE A VERY STRONG BIAS ABOUT WHAT MIGHT BE CAUSING THIS OUTCOME BECAUSE THEY HAVE 14 15 BEEN WORKING ON IT SO HARD AND I DON'T FAULT THEM FOR IT, AND THEN YOU NEEDED A LOT OF DATA TO OVERCOME THAT 16 17 BIAS. BUT OTHERWISE IF YOU STEP BACK FROM YOUR OWN BIAS, IF YOU EVALUATE THE LITERATURE IN ITS ENTIRETY, I 18 WOULD HOPE THAT TWO EPIDEMIOLOGISTS WOULD COME TO THE 19 SAME CONCLUSIONS USING THESE KIND OF GUIDELINES. 20

21 Q NOW, DOCTOR, I UNDERSTAND THERE'S NINE 22 DIFFERENT BRADFORD HILL FACTORS. I DON'T WANT TO GO 23 THROUGH ALL OF THEM. I DON'T THINK THERE'S BEEN ANY 24 CHALLENGE OR ARGUMENT THAT THEY ARE ALL AT ISSUE. I 25 THINK THERE'S REALLY BEEN TWO THAT WE'RE GOING TO FOCUS 26 ON.

THE FIRST IS GOING TO BE THE FACTOR OFTEMPORALITY, AND THE OTHER ONE IS GOING TO BE THE FACTOR

1 OF CONSISTENCY; OKAY? 2 YES. Α ALL RIGHT. I'M GOING TO SHOW YOU EXHIBIT 159. 3 0 DO YOU RECOGNIZE THIS DOCUMENT? 4 YES, IT'S THE ORIGINAL ONE. 5 Α THE ORIGINAL ONE. 6 0 THIS IS THE BRADFORD HILL 7 ARTICLE; IS THAT RIGHT? 8 CORRECT. YES, UM-HMM. Α AND IT LOOKS LIKE THIS WAS FROM A MEETING IN 9 0 10 JANUARY 1965; RIGHT? 11 Α RIGHT. 12 Ο SO IT'S SORT OF IN THE ANNALS OF EPIDEMIOLOGY. 13 Α RIGHT. SO AS WE GO INTO THIS HE IDENTIFIES THE FIRST 14 Ο 15 ONE. THERE'S THE STRENGTH OF ASSOCIATION. DO YOU SEE THAT? 16 17 Α UM-HMM. YES, I SEE IT. AND THEN HE GOES ON. AND LET'S LOOK AT 18 0 CONSISTENCY FIRST. 19 20 SO WHAT HE WRITES HERE IS, "CONSISTENCY: NEXT ON MY LIST OF FEATURES TO BE SPECIALLY 21 CONSIDERED I WOULD PLACE THE CONSISTENCY OF THE 22 23 OBSERVED ASSOCIATION. HAS IT BEEN REPEATEDLY OBSERVED BY DIFFERENT PERSONS IN DIFFERENT 24 PLACES, CIRCUMSTANCES AND TIMES?" 25 26 DO YOU SEE THAT? 27 YES, AND THAT'S ACTUALLY REALLY IMPORTANT Α BECAUSE IF -- REPEATING STUDIES IN THE SAME POPULATION, 28

1 DOING IT IN THE SAME WAY, THAT'S USUALLY WHAT WE THINK 2 ABOUT WHEN HE WE DO EXPERIMENTS AND COMPARE EXPERIMENTS, 3 WE ARE THINKING, OH, YOU HAVE TO DO THEM IN EXACTLY THE 4 SAME WAY, YOU HAVE TO GET THEM JUST RIGHT TO SHOW YOU 5 THE RIGHT RESULT, RIGHT.

ACTUALLY IN EPIDEMIOLOGY IT'S MUCH MORE
IMPRESSIVE IF PEOPLE ALL OVER THE WORLD HAVE USED
DIFFERENT METHODS AND FOUND THE SAME THING.

9 AND THAT -- THE REASON FOR THAT IS THAT WE CAN'T CONTROL THE ENVIRONMENT, WE CAN'T -- WE'RE NOT 10 11 PUTTING HUMANS IN CAGES AND FEEDING THEM LEAD. WE JUST 12 HAVE TO OBSERVE THEM, SO THERE ARE BIASES. WE ALL KNOW 13 THERE ARE LOTS OF BIASES WE HAVE TO WORRY ABOUT IN OBSERVATIONAL STUDIES. I TEACH THAT. AND WE ARE VERY 14 15 GOOD AT PICKING OUT THE BIASES.

16 EVERY EPIDEMIOLOGIC STUDY HAS A BIAS. THERE'S 17 NO WAY AROUND IT. BUT IF ALL OF THE STUDIES DONE WITH 18 DIFFERENT DESIGNS THAT WOULD HAVE DIFFERENT BIASES AND 19 THAT WOULD BE DONE BY DIFFERENT PEOPLE ON DIFFERENT 20 CONTINENTS IN DIFFERENT ENVIRONMENTS, IN DIFFERENT 21 CLIMATES WITH DIFFERENT GENETIC FACTORS, ALL KIND OF SHOW YOU THE SAME RESULT, THEN YOU'RE MUCH MORE 22 23 CONFIDENT. THAT'S WHAT WE CALL CONSISTENCY. NOT SOMETHING THAT'S REPEATED IN EXACTLY THE SAME WAY IN THE 24 SAME PLACE BY THE SAME PERSON. 25

- 26 27
- HE SAYS DIFFERENT PERSONS?
- 28 A RIGHT.

0

I WANT TO FOCUS IN ON EACH OF THESE.

Q DIFFERENT PLACES. DIFFERENT CIRCUMSTANCES.
 2 AND DIFFERENT TIMES.

LET'S START OFF WITH PERSONS.

WHY DOES A VARIATION OF PEOPLE IN SCIENTISTS
MATTER? OR IT MAY BE THE STUDY POPULATION. I DON'T
KNOW WHAT PERSONS REFERS TO.

A IT'S ACTUALLY BOTH.

Q OKAY.

3

7

8

27

28

9 A IT'S THE SCIENTISTS BECAUSE, YOU KNOW, AS I
10 SAID, I COULD BE A VERY BIASSED INDIVIDUAL AND ALL I
11 WANT TO SHOW YOU IS ONE THING AND, YOU KNOW, I'M JUST
12 SHOWING THAT YOU OVER AND OVER AGAIN, AND BECAUSE OF,
13 YOU KNOW, I'M NOT LOOKING AT THE DATA ANYMORE, I'M KIND
14 OF GENERATING THE DATA THAT SHOWS.

SO IF IT'S JUST ONE GROUP WHO'S EVER BEEN SHOWN
THAT, THEN I WOULD WORRY AND SAY, WELL, I WOULD LIKE TO
SEE THAT DONE BY SOMEONE ELSE.

BUT IT'S ALSO DEFINITELY DIFFERENT INDIVIDUALS 18 WHO ARE IN THIS STUDY BECAUSE IF IT GENERALIZES AND IF 19 20 IT'S GENERALIZED BIOLOGY, I SHOULD BE ABLE TO SEE THOSE IN DIFFERENT KINDS OF PEOPLE. I SHOULD BE ABLE TO SEE 21 2.2 AN EFFECT IN CHILDREN OF DIFFERENT RACES OF DIFFERENT 23 ETHNICITIES. I SHOULD BE ABLE TO SEE THAT IN CHILDREN 2.4 WHO LIVE IN DIFFERENT PLACES, ARE RAISED IN DIFFERENT CULTURES, SO IT MAKES ME MUCH MORE TRUSTING THE RESULTS 25 2.6 IF THAT SHOWS CONSISTENCY.

Q ALL RIGHT. DIFFERENT PLACES. HOW DOES THAT PLAY INTO IT?

A WELL, THAT'S GENERALLY CONSIDERED GEOGRAPHY,
 AND ENVIRONMENTAL EPIDEMIOLOGY THAT'S REALLY IMPORTANT
 BECAUSE THE GEOGRAPHY IS PARTIALLY WHAT EXPOSE PEOPLE.
 YOU COULD BE LIVING IN A PLACE WHERE THERE'S HIGH
 ARSENIC WATER CONTAMINATION OR YOU COULD BE LIVING IN A
 PLACE WHERE THERE'S LEAD SMELTING.

SO THESE DIFFERENT PLACES ARE IMPORTANT BECAUSE
OF THEIR POTENTIAL FOR DIFFERENT EXPOSURES AND DIFFERENT
9 EXPOSURE LEVELS BUT ALSO FOR DIFFERENT SUSCEPTIBILITIES
10 OF THE POPULATION THAT LIVES THERE.

11 Q WHAT ABOUT DIFFERENT CIRCUMSTANCES? WHAT'S12 THAT GETTING AT?

WELL, CIRCUMSTANCES COULD JUST MEAN THE WAY THE 13 Α STUDY IS COMING ABOUT. LIKE, YOU KNOW, WHAT IS THE 14 15 DESIGN? IS THERE A SPECIALTY CLINIC THAT, YOU KNOW, ALL OF A SUDDEN GETS INTERESTED IN ONE OUTCOME AND DOES THE 16 17 STUDY, DO I TRUST THAT ONE STUDY THAT, YOU KNOW, WAS DONE AT A SPECIALTY CLINIC OR WOULD I LIKE TO SEE THIS 18 REPEATED BY A GENERAL PRACTITIONER KIND OF SAMPLE? 19 WHAT ARE THE CIRCUMSTANCES THAT LED TO THIS STUDY OR TO THAT 20 STUDY POPULATION BEING ASSEMBLED? 21

22 Q AND THEN TIMES. WHY IS HAVING DIFFERENT 23 STUDIES AT DIFFERENT TIMES IMPORTANT?

A WELL, FOR AIR POLLUTION IT'S OBVIOUS BECAUSE
AIR POLLUTION CHANGES WITH SEASON AND CHANGES OVER TIME,
BUT IT'S ACTUALLY THE CASE FOR LOTS OF ENVIRONMENTAL
EXPOSURES, THEY COME AND GO, LUCKILY THEY SOMETIMES GO,
BUT THEY ALSO OFTEN COME; SO YOU DEFINITELY WANT TO LOOK

1 OVER TIME WHAT THE EXPOSURE SOURCES ARE AND WHAT THE EXPOSURE LEVELS ARE AND ARE THEY INCREASING OR 2 DECREASING OR IN WHAT WAY ARE THEY CHANGING OVERALL. 3 I WANT TO LOOK AT THIS PARAGRAPH HERE AT THE 4 0 5 BOTTOM. IT FLOWS ON TO THE NEXT PAGE. HE WRITES -- SIR BRADFORD HILL WRITES, "WE HAVE 6 7 THEREFORE THE SOMEWHAT PARADOXICAL POSITION 8 THAT THE DIFFERENT RESULTS OF A DIFFERENT INQUIRY CERTAINLY CANNOT BE HELD TO REFUTE THE 9 10 ORIGINAL EVIDENCE, YET THE SAME RESULTS FROM PRECISELY THE SAME FORM OF INQUIRY WILL NOT 11 12 INVARIABLY GREATLY STRENGTHEN THE ORIGINAL I MYSELF WOULD PUT A GOOD DEAL OF 13 EVIDENCE. WEIGHT UPON SIMILAR RESULTS REACHED IN QUITE 14 15 DIFFERENT WAYS; FOR EXAMPLE, PROSPECTIVELY AND RETROSPECTIVELY." 16 17 DO YOU SEE THAT? YES. HE'S BASICALLY EXPLAINING WHAT I JUST 18 Α

SAID. YOU KNOW, YOU WANT -- ACTUALLY IN MORE RECENT
LITERATURE THAT IS -- THERE'S A TERM FOR THIS, IT'S
CALLED TRIANGULATION, AND IT IS A WAY OF COMING TO A
CAUSAL CONCLUSION AND IT'S USED FOR CAUSAL EVALUATIONS
SAYING THAT NO STUDY, NO OBSERVATION IS EVER PERFECT.
EVERY STUDY HAS A SLIGHT LIMITATION OR A LARGE
LIMITATION IN ONE WAY OR ANOTHER.

BUT IF I COME AT THE SAME PROBLEM FROM
DIFFERENT ANGLES AND I STILL HAVE THE SAME ANSWER, AS,
YOU KNOW, YOU WOULD EXPECT UNDER, YOU KNOW, A CERTAIN

1 CIRCUMSTANCE THAT, YOU KNOW, THIS HIGH EXPOSURE SHOULD 2 SHOW YOU MORE EFFECT AND LOW EXPOSURE SHOULD SHOW YOU LESS EFFECT, IN THIS POPULATION THAT'S MORE VULNERABLE 3 YOU SHOULD SEE MORE DISEASE. IN A POPULATION THAT IS 4 LESS VULNERABLE, YOU SHOULD SEE LESS DISEASE. 5 CHILDREN WHO ARE EXPOSED AT A CERTAIN AGE WHERE, YOU KNOW, IT'S 6 7 IRRELEVANT SHOULD NOT HAVE THE DISEASE, WHILE CHILDREN 8 EXPOSED AT THE RELEVANT AGE SHOULD HAVE THE DISEASE, ET 9 CETERA.

10 SO THIS IS WHAT WE USE, THESE DIFFERENT STUDIES WITH DIFFERENT OUTCOMES IN THIS CASE, BUT ACCORDING TO A 11 12 HYPOTHESIS, HYPOTHESIS OF A, FOR EXAMPLE, SENSITIVE 13 PERIOD THAT IS BEING AFFECTED, A EXPOSURE THAT REALLY NEEDS TO HIT THE RIGHT TIMING OF NEURODEVELOPMENT, FOR 14 15 EXAMPLE; ALL OF THAT IS BROUGHT TOGETHER AND IS MORE THAN JUST ONE STUDY AND MORE JUST -- MORE THAN JUST ONE 16 17 PIECE OF EVIDENCE.

18 SO YOU NEVER CONCLUDE ANYTHING ON ONE PIECE OF
19 EVIDENCE. HOPEFULLY, YOU HAVE A LOT, AND HOPEFULLY YOU
20 HAVE A LOT OF DIFFERENT ONES.

21 Q NOW, DO YOU HAVE TO HAVE PROSPECTIVE AND 22 RETROSPECTIVE STUDIES TO HAVE CONSISTENT RESULTS?

A NOT NECESSARILY. THERE ARE SITUATIONS WHERE
YOU ONLY HAVE ONE TYPE OR ONLY THE OTHER AND YOU HAVE TO
ACT BECAUSE WE HAVE PUBLIC HEALTH, YOU KNOW, MINDED
INDIVIDUALS IN OUR DISCIPLINE. AND IF I HAVE ANIMAL
DATA AND MECHANISTIC DATA AND ONE TYPE OF EPIDEMIOLOGIC
STUDY IT CAN BE ENOUGH TO BE COMPELLED TO SAY, WELL, WE

1 NEED TO ACT, WE CANNOT WAIT FOR THE NEXT STUDY TO 2 WE HAVE TO BE PROTECTING PUBLIC HEALTH. HAPPEN. LET'S LOOK AT WHAT YOU DID SPECIFICALLY IN YOUR 3 0 REPORT. 4 RIGHT DOWN HERE, YOU'RE GOING TO THE BRADFORD 5 HILL CRITERIA SPECIFICALLY AS IT RELATES TO LEAD AND 6 ASD, AND WRITE UNDER, "CONSISTENCY: 7 THIS CRITERION IS 8 MET SINCE POSITIVE ASSOCIATIONS HAVE BEEN REPORTED FOR DIFFERENT POPULATIONS IN 9 DIFFERENT GEOGRAPHIC REGIONS AND DIFFERENT TIME 10 PERIODS AS WELL AS DIFFERENT BIOLOGICAL 11 12 MATRICES WHICH STRENGTHENS THE LIKELIHOOD OF A TRUE EFFECT." 13 DO YOU SEE THAT? 14 15 Α YES. THIS PRECISE THING THAT YOU STATE HERE, 16 0 17 DIFFERENT POPULATIONS, REGIONS, TIME PERIODS, BIOLOGICAL MATRICES, IS THIS EXACTLY THE TYPE OF CONSISTENCY 18 19 ANALYSIS THAT SIR BRADFORD HILL MENTIONED BACK IN 1965? THAT'S WHAT I IMAGINE HE WANTED ME TO DO, YES. 20 Α NOW, DOCTOR, I WANT TO BE CLEAR BECAUSE DEFENSE 21 0 COUNSEL HAS TOLD THIS COURT THAT YOUR DEFINITION OF 22 23 CONSISTENCY IS SOMEWHERE ALONG THE LINES OF IF IT DOESN'T MEET WHAT YOU WANT IT TO -- IF THE RESULTS OF 24 THE STUDY DON'T SHOW YOU WHAT YOU WANT, THEN IT'S NOT 25 26 CONSISTENT. 27 FIRST OF ALL, IS THAT EVEN REMOTELY ACCURATE ABOUT HOW YOU GO ABOUT APPROACHING THE SCIENTIFIC 28

1 APPROACH AND SPECIFICALLY THE CONSISTENCY CRITERIA? 2 NO, ABSOLUTELY NOT. А SO CONSISTENCY MEANS OF COURSE COMPARISON AND 3 IT MEANS COMPARISON OF DIFFERENT WAYS OF KNOWING THINGS 4 5 AND THAT MEANS DIFFERENT KINDS OF STUDIES AND IT DOESN'T MEAN THAT EVERY STUDY HAS TO SHOW EXACTLY THE SAME 6 7 THING. 8 FOR EXAMPLE, IF I HAVE A POPULATION THAT'S VERY 9 HIGHLY EXPOSED, I MAY EXPECT A STRONGER EFFECT THAN IN A 10 POPULATION THAT IS LOW EXPOSED, RIGHT. SO I'M EXPECTING 11 DIFFERENT THINGS BASED ON WHAT THE EXPOSURE LEVELS ARE. BUT THAT I SEE A STRONG EFFECT IN ONE POPULATION AND A 12 13 WEAK EFFECT IN ANOTHER IS NOT INCONSISTENT. IT'S ACTUALLY CONSISTENT WITH MY EXPECTATION GIVEN THE LEVEL 14 15 OF EXPOSURE. I GUESS THAT'S MY QUESTION. 16 Q 17 SO IF -- I MEAN, WHEN YOU'RE LOOKING AT WHETHER OR NOT DATA OR RESULTS ARE CONSISTENT, DO YOU FRAME 18 19 WHETHER IT'S CONSISTENT BASED UPON WHAT YOU ALREADY 20 KNOW? OF COURSE. YOU ALWAYS, YOU KNOW, THAT'S WHY WE 21 Α GET EDUCATED IN EPIDEMIOLOGY AND THAT'S WHY, YOU KNOW, 22 23 THERE'S A JOKE ABOUT HOW MANY TIMES CAN YOU DO A STATISTICAL TEST AND, YOU KNOW, HAVE TO CORRECT FOR ALL 24 YOUR PREVIOUS STATISTICAL TESTS? 25 THE OLDER YOU GET, THE 26 HARDER IT IS TO SHOW ANYTHING. 27 BUT THAT ASIDE, ACTUALLY, YES, YOU ALWAYS AS A SCIENTIST YOU LEARN -- HOPEFULLY, YOU LEARN YOUR WHOLE 28 182

LIFE. HOPEFULLY YOU ARE FLEXIBLE ENOUGH TO CHANGE YOUR
 MIND. HOPEFULLY YOUR BIASES WILL BE OVERWRITTEN BY THE
 ACTUAL DATA THAT YOU'RE COLLECTING IF IT'S SHOWING YOU
 SOMETHING ELSE.

5 AND BASICALLY, WE CALL THIS UPDATING OUR 6 PRIORS. SO WE HAVE A PRIOR -- PRIOR PROBABILITY THAT 7 SOMETHING IS AN EXPOSURE, IT CAUSES AN EFFECT, THEN I GO 8 OUT THERE, I COLLECT MORE DATA AND I UPDATE MY PRIORS; 9 MEANING, THE DATA ACTUALLY IS MEANINGFUL AND I ACTUALLY 10 USE IT TO CHANGE MY MIND.

11 Q NOW, I WANT TO SHOW YOU THIS CHART THAT WE'VE 12 PUT TOGETHER, AND THIS IS A FAIRLY COMPLEX CHART SO LET 13 ME WALK THROUGH IT ALL.

14SO WHAT WE HAVE HERE IS EVERY STUDY WITH A15BIOMARKER RESULT THAT WE COULD DIG OUT OF YOUR REPORT.

16 AND BEFORE WE GO INTO WHAT THIS IS SHOWING,
17 DOCTOR, CAN I JUST ASK YOU SOMETHING: BEFORE YOU GOT
18 INVOLVED IN THIS LITIGATION, HAD YOU EVER SYSTEMATICALLY
19 WENT THROUGH ALL THE LITERATURE TO LOOK AT ALL OF THE
20 DATA ON METALS AND ASD?

21 A NO.

22

Q AND WHEN YOU DID, WERE YOU SURPRISED?

A I -- I WAS NOT REALLY SURPRISED, I HAVE TO SAY,
BECAUSE I'VE READ SO MUCH ABOUT LEAD AND THE BRAIN IN MY
LIFE, AND, YOU KNOW, LEAD IS A KNOWN NEUROTOXIN.

26 WHAT I WAS SURPRISED IS THAT SO MANY STUDIES 27 ACTUALLY STARTED TO EXIST AND SHOW THIS BECAUSE FOR THE 28 LONGEST TIME THE GENERAL BELIEF, AND I HAD THE SAME BELIEF, WAS THAT IT'S GENETICS THAT CAUSES AUTISM, AND
IT'S LIKE ONE OF THOSE STORIES WHERE, YOU KNOW, YOU
DON'T LOOK BECAUSE YOU THINK YOU KNOW THE REASON, AND
THEN ALL OF A SUDDEN SOMEBODY SAYS, "BUT, BUT," YOU
KNOW, AND WE START ACTUALLY LOOKING AT -- WE ARE LOOKING
AT THE ENVIRONMENT AND WE ARE ACTUALLY INVESTING -- WE
START INVESTING THE ENVIRONMENT.

AND MY FAVORITE FUNDING AGENCY, THE NIEHS HAS NOT INVESTED IN AUTISM STUDIES MUCH AT ALL BEFORE THE VEAR 2000, AND THAT'S WHEN, YOU KNOW, EVERYBODY BELIEVED IT'S GENETICS. AND THEN SLOWLY BUT SURELY THEY STARTED INVESTING. NOW THEY RUN WHOLE AUTISM CENTERS. I MEAN, THEY FUND AUTISM CENTERS.

14AND YES, THERE'S A LOT OF DATA ACCUMULATING,15AND THESE LAST 20 YEARS REALLY CHANGED MY MIND.

Q NOW, LET'S LOOK AT THIS.

SO WE HAVE THIS DATA HERE. THIS IS ALL THE
POSITIVE AND STATISTICALLY SIGNIFICANT RESULTS, AND THIS
IS -- LOOKS LIKE SIX BY FIVE, SO IT LOOKS LIKE THERE'S
ABOUT 30 STUDIES HERE.

21 DO YOU SEE THAT, DOCTOR?

22 A YES.

16

2.6

Q ALL RIGHT. AND WE HAVE HERE DIFFERENT COLORS.
WE HAVE THE YELLOW, THE RED, THE BROWN, THE WHITE AND
THE BLUE.

DO YOU SEE THAT?

27 A YES.

28 Q AND JUST SO THAT THERE'S A KEY UP HERE. THE

184

Bryce Reporting Services (510)828-9404 - info@brycereporters.com

1 BLOOD RE -- THE YELLOW REFERS TO URINE; RIGHT? 2 UM-HMM YES. А RED IS BLOOD. BROWN IS HAIR. WHITE IS TEETH 3 0 4 AND NAILS. AND BLUE IS AIR STUDIES OR AIR POLLUTION 5 STUDIES. DO YOU SEE THAT? 6 7 Α YES. 8 ALL RIGHT. SO LOOKING HERE AT THIS CORPUS OF 0 9 STATISTICALLY SIGNIFICANT RESULTS, I SEE THAT THERE IS A 10 WHOLE HOST OF DIFFERENT STUDY DESIGNS. 11 DO YOU SEE THAT? 12 А YES. YOU HAVE COHORT DESIGNS, YOU HAVE CASE CONTROL 13 0 STUDY DESIGNS. YOU HAVE CROSS SECTIONAL DESIGNS AND YOU 14 15 HAVE ECOLOGICAL STUDIES. 16 DO YOU SEE THAT? 17 Α YES. THE FACT THAT YOU HAVE SO MANY DIFFERENT 18 0 19 DESIGNS AND ALL SHOWING STATISTICALLY SIGNIFICANT 20 ELEVATED LEVELS OF LEAD IN ASD PATIENTS, WHAT, IF ANY, SIGNIFICANCE DOES THAT HAVE TO YOU? 21 2.2 WELL, THAT DISTRIBUTES TO CONSISTENCY ACROSS А 23 DIFFERENT STUDY DESIGNS, AND NOT ONLY DIFFERENT STUDY 2.4 DESIGNS, BUT ALSO DIFFERENT BIOLOGIC MATRICES. AND I SEE HERE THAT, YOU KNOW, SOME OF THESE 25 Q 26 STUDIES ARE A LITTLE BIT OLDER. WE HAVE SOME FROM 2008 27 AND WE HAVE SOME FROM 2020. 28 DO YOU SEE THAT?

Α YES.

1 WHAT, IF ANYTHING, DOES THAT PLAY INTO YOUR 2 0 3 UNDERSTANDING OF CONSISTENCY? THAT ACTUALLY CONTRIBUTES AGAIN BECAUSE WE KNOW 4 Α 5 THAT OVERALL LEAD LEVELS IN THE ENVIRONMENT HAVE BEEN DECREASING EXCEPT OF COURSE IN SPECIAL ENVIRONMENTS 6 7 WHERE WE HAVE LEAD PIPES AND WHERE WE HAVE LEAD SMELTERS 8 OR LEAD CONTAMINATION OF COMMUNITIES THAT WE KNOW OF. 9 BUT THE OVERALL LARGEST LEAD EXPOSURE IN CHILDREN WAS PROBABLY THROUGH LEADED GASOLINE THAT 10 11 LUCKILY IN THE U.S. WAS STOPPED IN THE EARLY '80S, IN 12 EUROPE SLIGHTLY LATER, BUT WE STILL HAVE LEADED GASOLINE 13 IN OUITE A FEW OF THE COMMUNITIES THAT THESE CHILDREN ARE LIVING IN. 14 15 Q NOW, OF THESE STUDIES, WHICH ONES DID YOU FIND -- WELL, LET ME JUST DIRECT YOU TOWARDS THEM. 16 17 I WANT TO TALK ABOUT A FEW OF THESE JUST TO GIVE SOME CONTEXT. I WANT TO TALK ABOUT THE ARORA 18 19 STUDY. 20 DO YOU SEE THAT, DOCTOR? YES. 21 Α I ALSO WANT TO TALK ABOUT THE FILON STUDY. 22 0

23 Α YES.

AND I WANT TO TALK ABOUT THE KIM STUDY, OKAY? 24 0 DO YOU SEE THAT? 25

26 Α YES.

27 AND ONE IS BLOOD, ONE IS HAIR, ONE IS TEETH; 0 28 RIGHT?

> **Bryce Reporting Services** (510)828-9404 - info@brycereporters.com

A RIGHT.

1

28

TWO OF THEM ARE COHORT STUDIES OR PROSPECTIVE 2 0 3 STUDIES, AND ONE OF THEM IS A CASE CONTROL STUDY. DO YOU SEE THAT? 4 YES. 5 Α WHY DON'T WE START OFF WITH THE 6 0 ALL RIGHT. 7 ARORA STUDY. 8 WHY DON'T WE START OFF BY YOU DESCRIBING TO THE 9 COURT YOUR UNDERSTANDING OF WHAT THE ARORA STUDY WAS. 10 YEAH, MANISH ARORA IS REALLY A STAR IN THIS Α FIELD IN MANY WAYS BECAUSE HE IS PIONEERING THESE NEW 11 12 TECHNOLOGIES THAT HE APPLIES TO TEETH AND TO STUDY 13 METALS IN TEETH, AND NOT ONLY TO STUDY METALS IN TEETH, BECAUSE THAT'S BEEN DONE BEFORE, PEOPLE HAVE GROUND UP 14 15 TEETH FOR A LONG TIME TO LOOK WHAT'S IN THERE, BUT HE ACTUALLY DOES SOME KIND OF MEASUREMENTS WHERE HE KNOWS 16 17 EXACTLY DURING WHAT TIME OF THE DEVELOPMENTAL PERIOD THAT PART OF THE TOOTH WAS ACTUALLY FORMED, AND 18 19 THEREFORE, INCLUDES EXPOSURES LIKE LEAD IN THE TOOTH 20 SO HE CAN ACTUALLY STAGE REALLY WELL WHAT'S MATERIALS. HAPPENED AT WHAT TIME PERIOD OF DEVELOPMENT IN CHILDREN, 21 AND THAT'S OF COURSE IN THE TEETH THAT THE KIDS THEN 22 23 SHED BETWEEN AGE 6 AND 10 OR 12. AND THE OTHER REALLY IMPORTANT PART ABOUT THE 24 STUDY WAS THAT HE USED THE SWEDISH TWIN REGISTRY AND 25 26 THAT'S ANOTHER REALLY AMAZING RESOURCE THAT SWEDEN HAS

27 THE KORALINSKA INSTITUTE IS RUNNING.

AND BASICALLY WHAT THEY ARE DOING IS THEY

1 REGISTER ALL THE TWINS THAT ARE BORN IN SWEDEN, AND 2 BECAUSE THEY HAVE A NATIONAL HEALTH SYSTEM, THEY CAN ACTUALLY FIND THE ONES DIAGNOSED WITH CERTAIN DISORDERS 3 EASILY AND THEN THEY CAN ENROLL THEM IN SPECIAL STUDIES. 4 5 AND THAT'S WHAT THIS STUDY DID. THEY FOUND THE AUTISTIC DIAGNOSED CHILDREN AND THEY ENROLLED THEM IN THIS STUDY 6 7 AND ASKED THEM TO HELP THEM BY GIVING THEM THEIR SHED 8 TEETH.

AND SO THAT'S A INCREDIBLY INTERESTING DESIGN
BECAUSE BASICALLY YOU HAVE MONOZYGOTIC AND DIZYGOTIC
TWINS, MEANING THE INTRAUTERINE ENVIRONMENT WAS EXACTLY
THE SAME AND THEN THE EARLY LIFE ENVIRONMENT PROBABLY
WAS THE SAME AS WELL. AND YOU HAVE THESE VERY DETAILED
MEASURES OF THE EXPOSURES IN THESE CHILDREN ACCORDING TO
THIS TOOTH MARKER.

16 AND THAT'S WHAT HE APPLIED, AND HE FOUND THAT
17 DEFINITELY LEAD IN THE LATE PREGNANCY BUT EVEN MORE IN
18 EARLY INFANCY WAS MUCH HIGHER IN THE AUTISTIC CHILDREN
19 THAN THE SIBLINGS.

20 Q NOW, LET'S TAKE A LOOK AT THAT STUDY VERY 21 QUICKLY.

IT STATES HERE IN THE INTRODUCTION, IT SAYS,
"FETAL AND EARLY CHILDHOOD EXPOSURE TO TOXIC
METALS AND DEFICIENCIES OF NUTRITIONAL ELEMENTS
HAVE BEEN LINKED WITH SEVERAL ADVERSE
DEVELOPMENTAL OUTCOMES FREQUENTLY ASSOCIATED
WITH ASD, INCLUDING INTELLECTUAL DISABILITY
IN LANGUAGE, ATTENTIONAL AND BEHAVIORAL

1 PROBLEMS." THEN HE GOES ON TO TALK ABOUT, "ANIMAL STUDIES 2 HAVE DEMONSTRATED THAT THE EFFECTS OF VARIOUS 3 METALS ON BRAIN DEVELOPMENT COULD BE MEDIATED 4 THROUGH DISREGULATION OF NEUROTRANSMISSION AND 5 ALTERATIONS IN THE FRONTAL AND SUBCORTICAL 6 7 BRAIN STRUCTURES SEVERAL OF WHICH HAVE ALSO BEEN IMPLICATED IN ASD." 8 AND SO HE SAYS, "THEREFORE ENVIRONMENTAL AND 9 10 DIETARY EXPOSURES TO METAL ARE IMPORTANT ETIOLOGICAL FACTORS IN ASD." 11 12 LET ME JUST MAKE SURE I UNDERSTAND WHAT THAT 13 MEANS. "THEREFORE" -- WHAT DOES THAT SENTENCE MEAN? 14 15 "THEREFORE ENVIRONMENTAL AND DIETARY EXPOSURES TO METALS ARE POTENTIALLY IMPORTANT ETIOLOGICAL 16 17 FACTORS." WHAT DOES THAT MEAN? 18 WELL, WHAT HE'S REFERRING TO HERE IS THE 19 Α EXPERIMENTAL LITERATURE THAT ACTUALLY CAN LOOK AT 20 21 MECHANISMS IN THE BRAIN, RIGHT. WE CAN OPEN UP ANIMALS' 22 BRAINS AND WE CAN LOOK AT WHAT IS HAPPENING DURING 23 NEURODEVELOPMENT WHEN WE EXPOSE THESE ANIMALS TO METALS AND THAT'S ACTUALLY BEEN DONE EXTENSIVELY, AND SO WE 24 KNOW A LOT ABOUT THESE METALS AND THAT'S WHY I WASN'T SO 25 26 SURPRISED WHEN I SAW FINALLY THESE STUDIES WHEN I WAS 27 ASKED TO LOOK AT THEM BECAUSE WE KNOW SO MUCH ABOUT WHAT 28 IS ACTUALLY HAPPENING WITH METALS IN THE BRAIN OF

I	
1	ANIMALS AND WHAT KIND OF DISREGULATION THERE IS.
2	SO HE'S GOING BACK AS AN EXPERIMENTAL
3	SCIENTIST, AND A REALLY GOOD ONE, HE SAYS, WELL, THIS
4	GIVES ME ENOUGH CLUE TO SAY I HAVE TO LOOK INTO THIS
5	MORE CLOSELY AT ENVIRONMENT BECAUSE THAT'S WHERE THE
6	METAL COMES FROM THERE'S NO, YOU KNOW, WE LEAD IS NOT
7	IN THE BODY UNLESS IT GETS IN THERE THROUGH THE
8	ENVIRONMENT, AND POSSIBLY DIETARY EXPOSURES ARE ONE WAY
9	OF GETTING THESE METALS INTO YOUR BODY PROBABLY RELEVANT
10	FOR ASD.
11	Q YOU GO ON TO STATE OVER HERE, AGAIN, IN THIS
12	INTRODUCTION THAT, "THEY TESTED THE HYPOTHESIS THAT
13	PRENATAL AND EARLY LIFE EXPOSURE TO METAL
14	TOXICANTS OR DEFICIENCIES OF ESSENTIAL ELEMENTS
15	DURING CRITICAL DEVELOPMENTAL WINDOWS ARE
16	ASSOCIATED WITH ASD."
17	IT READS, "OUR PRIMARY FOCUS WAS ON LEAD AN
18	ESTABLISHED NEUROTOXICANT THAT HAS BEEN
19	IMPLICATED IN ASD."
20	DO YOU SEE THAT?
21	A YES, UM-HMM. YEAH, HE BASICALLY SAYS THAT WE
22	ALREADY KNOW THAT IT'S IMPLICATED IN ASD, BUT WHAT I
23	REALLY WANT TO DO IS KNOW THE TIMING OF THESE EVENTS,
24	AND THEREFORE, THE TEETH ARE A GREAT MATRIX FOR ME
25	BECAUSE I CAN MEASURE WHAT THESE ELEMENTS DO AT SPECIFIC
26	TIME PERIODS IN DEVELOPMENT.
27	Q EXACTLY.
28	A AND THAT'S
	190

Bryce Reporting Services (510)828-9404 - info@brycereporters.com L

1 Q EXACTLY.

1	Q EAACILI.		
2	HE SAYS RIGHT HERE. "BOTH THESE ELEMENTS HAVE		
3	BEEN ASSOCIATED WITH ATTENTIONAL AND BEHAVIORAL		
4	OUTCOMES RELATIVE TO ASD."		
5	SO LET'S GO INTO SEE WHAT HIS ACTUAL		
6	CONCLUSIONS WERE AFTER I MEAN, WHAT WE KNOW HERE		
7	AND I JUST THINK THIS IS PRETTY COOL. THEY ACTUALLY HAD		
8	A LASER GO INTO THEIR TEETH TO MEASURE THE EXACT TIMING		
9	OF EXPOSURES; IS THAT RIGHT?		
10	A YES.		
11	Q AND, DOCTOR, I MEAN, I THINK WHEN YOU HAVE A		
12	STUDY LIKE THIS THAT'S THIS SPECIFIC AND THIS DETAILED		
13	OF THE AMOUNT OF EXPOSURES THE PARTICIPANTS WERE		
14	EXPERIENCING AT THIS EARLY STAGE IN THEIR LIFE, DO YOU		
15	HAVE ANY CONCERNS HERE ABOUT REVERSE CAUSATION?		
16	A ABSOLUTELY NOT.		
17	Q WHY IS THAT?		
18	A WELL, BECAUSE THE TOOTH, WE KNOW SO MUCH ABOUT		
19	TOOTH DEVELOPMENT AND BIOLOGY, YOU KNOW, WHAT WE KNOW		
20	FROM BIOLOGY IS REALLY HARD FACTS, HOW THE TOOTH		
21	DEVELOPS AT WHAT POINT OF TIME WHICH LAYER OF THIS TOOTH		
22	WAS ACTUALLY FORMED, AND, YEAH, WE MAY BE WRONG A FEW		
23	DAYS, BUT WE'RE NOT WRONG MONTHS OR YEARS. AND WE		
24	DEFINITELY, WITH THIS KIND OF METHOD, CAN TIME THE		
25	EXPOSURE VERY WELL.		
26	Q NOW, DOCTOR, THE DR. ARORA HAS SET UP THIS		
27	HYPOTHESIS, HE SAYS THIS IS WHAT WE'RE GOING TO TEST,		
28	OKAY, LET'S LOOK AT SEE WHAT THE CONCLUSION OF THAT		

HYPOTHESIS WAS. 1

-			
2	HE WRITES, "TAKEN TOGETHER THIS SUPPORTS THE		
3	HYPOTHESIS THAT PRE-NATAL AND EARLY CHILDHOOD		
4	DISRUPTION, EXCESS OR DEFICIENCIES OF MULTIPLE		
5	METALS DURING CRITICAL DEVELOPMENTAL PERIODS"		
6	SORRY "DEVELOPMENTAL WINDOWS IS		
7	ASSOCIATED WITH ASD AND SUGGESTS A ROLE FOR		
8	ELEMENTAL DYSREGULATION IN THE ETIOLOGY OF		
9	ASD."		
10	DO YOU SEE THAT?		
11	A YES.		
12	Q SO HE SETS THIS HYPOTHESIS. HE RUNS AN		
13	EXPERIMENT AND HE CONCLUDES THAT IT, TAKEN TOGETHER,		
14	THAT THAT HYPOTHESIS IS ESTABLISHED, THAT, IN FACT,		
15	DURING THESE EARLY DEVELOPMENTAL WINDOWS, IT APPEARS TO		
16	BE A RELEVANT TO THE ETIOLOGY OF ASD.		
17	DO YOU SEE THAT?		
18	A YES.		
19	Q WHAT ROLE DOES THIS STUDY WHICH SUFFERS FROM NO		
20	ISSUES RELATED TO REVERSE CAUSATION HAVE IN YOUR		
21	ANALYSIS?		
22	A WELL, IT WAS ONE OF THE VERY IMPORTANT STUDIES		
23	THAT I CONSIDERED AND THAT, YOU KNOW, INFORMED MY		
24	OPINION OF CAUSALITY.		
25	Q OKAY. GREAT.		
26	I WANT TO LOOK AT ANOTHER STUDY THAT		
27	SPECIFICALLY I MENTIONED EARLIER.		
28	LET'S LOOK AT THE FILON STUDY. THIS IS THAT		
	192		

1 CASE CONTROL STUDY THAT WE DISCUSSED A MINUTE AGO. 2 DO YOU RECALL? UM-HMM. 3 Α 0 OKAY. SO I'M SHOWING HERE EXHIBIT 57. 4 AND THIS IS, YOU CAN SEE HERE A STUDY BY A 5 DR. FILON, AN ANALYSIS OF LEAD, ARSENIC AND CALCIUM 6 7 CONTENT IN THE HAIR OF CHILDREN WITH AUTISM SPECTRUM 8 DISORDER. 9 DO YOU SEE THAT? 10 Α YES. 11 0 OKAY. 12 AND THIS IS A CASE CONTROL STUDY. SO THIS IS 13 ONE OF THOSE SITUATIONS -- WELL, EXPLAIN TO US WHAT THEY DID HERE, IF YOU RECALL. 14 15 Α YEAH, SO WHAT THEY DO HERE IS THEY ASSEMBLE CHILDREN WITH AUTISM FIRST AND THEN THEY MATCH THEM TO A 16 17 CONTROL POPULATION AND THEY ASK THEM TO SHARE SOME HAIR, SOME MATRIX, IN THIS CASE HAIR, AND THEY THEN APPLIED 18 19 THE SAME MEASUREMENTS TO THE HAIR OF ALL THE CHILDREN, 20 THE CASES AND CONTROLS, AND THEN COMPARE THE LEVELS IN 21 CASES TO THE LEVELS IN CONTROLS. 2.2 AND SO WHEN THEY DID THAT AND THEY MEASURED IT, 0 23 THEY SHOW HERE THAT THE LEAD LEVELS WERE STATISTICALLY 24 SIGNIFICANTLY GREATER IN THE CASE, IN THE AUTISTIC KIDS, THAN IN THE CONTROL GROUP. 25 26 DO YOU SEE THAT? 27 YES, UM-UM. Α AND IT LOOKS LIKE IT'S ABOUT DOUBLE OF AMOUNT 28 0 193

1 OF HAIR LEAD. 2 DO YOU SEE THAT? 3 Α YES, UM-HMM. AND THEY ALSO ACTUALLY INTERESTINGLY ENOUGH 4 0 5 FOUND A STATISTICALLY SIGNIFICANT RESULT FOR ARSENIC AS WELL. 6 7 DO YOU SEE THAT? 8 YES, CORRECT. Α 9 Ο AND THAT'S ABOUT THREE AND A HALF TIMES MORE 10 ARSENIC; RIGHT? 11 Α RIGHT. 12 Ο OKAY. 13 NOW, WHAT I FOUND FASCINATING ABOUT THIS IS IF YOU GO TO THE CONCLUSION -- WELL, LET'S ACTUALLY LOOK AT 14 15 THE DISCUSSION FIRST. 16 YOU SEE DOWN HERE THERE'S A DISCUSSION ABOUT 17 LEAD. DO YOU SEE THAT? 18 19 YES. Α TALKS ABOUT LEAD BEING ONE OF THE MOST 20 0 DANGEROUS METALS DUE TO ITS COMMON OCCURRENCE IN THE 21 2.2 ENVIRONMENT. 23 YOU'D AGREE WITH THAT, RIGHT, DOCTOR? Α ABSOLUTELY. 24 IT'S HIGHLY TOXIC, HAS THE ABILITY TO EASILY 25 Q 26 CROSS BIOLOGICAL BARRIERS AND ACCUMULATES IN THE 27 INTERNAL ORGANS. 28 YOU AGREE WITH THAT?

1	A	OH, YES, WE HAVE IT ALL IN OUR BONES.
2	Q	"MAY AFFECT THE FUNCTIONS OF BOTH THE CENTRAL
3		AND PERIPHERAL NERVOUS SYSTEMS AS WELL AS THE
4		SENSES."
5		DO YOU SEE THAT?
6	A	YES. ABSOLUTELY.
7	Q	IT SAYS THAT, "NUMEROUS STUDIES HAVE CONFIRMED
8		THAT EXCESS EXPOSURE TO LEAD RESULTS IN AMONG
9		OTHER THINGS CONVULSIONS, CHANGES OF BRAIN
10		FUNCTIONS AND THEN ENCEPHALOGRAM CHANGES AS
11		WELL ACUTE ENCEPH
12	A	ENCEPHALOPATHY.
13	Q	THANK YOU.
14		"AND OTHER BRAIN DISORDERS."
15		DO YOU SEE THAT, DOCTOR?
16	A	YES, YES.
17	Q	AND IT GOES ON AND ON.
18		AND THEN ON THE NEXT PAGE IN THIS DISCUSSION IT
19	CONTINUE	S.
20		IT SAYS, "THE NERVOUS SYSTEM OF FETUSES AND
21		SMALL CHILDREN SHOW HIGHER SENSITIVITY TO LEAD
22		INTOXICATION COMPARED TO ADULTS."
23		DO YOU SEE THAT?
24	A	YES.
25	Q	"THIS RESULTS FROM A GREATER SUSCEPTIBILITY OF
26		THE BRAIN TO DISORDERS ESPECIALLY IN THE PERIOD
27		OF FAST GROWTH."
28		DO YOU SEE THAT?
		195

A YES.

1

2

Q ALL RIGHT.

AND THEN IT GOES ON, I MEAN, I'M SHOWING THIS BECAUSE I WANT TO GIVE THE COURT SOME CONTEXT OF WHAT THE CURRENT LITERATURE IS SAYING.

IT SAYS HERE, "IN OUR REACH RESEARCH MEAN 6 7 ARSENIC AND LEAD CONTENT IN THE HAIR OF 8 CHILDREN WITH ASD WAS STATISTICALLY 9 SIGNIFICANTLY HIGHER COMPARED TO THE MEAN 10 CONTENT OF THESE ELEMENTS IN THE HAIR OF CHILDREN FROM THE CONTROL GROUP. 11 NUMEROUS 12 STUDIES HAVE CONFIRMED THAT HEAVY METALS PLAY A CRUCIAL ROLE IN THE DEVELOPMENT OF AUTISM 13 SPECTRUM DISORDERS." 14 15 DO YOU SEE THAT? YES. 16 Α AND THERE'S A BUNCH OF CITATIONS. AND THEN IT 17 Q GOES ON TO DISCUSS SOME OF THEM LIKE YASUDA AND FIDO AND 18

19 20

DO YOU SEE THAT?

21 A YES.

ASAD.

Q AND THEN AT THE VERY END OF THIS HAVING
REVIEWED ALL THAT LITERATURE, THE BIOLOGICAL
PLAUSIBILITY, THE AUTHORS OF THIS STUDY STATE:

"NOTWITHSTANDING EVIDENCE LIMITATIONS, THE
STUDY SUGGESTS THAT ABNORMAL CONCENTRATIONS OF
THE ANALYZED ELEMENTS MAY INDICATE A
PATHOPHYSIOLOGICAL ROLE OF HEAVY METALS AND

1	TRACE ELEMENTS IN THE GENESIS OF SYMPTOMS OF	
2	SYMPTOMS OF AUTISM SPECTRUM DISORDER."	
3	DO YOU SEE THAT?	
4	A YES.	
5	Q NOW, DOCTOR, IS IT NORMAL FOR AN EPIDEMIOLOGIST	
6	PUBLISHING A CASE CONTROL STUDY LIKE THIS TO REACH SUCH	
7	SWEEPING CONCLUSIONS IN ISOLATION?	
8	A NO, THEY WOULDN'T DO IT IN ISOLATION AND THEY	
9	WOULDN'T DARE DOING IT UNLESS THERE WAS SO MUCH PREVIOUS	
10	EVIDENCE AND THEY WANT TO KIND OF TELL YOU THAT, WELL,	
11	NOT ONLY IS THERE SO MUCH EVIDENCE, OUR STUDY IS	
12	ACTUALLY RIGHT THERE WITH EVERYBODY ELSE.	
13	Q BETTER WAY TO PUT IT; CONSISTENT?	
14	A IT'S CONSISTENT, YES. AND YOU'RE ALWAYS HAPPY	
15	WHEN YOU CAN SAY MY STUDY RESULTS ARE CONSISTENT WITH	
16	PREVIOUS LITERATURE, MEANING I HAVE NOT MADE IT UP.	
17	Q NOW, DOCTOR, THIS STUDY, WE LOOKED AT AN	
18	EARLIER ONE ON TEETH, AND WE TALKED ABOUT HOW RELIABLE	
19	THAT WAS AS A BIOMARKER.	
20	WHAT ABOUT HAIR? IS HAIR A PRETTY RELIABLE	
21	BIOMARKER FOR MEASURING PAST EXPOSURES?	
22	A IT IS. IT IS A LITTLE HARDER BECAUSE HAIR CAN	
23	BE MORE EASILY CONTAMINATED AND IT DEPENDS ON WHERE ON	
24	THE SCALP YOU TAKE THE HAIR OR, YOU KNOW, HOW LONG THE	
25	HAIR IS, HOW OLD THE CHILD IS, BUT IT IS ONE OF THOSE	
26	MATRICES THAT DEFINITELY TELLS YOU A LITTLE BIT MORE	
27	ABOUT THE PAST DEPENDING ON HOW LONG THE HAIR IS, THE	
28	SAMPLE IS THAT YOU TAKE, IT TELLS YOU SOMETHING ABOUT	

THE PAST EXPOSURE BECAUSE OF COURSE THE LEAD GETS IN
 THERE WHILE THE HAIR IS GROWING.

Q NOW, YOU SAID IT CAN EASILY GET CONTAMINATED, BUT IS THERE ANY REASON TO BELIEVE THAT THE HAIR OF AUTISTIC CHILDREN WOULD BE CONTAMINATED DIFFERENTLY THAN THE HAIR OF THE NON AUTISTIC CONTROLS?

A ABSOLUTELY NOT. BECAUSE IF THEY BOTH WOULD BE
EXPOSED TO THE SAME DUST OR, YOU KNOW, CONCENTRATIONS IN
THE ENVIRONMENT, UNLESS THERE IS, YOU KNOW, A -- YOU
HAVE TO COME UP WITH SOME REALLY WEIRD REASON WHY THE
HAIR IN AUTISTIC -- OF AUTISTIC CHILDREN WOULD BE
TREATED DIFFERENTLY FROM THE NON AUTISTIC CHILDREN.

Q AND WHEN YOU DO -- WHEN YOU LOOK AT HAIR HERE
AND, LET'S SAY, FOR A SECOND THAT BOTH THE AUTISTIC
CHILDREN AND THE NON AUTISTIC CHILDREN HAD SIMILAR
AMOUNTS OF LEAD CONTAMINATION OR ARSENIC CONTAMINATION
IN THEIR HAIR, WHAT AFFECT WOULD THAT HAVE ON THE
RESULTS, IF ANY?

19 A YOU KNOW, MEANING, IT IS ACTUALLY IN THE HAIR20 SAMPLE OR THE HAIR SAMPLE IS CONTAMINATED?

21 Q WELL, I GUESS WHAT I'M TRYING TO SAY IS IF THE 22 HAIR IS -- IF THERE'S EQUAL AMOUNT OF ADDITIONAL LEAD OR 23 METALS IN THE HAIR FOR BOTH THE CASES AND CONTROLS --

A

OH, YES.

24

Q -- WHAT EFFECT DOES THAT HAVE ON THE RESULTS?
A SO IF MY EXPERIMENTER WHO TOOK THE HAIR SAMPLE
WAS NOT CAREFUL AND CONTAMINATED THE HAIR, IN THE END I
WOULDN'T SEE A DIFFERENCE BECAUSE IT IS A NOISE TO

1 SIGNAL RATIO, SO IF I CONTAMINATE EVERYTHING, I DON'T 2 SEE THE SIGNAL ANYMORE. AND THAT'S KIND OF GETTING TO WHERE I THINK 3 0 IT'S REALLY IMPORTANT. 4 JUST BECAUSE THE RESULT IS NULL, MEANING IT'S 5 6 NOT SHOWING ANY DIFFERENCE, DOES THAT MEAN THAT THERE'S 7 NO RISK? 8 Α NO. THAT MEANS THAT YOU HAVE TO REALLY LOOK AT 9 HOW WAS IT MEASURED AND, YOU KNOW, WHO MEASURED IT AND 10 IS THERE A CHANCE THAT IN THIS MATRIX THERE IS A PROBLEM LIKE IN HAIR OR URINE THERE MAY BE MORE THAN IN BLOOD, 11 12 ET CETERA. SO FOR EXAMPLE, WHEN WE LOOK AT THE STUDY CHART 13 0 14 HERE, WE'VE LOOKED AT A CASE CONTROL STUDY, WE'VE LOOKED 15 AT A RETROSPECTIVE TOOTH STUDY, WE LOOKED AT A HAIR 16 STUDY. 17 THE FACT THAT YOU HAVE, FOR EXAMPLE, SOME NEGATIVE STATISTICALLY SIGNIFICANT RESULTS, DOES THAT 18 19 MEAN THAT THERE'S INCONSISTENCY THAT PREVENTS YOU FROM 20 FINDING CAUSATION? NO, IT DOESN'T DO THAT. IT MEANS THAT I HAVE 21 Α TO LOOK AT THESE STUDIES VERY CAREFULLY AND SEE WHY THEY 22 23 WOULD TELL ME THAT YOU SHOULD SPRINKLE LEAD ON YOUR CEREAL IN ORDER TO PREVENT AUTISM BECAUSE WE WOULDN'T 24 25 BELIEVE THAT AS A PRIOR, YOU KNOW, THAT SEEMS KIND OF 26 UNLIKELY. 27 I'M NOT SAYING THAT THESE STUDIES DID ANYTHING WRONG ON PURPOSE, BUT IT WOULD MAKE ME LOOK CLOSER AT 28

THEM TO AT LEAST SEE, FIND SOME EXPLANATION FOR WHY THEY
 SAW STATISTICALLY SIGNIFICANT NEGATIVE RESULTS.

IT COULD JUST BE RANDOM BECAUSE, YOU KNOW, 3 RANDOM THINGS HAPPEN JUST BY CHANCE, BUT IT COULD ALSO 4 MEAN THERE'S A BIAS, AND JUST LIKE I LOOK AT POSITIVE 5 STUDIES CAREFULLY TO FIND A BIAS AND CONVINCE MYSELF 6 7 WHETHER OR NOT THAT POSITIVE RESULT SHOULD BE TAKEN 8 SERIOUSLY OR NOT, I DEFINITELY LOOK AT THE NEGATIVE ONES 9 IN THE SAME WAY, BUT I DON'T USE THEM AS AN ARGUMENT FOR 10 INCONSISTENCY. THEY IN FACT MAY MAKE THE WHOLE PICTURE MORE CONSISTENT IF I DISCOVER CERTAIN TYPES OF BIASES 11 12 THAT WOULD EXPLAIN WHY I SEE WHAT I SEE.

Q NOW, DOCTOR, IF I WERE TO JUST WHITE OUT ALL OF
THE NONSIGNIFICANT RESULTS AND JUST LOOK AT THE
STATISTICALLY SIGNIFICANT RESULTS, WOULD THAT BE A FAIR
WAY OF SAYING, WELL, LOOK HOW MANY POSITIVES STUDIES
THERE ARE, CLEARLY IT'S CAUSAL?

18 A NO, WE -- WE DON'T -- WE DON'T ARGUE THAT WAY.
19 I ALWAYS TELL MY STUDENTS DON'T JUST DO STUDY COUNTING.
20 BUT IT IS KIND OF IMPRESSIVE HOW MANY STUDIES IN HOW
21 MANY DIFFERENT PLACES ALL OVER THE WORLD SHOWED POSITIVE
22 RESULTS.

23 Q AND THAT'S EXACTLY WHAT I WAS GOING TO GET AT,24 DOCTOR.

25 WHEN YOU SEE THIS LARGE NUMBER OF DIFFERENT
26 TIME PERIODS, WE HAVE STUDIES HERE IN THE '80S, WE HAVE
27 STUDIES HERE LAKE THE FIDO STUDY, THE FILON STUDY WE
28 JUST LOOKED AT WAS A YEAR AND A HALF OLD.

1 WHAT DOES THAT TELL YOU AS A SCIENTIST INSOFAR 2 AS -- WELL, LET ME ASK YOU THIS, LET ME ASK YOU A BETTER 3 OUESTION. WHEN YOU'VE CONCLUDED THAT THERE IS CONSISTENCY 4 IN THE DATA TO SUPPORT CAUSATION, DID YOU USE ANY 5 CONJECTURE OR LEAPS OF LOGIC TO GET THERE? 6 7 I DON'T BELIEVE SO BECAUSE THERE'S SO MUCH А 8 EVIDENCE THAT IT'S NOT REALLY NECESSARY TO MAKE ANY 9 CONJECTURES AND LEAPS OF FAITH HERE, I DON'T EVEN HAVE 10 TO GO TO OTHER TYPES OF STUDIES, MECHANISM OR ANIMALS, WHEN I HAVE SO MUCH HUMAN DATA IN FRONT OF ME. 11 12 NOW, DOCTOR, I WANT TO ASK YOU ONE OTHER 0 OUESTION AND I'M NOT GOING TO PULL IT OUT. 13 I JUST WANT TO ASK YOU ABOUT IT. I WANT TO TALK ABOUT THIS KIM 14 15 STUDY. I SEE THAT IT IS A COHORT STUDY IN BLOOD; IS 16 17 THAT RIGHT? 18 Α YES. 19 AND COULD YOU JUST BRIEFLY DESCRIBE TO THE 0 COURT WHAT THEY DID AND WHAT THEY FOUND. 20 21 YEAH. Α SO KIM IS AN INTERESTING STUDY THAT WAS DONE 22 23 THIS KOREA BY SOME COLLEAGUES OF OURS WHO WENT TO SCHOOLS AND ENROLLED I THINK FROM AT LEAST TEN DIFFERENT 24 CITIES AND EVEN MORE SCHOOLS, SCHOOL CHILDREN AT THE AGE 25 26 7 TO 8 AND THEN TOOK BLOOD MEASURES, BLOOD SAMPLES, IN WHICH THEY THEN MEASURED THE BLOOD LEAD LEVELS. 27 AND THEY ASKED THE CHILDREN AT THE BASELINE 7 TO 8 YEARS, OR 28

BETTER THEIR PARENTS, WHETHER ANY OF THEIR KIDS HAVE
 BEEN DIAGNOSED WITH AUTISM SPECTRUM DISORDER, AND THOSE
 KIDS WERE EXCLUDED, SO THEY ONLY WENT WITH THE ONES THAT
 DID NOT HAVE A DIAGNOSIS AT AGE 7 TO 8.

5 AND THEY FOLLOWED THEM UP INTO THE FUTURE. 6 THEY TOOK ANOTHER BLOOD SAMPLE WHEN THEY WERE 10 AND 7 THEN ANOTHER BLOOD SAMPLE WHEN THEY WERE ABOUT 12, 11 OR 8 12.

9 AND AT THE TIME WHEN THEY WERE 11 OR 12, THEY 10 ALSO ASKED THE PARENTS AGAIN TO REPORT SYMPTOMS 11 ACCORDING TO A VALIDATED SYMPTOM OUESTIONNAIRE FOR 12 AUTISM SPECTRUM DISORDER AND COMPARED THE BLOOD -- AND 13 THEN ANALYZED THE BLOOD LEAD LEVELS FROM THE ORIGINAL BASELINE WHICH WAS IN FIVE, YEAH, ABOUT FIVE YEARS PRIOR 14 15 TO THE ASSESSMENT BY THE PARENTS OF THE KIDS, AND THEY 16 FOUND THAT THE BLOOD LEAD LEVEL PREDICTED THE OUTCOME 17 ASD BEHAVIOR TYPES VERY WELL AND EVEN AT THE RELATIVELY LOW LEVEL THAT IN THIS REGULAR CHILD POPULATION IN 18 19 KOREA, ALL OVER KOREA, TEN DIFFERENT CITIES, IT 20 DESCRIBED THE CORRELATION, THE ASSOCIATION BETWEEN THOSE 21 EARLY MEASURES AT 7 TO 8 YEARS AND THE OUTCOMES AT AGE 22 11/12.

23 Q SO THE KIM STUDY AND I'M JUST GOING TO POP IT 24 UP REALLY QUICKLY. THIS IS EXHIBIT 87 FOR THE RECORD.

AND THIS IS THE CONCLUSION HERE, IT SAYS:
"EVEN LOW BLOOD LEVEL CONCENTRATIONS AT 7 TO 8
YEARS OLD OF AGE ARE ASSOCIATED WITH MORE
AUTISTIC BEHAVIORS AT 11 TO 12 YEARS OF AGE

1 UNDERSCORING THE NEED FOR CONTINUING EFFORTS TO 2 REDUCE LEAD EXPOSURES." 3 DO YOU SEE THAT? YES. 4 Α NOW, BECAUSE THIS IS A --5 Ο AND BY THE WAY, THIS IS A STUDY OF THOUSANDS OF 6 Α 7 KIDS, VERY BIG. 8 SO I GUESS THE QUESTION IS, DOCTOR, I HAVE TWO 0 9 QUESTIONS HERE, AND THE FIRST IS ARE YOU CONCERNED ABOUT REVERSE CAUSALITY IN THIS STUDY? 10 11 Α NO. AND THAT'S BECAUSE THEY MEASURED THEIR BLOOD 12 0 13 ALONG THE WAY JUST LIKE YOU SAID YOU COULD DO TO SEE IN A COHORT STUDY IF THAT'S WHAT'S HAPPENING; RIGHT? 14 15 Α RIGHT. AND THEY DIDN'T ONLY MEASURE IT ONCE, THEY MEASURED IT THREE DIFFERENT TIMES AND THEY FOUND 16 17THAT IT'S REALLY THESE FIVE YEARS PRIOR THAT WERE 18 PREDICTIVE. 19 0 OKAY. 20 NOW, THERE'S TWO OTHER OUESTIONS ABOUT THOSE 21 THAT I THINK WE'VE GOT TO MAKE SURE WE UNDERSTAND. I MEAN, THE FIRST IS WE'RE TALKING ABOUT 7 AND 22 8 YEAR OLDS AND THEN FOLLOWING THEM UNTIL THEY ARE 11 23 I MEAN, I THOUGHT BY THAT POINT AUTISM WAS 24 AND 12. ESTABLISHED AND SET IN STONE. 25 HOW CAN THIS TELL US 26 ANYTHING ABOUT THE EARLIER EXPOSURES AND THE EFFECTS 27 THEY HAVE ON ASD? WELL, IT DOESN'T TELL US SOMETHING ABOUT THE 28 Α

EARLIER EXPOSURES. BUT WHAT IT DOES TELL US IS AUTISM
OF COURSE IS A SPECTRUM AND NONE OF THESE CHILDREN THAT
AT AGE 11/12 HAD THE AUTISTIC BEHAVIORS WERE REALLY
AUTISTIC CHILDREN. BUT WE KNOW, AND IT'S NOW VERY WELL
ACKNOWLEDGED, THAT AUTISM IS A SPECTRUM DISORDER AND
THAT CERTAIN BEHAVIORS CATEGORIZE -- NOT CATEGORIZE YOU
THEY PUT YOU CLOSER TO THAT PHENOTYPE OF AUTISM.

8 SO IF LEAD AT AGE 7/8, AND THAT'S ABOUT THAT 9 TIMING OF EXPOSURE -- AT THE LOW LEVELS THAT IT WAS IN 10 THIS POPULATION HAS AN EFFECT ON THESE KIND OF 11 BEHAVIORS, THAT'S ACTUALLY VERY WORRISOME BECAUSE THE 12 BRAINS AND AS VULNERABLE ANYMORE AS THEY WOULD HAVE BEEN 13 MUCH EARLIER IN LIFE. AND WE ARE STARTING WITH A POPULATION WHERE, YOU KNOW, NONE OF THESE KIDS WAS 14 15 AUTISM SPECTRUM AT 7 OR 8 BECAUSE THE PARENTS HAD BEEN 16 ASKED ABOUT IT, PLUS THESE ARE NORMAL SCHOOLS. AND 17 ACTUALLY I THINK THE AUTHORS EVEN SAY THAT IN THEIR DISCUSSION THAT REAL AUTISTIC CHILDREN WOULD BE NOT IN 18 19 THESE TYPES OF SCHOOLS, ENROLLED IN THESE SCHOOLS, SO 20 THE AUTISM BEHAVIOR THEY ARE SHOWING IS HIGHLY 21 FUNCTIONAL, THEY ARE AT THE VERY FUNCTIONAL END OF 22 AUTISM.

23BUT EVEN AT THAT AGE YOU CAN SEE LEAD EFFECTS24AT THE LOW LEVELS OF LEAD AND THAT'S REALLY WORRISOME.

Q IF THEY ARE TELLING THAT LOW LEVELS OF LEAD CAN
INDUCE AUTISTIC BEHAVIORS AT THIS LATER STAGE IN BRAIN
DEVELOPMENT --

28

A RIGHT.

1 Q -- DOES THAT INFORM YOUR ANALYSIS OF WHAT KIND 2 OF LEAD EXPOSURES WHEN YOU'RE SIX MONTHS YEAR OLD WOULD 3 HAVE?

A ABSOLUTELY. I MEAN, WE KNOW THAT YOU'RE MUCH
MORE VULNERABLE TO TOXINS, TO NEUROTOXINS AT AN EARLIER
AGE, AND YOU KNOW, IF YOU SEE THOSE IN 7 TO 8 YEAR OLDS,
THEN YOU'RE DEFINITELY WORRY MORE ABOUT THE YOUNGER KIDS
AND THEIR EXPOSURES.

9 Q ALL RIGHT. WE'RE RUNNING OUT OF TIME QUICKLY, 10 SO I WANT TO -- SORRY, THAT WAS THE WRONG EXHIBIT. I 11 WANT TO GO BACK TO THE HILL FACTORS. I WANT TO TALK 12 ABOUT TEMPORALITY VERY QUICKLY. I THINK WE'VE COVERED 13 IT MOSTLY ALREADY, AND THEN I WANT TO FINISH UP ON ADHD 14 WHICH SHOULDN'T TAKE TOO LONG.

SO IF WE GO BACK TO THE HILL ARTICLE AND WE GO
TO THE TEMPORALITY CRITERIA, IT STARTS HERE AT THE
BOTTOM.

HE WRITES, "MY FOURTH CHARACTERISTIC IS THE 18 19 TEMPORAL RELATIONSHIP OF THE ASSOCIATION, WHICH IS THE CART AND WHICH IS THE HORSE? THIS IS A 20 OUESTION WHICH MIGHT BE PARTICULARLY RELEVANT 21 WITH DISEASES OF SLOW DEVELOPMENT, DOES A 22 23 PARTICULAR DIET LEAD TO DISEASE OR TO THE EARLY STAGES OF DISEASE LEAD TO THOSE PARTICULAR 24 DIETARY HABITS? 25 26 DOES A PARTICULAR OCCUPATION OR OCCUPATIONAL 27 ENVIRONMENT PROMOTE INFECTION BY THE TUBERCULOBACULUS OR ARE THE MEN AND WOMEN WHO 28

1		SELECT THAT KIND OF WORK MORE LIABLE TO	
2		CONTRACT TUBERCULOSIS, WHATEVER THE ENVIRONMENT	
3		OR INDEED HAVE THEY ALREADY CONTRACTED IT?	
4		THIS TEMPORAL PROBLEM MAY NOT ARISE OFTEN, BUT	
5		IT CERTAINLY NEEDS TO BE REMEMBERED,	
6		PARTICULARLY WITH SELECTIVE FACTORS AT WORK IN	
7		INDUSTRY."	
8		DO YOU SEE THAT, DOCTOR?	
9	А	YES.	
10	Q	AND HERE IN YOUR REPORT YOU STATE, AND I'LL	
11	JUST PULL IT UP SINCE WE CAN KEEP IT KEEP THE RECORD		
12	CLEAR.		
13		YOU WRITE: "TEMPORALITY. THAT DISEASE	
14		OCCURRED AFTER EXPOSURE AND THAT THERE'S AN	
15		EXPECTED DELAY BETWEEN THE CAUSAL FACT HAS ALSO	
16		BEEN REPORTED, I.E., EXPOSURES WERE ASSESSED	
17		AND RECORDED FOR EARLY INFANCY IN BABY TEETH."	
18		THAT'S THE ARORA STUDY; RIGHT?	
19	А	RIGHT.	
20	Q	AND THE KOREAN CHILD COHORT STUDY AND THE	
21	NORWEIGI	AN MOBA COHORT.	
22		DO YOU SEE THAT?	
23	А	YES.	
24	Q	BECAUSE YOU'VE SEEN STUDIES THAT SHOW	
25	TEMPORALITY AND WHEN YOU COMBINE THAT WITH THIS VERY		
26	LARGE DATA OF OTHER STUDIES, DO YOU BELIEVE AS AN		
27	EPIDEMIOLOGIST THAT THE TEMPORALITY REQUIREMENT IS		
28	SATISFIE	D?	

ſ

1 Α YES. AND THAT'S WHY I SAID IT IN THIS WAY. ΤF 2 I HAD ONLY HAD THE CASE CONTROL STUDIES, I WOULD 3 PROBABLY NOT HAVE BEEN CONVINCED. BUT GIVEN THE CASE STUDIES, GIVEN THE COHORT STUDIES, I THINK WE HAVE A 4 5 VERY CLEAR AND VERY CONSISTENT PICTURE ON TEMPORALITY. NOW, WE DISCUSSED PICA ALREADY. 6 0 7 I WANT TO SHOW YOU A STUDY. 8 THIS IS EXHIBIT 15. THE ADAMS STUDY FROM 2006. 9 DO YOU SEE THAT, DOCTOR? 10 Α YES. AND IF WE GO DOWN HERE THEY ACTUALLY MEASURED 11 Ο 12 THE HAIR OF CHILDREN WITH AUTISM VERSUS CONTROL. DO YOU SEE THAT? 13 YES. 14 Α 15 Q AND THEY DID A PICA SUB GROUP ANALYSIS. DO YOU SEE THAT? 16 17 Α YES. BEAUTIFUL. 18 0 AND RIGHT HERE WE HAVE LEAD. THAT'S PB; RIGHT? 19 RIGHT. Α 20 AND THEY COMPARED THE AVERAGE AMOUNT OF LEAD 0 21 FOUND IN CHILDREN WITH -- AUTISTIC CHILDREN WITH PICA 2.2 VERSUS AUTISTIC CHILDREN WITHOUT PICA TO SEE HOW MUCH 23 LEAD WAS IN THEIR SYSTEMS. DO YOU SEE THAT? 24 YES. 25 Α 26 0 AND HERE IT SHOWS A VERY SLIGHT DIFFERENCE 27 BETWEEN THEM. 28 DO YOU SEE THAT? 207

1 Α YES. 2 0 THEY ARE DEFINITELY NOT STATISTICALLY 3 SIGNIFICANT; RIGHT? NO. AND IF IT WERE STATISTICALLY SIGNIFICANT, 4 Α 5 THEY WOULD HAVE TOLD YOU BECAUSE THEY DID A T TEST FOR PICA VERSUS CONTROLLED. 6 7 Q RIGHT. 8 YEAH. AND THEY SAW THAT FOR ARSENIC AND А 9 URANIUM BUT NOT FOR LEAD. 10 YEAH. IN FACT, FOR ARSENIC CHILDREN WITH PICA 0 HAD STATISTICALLY SIGNIFICANT LESS ARSENIC IN THEIR 11 12 SYSTEM THAN THE NON PICA KIDS. DO YOU SEE THAT? 13 YES. 14 Α 15 Q AND THIS DATA IS OBVIOUSLY JUST ONE STUDY, BUT DOES THIS STUDY ADD TO THE WEIGHT OF EVIDENCE WE'VE 16 ALREADY DISCUSSED REGARDING WHETHER OR NOT PICA IS 17 18 EXPLAINING ALL OF THIS DATA? 19 YES. ABSOLUTELY. Α 20 I MEAN, THIS IS A BRILLIANT APPROACH THAT THESE 21 AUTHORS TOOK BY, YOU KNOW, ASKING ABOUT PICA AND THEN 2.2 DOING EXACTLY THE KIND OF COMPARISON THAT YOU WOULD CALL 23 A SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS TO SEE WHETHER IT IS REALLY PICA 24 THAT INCREASES THE LEVEL OF LEAD VERSUS HAVING NOTHING TO DO WITH THE LEAD LEVELS. 25 26 0 DO YOU THINK IT'S APPROPRIATE, DOCTOR, TO 27 SPECULATE ABOUT POTENTIAL REVERSE CAUSATIONS THAT DON'T 28 HAVE ANY SUPPORT IN THE EVIDENCE?

1 Α WELL, YOU CAN ALWAYS SPECULATE AND YOU CAN 2 ALWAYS OUESTION. AND, YOU KNOW, AS EPIDEMIOLOGISTS WE THAT'S NOT A -- THAT'S NOT A SIN. 3 ALWAYS OUESTION. IT'S ACTUALLY A VIRTUE. BUT YOU THEN USE YOUR DATA TO 4 FIND AN ANSWER. 5 AND THAT'S WHAT THESE AUTHORS HAVE ACTUALLY 6 7 DONE. THEY CONSIDERED THE QUESTION OF PICA. THEY DID 8 THIS SUB ANALYSIS OF PICA CHILDREN VERSUS NON PICA AND 9 THEY GOT THEIR ANSWER AND I REALLY APPLAUD THEM FOR 10 THAT. ALL RIGHT. DOCTOR, I REALLY APPRECIATE GOING 11 0 THROUGH ALL OF THAT. WE'VE COVERED YOUR METHODOLOGY. 12 13 WE'VE COVERED LEAD AND ASD. I DO WANT TO DO A FINAL QUESTION ON THAT AT THE END OF YOUR TESTIMONY. 14 15 I WANT TO QUICKLY COVER LEAD AND ADHD IN THE LAST FEW MINUTES THAT WE HAVE HERE, AND THEN I'LL 16 PROBABLY HAVE YOU COME BACK TOMORROW MORNING AND WE'LL 17 WRAP YOU UP; OKAY? 18 19 Α OKAY. ALL RIGHT. SO HERE'S THE CHART FOR LEAD AND 20 0 21 ADHD THAT WE PUT TOGETHER. DO YOU SEE THAT, DOCTOR? 22 23 Α YES. WHAT IS THE FIRST THING THAT YOU OBSERVED ON 24 0 THIS CHART? 25 26 Α WELL, WE HAVE LOTS OF BLOOD STUDIES AND LOTS OF 27 POSITIVE AND STATISTICALLY SIGNIFICANT RESULTS AND NONE 28 THAT ARE IN THE NEGATIVE STATISTICALLY SIGNIFICANT

1 COLUMN.

AND FROM WHAT I SEE A LOT OF THESE STUDIES ARE 2 3 FROM GOOD OLD COLLEAGUES OF MINE. I SEE HERE THERE'S ALSO QUITE A FEW COHORT 4 0 5 STUDIES. DO YOU SEE THAT? 6 7 Α YES. ABSOLUTELY. UM-HMM. 0 YOU HAVE KANDOR MOLLY, THE HAUS STUDY, THE G 8 9 STUDY, PROBABLY THE KANDOR MOLLY, THE HAU, THE G STUDY. 10 DO YOU HAVE ANY CONCERNS AS IT RELATED TO LEAD AND ADHD OF TEMPORALITY? 11 ABSOLUTELY NOT BECAUSE THERE WERE MULTIPLE 12 А 13 COHORT STUDIES THAT CLEARLY ESTABLISHED TEMPORALITY FOR HAVING BLOOD STAMPS SAMPLES FROM EARLY LIFE, 14 ADHD. 15 DOING MULTIPLE BLOOD SAMPLES ACROSS DIFFERENT PERIODS OF EARLY LIFE AND THEN ASSESSING THE OUTCOME LATER IN LIFE. 16 17 Q WHAT ABOUT CONSISTENCY HERE? DO YOU SEE ANY ISSUES WITH CONSISTENCY HERE? 18 19 NO, THIS IS ONE OF THE MOST CONSISTENT CHAPTERS Α 20 OF RESEARCH THAT YOU CAN IMAGINE. 21 WHY DO YOU SAY THAT? 0 2.2 BECAUSE THERE'S SO MANY STUDIES ALL, YOU KNOW, А 23 DIFFERENT DESIGN TYPES, DIFFERENT TIME PERIODS, DIFFERENT COUNTRIES, DIFFERENT TYPES OF LEAD EXPOSURE 24 FROM A SMELTER COMMUNITY IN AUSTRALIA TO KOREA TO NORTH 25 26 AMERICA TO EUROPE. 27 YOU COULDN'T WISH FOR MORE CONSISTENCY IN THE 28 RESULTS.

Q NOW, DOCTOR, I WANT TO ASK YOU ABOUT A CONCEPT
 IN EPIDEMIOLOGY.
 NOW, YOU UNDERSTAND THAT ADHD IS A DISORDER, A

4 DISEASE OR DISORDER THAT IS VERY HIGHLY ALSO ASSOCIATED 5 WITH ASD; RIGHT?

A IN FACT, THERE'S A SUB TYPE OF ASD THAT HAS7 ADHD FEATURES, YES. IT'S WELL KNOWN.

Q AND WHEN YOU, FROM AN EPIDEMIOLOGICAL
STANDPOINT, WHEN YOU START NARROWING AND SPECIFYING AND
CHARACTERIZING THE DISEASE OUTCOME, AUTISM SPECTRUM
DISORDER IS A PRETTY WIDE SPECTRUM, BUT WHEN YOU START
NARROWING ON A SPECIFIC TYPE OF SUBTYPE, WHAT TYPICALLY
HAPPENS IF THERE IS IN FACT A RISK THERE? WHAT DO YOU
SEE IN THE DATA?

A WELL, IT'S EVEN MORE CONSISTENT BECAUSE IT
TELLS YOU THAT THE SAME BRAIN STRUCTURES THAT, YOU KNOW,
AFFECT AUTISM AND POSSIBLY THE ADHD SUB TYPE OF AUTISM
ALSO AFFECTS THE DISEASE ALONE ADHD BECAUSE IT'S THE
SAME BRAIN STRUCTURES, RIGHT. SO YOU WOULD EXPECT LEAD
TO AFFECT THESE BRAIN STRUCTURES WHETHER THE CHILD ALSO
HAS AUTISTIC FEATURES OR ONLY HAS ADHD.

Q WELL, WHEN DR. HILL TALKED ABOUT DIFFERENT
PERSONS, DIFFERENT PLACES, DIFFERENT CIRCUMSTANCES AND
DIFFERENT TIMES, YOU ALSO HAVE IT HERE IN RELATED
DISEASES, DON'T YOU?

A ABSOLUTELY. SO IF I KNOW THAT CERTAIN BRAIN
STRUCTURES CONTRIBUTE TO DIFFERENT TYPES OF DISEASES,
THEN I WOULD EXPECT TO FIND THE EXPOSURE OR TO SEE THAT

THE EXPOSURE ACTUALLY AFFECTS THESE DIFFERENT TYPES OF
 DISEASES IN SAME WAY.

THE EASIEST EXAMPLE IS CANCER AND SMOKING. 3 WE ALL KNOW THAT CANCER CAUSES -- THAT CANCER OF THE LUNG 4 IS CAUSED BY SMOKING, BUT WE ALSO KNOW THAT IT'S CAUSING 5 CERVICAL CANCER AND BLADDER CANCER, AND SO -- AND, YOU 6 7 KNOW, MOUTH AND THROAT CANCER AND IT'S THE SAME KIND OF 8 PROCESS OF CARCINOGENICITY THAT IS CAUSED BY THE AGENTS 9 AND SMOKING THAT I SEE IN DIFFERENT PARTS OF THE BODY 10 CAUSING THESE DIFFERENT TYPES OF CANCERS, IT'S THE SAME IN THE BRAIN. 11

12 IF LEAD AFFECTS CERTAIN TYPES OF STRUCTURES IN 13 THE BRAIN, MAYBE AT DIFFERENT DEVELOPMENTAL PERIODS OR 14 AT A DIFFERENT LEVEL OR ACCORDING TO DIFFERENT GENETIC 15 SUSCEPTIBILITIES OF THESE INDIVIDUALS, I MAY SEE ONE 16 PHENOTYPE OVER THE OTHER, BUT I WOULD EXPECT TO SEE IT 17 ACROSS DIFFERENT PHENOTYPES THAT ARE ALL AFFECTED BY 18 THIS BRAIN STRUCTURE'S WORKINGS.

Q WELL, THANK YOU VERY MUCH, DOCTOR. I HAVE A
FEW THINGS LEFT THAT I WANT TO COVER. IT SHOULDN'T TAKE
MORE THAN FIVE TO TEN MINUTES, BUT I'VE BEEN GOING
THROUGH MY NOTES, YOUR HONOR, AND SPECIFYING IT AND WILL
STREAMLINE IT, SO I THINK THIS WOULD BE A GOOD TIME TO
BREAK FOR THE DAY.

THE COURT: BUT LET'S TALK ABOUT TOMORROW
BECAUSE I HAVE US ON IN THE AFTERNOON ONLY.
MR. WISNER: THAT'S CORRECT.
THE COURT: OKAY. YOU SAID TOMORROW MORNING

1 WHEN YOU WERE TALKING EARLIER. 2 MR. WISNER: OH, I'M SORRY. THE COURT: OKAY. I JUST WANT TO MAKE SURE 3 THAT WE'RE ALL --4 5 MR. WISNER: WE'RE ALL BLENDING TOGETHER, YES, 6 AT 1:30 TOMORROW, YES. 7 THE COURT: SO I HAVE IT AT 1:30. 8 IS THERE ANY OTHER HOUSEKEEPING OR OTHER 9 BUSINESS WE NEED TO TAKE UP TODAY? 10 MR. IMBROSCIO: JUST ONE FOR ME, YOUR HONOR. THIS IS MR. PETROSINELLI. 11 12 MR. WISNER: SHOULD WE EXCUSE THE WITNESS OR 13 DOES IT MATTER? MR. IMBROSCIO: IT DOESN'T MATTER TO ME. 14 15 MR. WISNER: OKAY. MR. IMBROSCIO: WHATEVER YOU WANT. 16 17 MR. WISNER: ALL RIGHT. MR. IMBROSCIO: TWO QUESTIONS, YOUR HONOR. 18 19 ONE IS NORMALLY IF WE WERE THERE IN PERSON WE 20 WOULD HAVE HANDED YOU, BOTH SIDES, WOULD HAVE HANDED YOU SLIDE DECS OF OUR OPENINGS. WOULD YOU LIKE TO US SEND 21 2.2 YOU THE OPENING SLIDES? 23 THE COURT: SURE. MR. IMBROSCIO: AND THE SECOND QUESTION IS THE 24 DEMONSTRATIVES THAT WERE USED JUST NOW WITH DR. RITZ, 25 26 AGAIN, IF WE WERE IN COURT TOGETHER, I WOULD HAVE BEEN 27 HANDED A COPY OF THOSE. I DON'T HAVE A COPY OF THOSE AND I WONDER WHETHER PLAINTIFF'S COUNSEL COULD SEND 28

1 THOSE TO ME AFTER WE BREAK FOR THE DAY. 2 MR. WISNER: YES. YEAH, I THINK EVERYTHING THE COURT 3 THE COURT: HAS SEEN NEEDS TO GO INTO THE COURT FILE AND NOT JUST 4 5 CASE ANYWHERE OR AN INFORMAL SUBMISSION, WE NEED TO BE TRANSPARENT IN ALL WAYS. 6 7 MR. IMBROSCIO: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. 8 MR. WISNER: SO, YOUR HONOR, I THINK THE PLAN 9 TS --10 THE COURT: YES. MR. WISNER: AND YOU CAN TELL US HOW YOU PREFER 11 12 THIS. 13 SO OUR PROPOSAL WAS WE'RE GOING TO GO THROUGH THIS HEARING WITH ALL THE EXHIBITS, WE'RE GOING TO KEEP 14 15 STUDIOUS NOTES AND AGREEMENTS ON WHAT WAS USED AND WHAT 16 WASN'T, AND THEN WE'RE GOING TO AT THE END OF IT PUT IT 17 ALL TOGETHER BECAUSE THERE'S HUNDREDS OF EXHIBITS, WE'RE 18 NOT GOING TO USE THEM ALL, WHAT'S ACTUALLY USED AND THEN 19 SUBMIT IT TO THE COURT AND FILE IT UNDER THE RECORD. Т 20 THINK THAT'S THE PLAN. IF YOU WANTED TO SEE THEM 21 SOONER, WE COULD HAVE THEM DELIVERED TO YOU IN THE 2.2 MORNING AT THE END OF EACH DAY IF YOU PREFER. IT'S UP 23 TO YOU. 2.4 THE COURT: I DON'T THINK NECESSARY. FROM YOUR 25 EARLIER SUBMISSIONS, I SEE THAT I HAVE MANY OF THE 26 STUDIES. 27 MR. WISNER: RIGHT. 28 THE COURT: AND I COULD FIND IT IF I WERE

1 LOOKING FOR IT AND I DID SKIM THROUGH SOME OF THEM 2 YESTERDAY. THAT SOUNDS FINE TO ME. 3 MR. WISNER: ON THE OTHER ISSUE OF SHARING 4 DEMONSTRATIVES, I THINK PROVIDED WE ALL AGREE TO SHARE, 5 I THINK WE'RE IN GOOD SHAPE, MR. PETROSINELLI. I'LL 6 SHARE MY POWERPOINT AND SEND THEM OVER TO YOU, THAT 7 WOULD BE GREAT. 8 MR. IMBROSCIO: YES, THANK YOU. 9 THE COURT: OKAY. 10 MR. WISNER: SURE. 11 THE COURT: WELL, I APPRECIATE THE WORK THAT 12 WENT INTO THIS AND THE HIGH LEVEL OF ADVOCACY. AND I'LL 13 LOOK FORWARD TO TOMORROW TO SEE YOU AT 1:30. 14 MR. WISNER: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. THANK YOU 15 FOR YOUR TIME. 16 THE WITNESS: THANK YOU. 17 MR. IMBROSCIO: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. 18 THE COURT: THANKS, EVERYBODY. 19 20  $\{\text{TIME NOTED: } 4:33 \text{ P.M.}\}$ 21 2.2 23 24 25 26 27 28 215

1	STENOGRAPHIC REPORTER'S CERTIFICATION			
2				
3	I, JEANESE JOHNSON, CERTIFIED SHORTHAND			
4	REPORTER, OFFICIAL REPORTER PRO TEMPORE, IN AND FOR THE			
5	STATE OF CALIFORNIA, DO HEREBY CERTIFY:			
6	THAT THE FOREGOING PROCEEDINGS WERE			
7	REPORTED STENOGRAPHICALLY BY ME;			
8	THAT THE FOREGOING IS A TRUE RECORD OF THE			
9	PROCEEDINGS TAKEN AT THAT TIME.			
10	I FURTHER CERTIFY THAT I AM NOT ATTORNEY			
11	OR COUNSEL OF ANY OF THE PARTIES, NOR AM I A RELATIVE OR			
12	EMPLOYEE OF ANY ATTORNEY OR COUNSEL OF ANY PARTY			
13	CONNECTED WITH THE ACTION, NOR AM I FINANCIALLY			
14	INTERESTED IN THE ACTION.			
15				
16				
17	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I HAVE SUBSCRIBED MY NAME THIS			
18	3RD DAY OF FEBRUARY, 2022.			
19	( Illy Xm			
20	JEANESE JOHNSON, CSR NO. 11635, CLR			
21	CERTIFIED STENOGRAPHIC REALTIME REPORTER OFFICIAL REPORTER PRO TEMPORE			
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				
		216		

	<b>12</b> 187:23 202:7,	<b>2012</b> 142:16	<b>33</b> 137:23	<b>651</b> 112:25
-	8,9,28 203:24	<b>2014</b> 144:2,10	<b>3:30</b> 170:24	<b>661</b> 129:1
<b>000</b> 6:5	<b>137</b> 56:19	<b>2015</b> 131:18	<b>3RD</b> 216:18	<b>674</b> 114:22,28
105:9	<b>13TH</b> 126:12	<b>2016</b> 25:5,27		
0	<b>140</b> 151:22	146:1 150:20	4	7
	<b>15</b> 112:17 207:8	<b>2017</b> 94:25	<b>4</b> 97:20 102:15	<b>7</b> 6:3 96:15
<b>05</b> 160:24	<b>159</b> 175:3	<b>2019</b> 57:22	<b>40</b> 17:28 32:15	105:4 201:26,28
	15TH 96:2	<b>202</b> 72:3	74:7,26,27	202:4,21,26 203:22 204:15
1	<b>16</b> 122:10	<b>2020</b> 33:25	159:1	205:6
<b>1</b> 74:4 90:1	<b>17</b> 19:11,12	185:27	<b>404</b> 72:2	<b>7/8</b> 204:8
101:9,14 160:7, 9,14 161:6,11,	122:10	<b>2021</b> 36:16	<b>42</b> 17:24 74:19	<b>70</b> 18:7 99:5
21,26 162:1,21,	<b>18</b> 61:16 63:19, 24,28 64:13	<b>2022</b> 6:2 33:13 34:9 105:3	<b>49</b> 144:16	<b>71</b> 66:14 127:23
27 163:18,22	88:5	216:18	<b>4:33</b> 215:21	<b>73</b> 18:7
<b>1,100</b> 72:1	<b>1965</b> 175:10	21STCV22822	5	<b>77</b> 75:5
<b>1.4</b> 162:9,23	181:19	105:1		<b>7TH</b> 49:28
<b>1.423</b> 160:2,7,18	<b>1995</b> 157:11	<b>24</b> 9:17 134:7	<b>5</b> 22:27 38:26 61:24 99:24,25,	
<b>1.5</b> 162:9	<b>1:30</b> 104:5,11 105:7 213:6,7	<b>240</b> 97:19	27 100:6,12,14	8
<b>10</b> 22:26 90:1 97:20 127:24	215:13	<b>24TH</b> 126:12	101:3,25	<b>8</b> 97:19 106:25
97.20 127.24 144:22 158:26		<b>25</b> 20:13,17	<b>50</b> 75:28 143:26	112:24 201:26,
187:23 202:6	2	<b>26TH</b> 126:13	<b>51</b> 112:25	28 202:4,21,26 203:23 204:15
<b>100</b> 23:5 161:7	<b>2</b> 101:20 160:3	<b>27</b> 18:6	<b>55</b> 77:9,13,19	205:6
<b>101</b> 151:19	161:7,10,16,20,	3	92:4	8.8 44:27
171:8	25 162:22,27 163:18,22	<b></b>	<b>57</b> 193:4	80S 186:11
<b>11</b> 202:7,9,28 203:23	<b>20</b> 22:21 157:12	<b>3</b> 115:1 139:21	<b>58</b> 17:20,23 74:7,12,18	200:26
<b>11/12</b> 202:22	158:20 184:15	162:10,22 163:2,14,20,23	<b>593</b> 130:8	<b>83</b> 94:6
204:3	<b>200</b> 161:7	164:14 173:8		<b>87</b> 202:24
<b>110</b> 90:6	<b>2000</b> 184:10	<b>30</b> 22:21,26	6	8:30 47:17
<b>115</b> 90:5	<b>2006</b> 207:8	35:14 63:21 106:21 137:23	<b>6</b> 17:6 142:20	9
<b>11635</b> 105:6	<b>2008</b> 185:26	184:20	187:23	
216:20	<b>2011</b> 66:21	<b>31</b> 6:2 18:9	<b>60</b> 17:28 74:24	9 107:17
<b>11:00</b> 8:8,9,11	71:19 128:27	105:3	79:9 99:5 145:1	<b>95</b> 43:2 159:28
70:13	131:6	<b>32</b> 146:7	<b>635</b> 114:14	160:6,12,16,17, 24

<b>99</b> 160:16	ACADEMY	ACQUIRE 63:24	153:18	AFFECT 89:6
<b>9:28</b> 6:2	33:22 112:18, 22,27	ACQUIRED 63:18	ADHD 7:3 10:25 15:22,23 23:18,	125:8 195:2 198:17 211:17, 20
Α	ACCENT 137:19 ACCEPT 27:25	ACQUISITION 64:22	24 24:7 26:5,11 29:4,5 31:19	AFFECTED
<ul> <li>A.M. 6:2 70:13</li> <li>ABILITY 26:24</li> <li>45:2,18 82:16,</li> <li>20 89:2,10,16,</li> <li>18,26 90:7</li> <li>194:25</li> <li>ABNORMAL</li> </ul>	ACCEPTED 18:20 19:18 27:4 97:23 98:4 132:7,12,15 150:27 151:5,6 173:22 ACCESS 80:6,	ACT 180:25 181:1 ACTION 9:14,16 65:8 145:9 216:13,14 ACTIONS	32:7 36:18 38:2, 23,24 39:14 41:12 91:7 92:27 138:12 149:22 151:4 171:17 205:13 209:15,21 210:11,14	75:13 90:19 148:1 149:9 164:14,15 180:13 212:17 <b>AFFECTING</b> 85:20 86:19 125:4
111:19 123:2 196:26	11	149:10 ACTIVATION	211:3,7,17,18, 21	AFFECTS         21:2           211:18         212:1,12
ABNORMALITIE	ACCOUNT 134:24	117:26 120:21	ADIR 72:14	<b>AFFORD</b> 156:8
<b>S</b> 64:14 83:21 121:10 123:9	ACCOUNTING 131:3	ACTIVE 34:1 113:9	73:13 ADMINISTERED	AFTERNOON 8:3 28:22
ABORTION 164:7	ACCUMULATES	ACTIVELY 59:25	106:19 ADMISSIBLE	105:11,13 132:4 137:3 212:26
ABSENCE 90:4 ABSOLUTE 83:16 102:12	ACCUMULATIN G 184:14 ACCURATE	ACTUAL 20:19 23:2 32:17 43:9 65:14,22 183:3 191:5	31:4 ADOLESCENCE 26:21 61:19	AGE 9:4,28 23:16 24:4 43:14,15 44:2, 27 59:23 61:8,
ABSOLUTELY 41:2,13 115:5	181:27	<b>ACUTE</b> 195:11	ADOS 72:13 73:12,14	11,16,27 62:2,5, 28 63:3,19,28
142:2 143:16 151:6 154:3	ACCUSATIONS 71:6	ADAMS 207:8 ADD 23:14	ADULTHOOD 61:20	64:6,10,13,17 88:4,5,12 102:8
155:12 157:4,19 158:8 161:14 162:19 163:14	ACID 35:28 108:10	161:22 208:16 ADDED 161:27	ADULTS 20:17 195:22	109:3,4,14,18 125:26 128:5 134:1 180:6,8
164:28 165:6,18 166:21,28 173:5	ACKNOWLEDG E 44:18	ADDITION 75:17	ADVANTAGES 155:26	187:23 201:25 202:4,21,27,28 204:3 8 23
182:2 191:16 194:24 195:6 198:7 205:4 208:19 210:7,12	ACKNOWLEDG ED 204:5 ACKNOWLEDG ES 32:10	ADDITIONAL 36:27 82:12 198:22	ADVERSE 69:10 80:18 162:12 188:25	204:3,8,23 205:6 AGENCIES 33:3,4 145:18
211:26 ACADEMIA	ACOG 34:6,10 148:20	ADDRESS 90:10 137:7	ADVOCACY 25:17 215:12	AGENCY 32:25 33:8 48:23
94:22 95:7 98:14 118:16 120:4	ACQUAINTED 171:19	ADDRESSING 84:4 91:22 ADEQUATE	ADVOCATES 25:17 147:23 148:2	184:8 AGENT 132:17 133:19

AGENTS 121:15 133:18 165:10 212:8 AGES 15:7 32:1 43:18 62:1 AGREE 26:9 40:13 70:24 93:9,14,24 97:8 103:8 107:12, 15,26 108:28 112:6,27 113:8, 9 116:28 117:13,17 118:10 121:16 134:19 157:6,7 160:25 194:23, 28 215:4 AGREED 97:13 115:9 AGREEMENT 114:8 127:2 AGREEMENTS 214:15 AGREES 41:24 43:16 47:10 84:9 AHEAD 53:5 54:21 101:28 169:26 AHH 161:21 AIMED 71:23 AIMS 72:5 AIR 23:10 38:11 81:25 142:6,11, 13,17,23 149:28 170:1 178:24,25 185:4	ALBERT 35:1 ALERT 131:4 ALFREDO 47:16 52:16 ALIVE 164:6 ALLEGED 88:4 ALLEGING 11:20 ALLUDED 29:11 112:10 ALPHABET 145:18 ALTER 86:4 ALTERATION 123:25 ALTERATIONS 87:2 95:22 189:6 ALTERCATIONS 95:27 ALTERED 84:23 86:1,15 ALTERNATIVE 7:5 ALTERS 85:5 ALTOGETHER 70:19 ALZHEIMER'S 122:25 AMAZING 20:20 187:26 AMERICA 210:26	114:12,17 148:17 AMOUNT 13:16 16:23 24:12 76:1 133:1 191:13 193:28 198:22 207:20 AMOUNTS 14:22 198:16 AMY 6:3 105:4 136:9 ANALOGY 80:1 ANALYSES 35:2 ANALYSIS 14:5 36:19,20,24 49:20 135:18 164:27 181:19 192:21 193:6 205:1 207:15 208:23 209:8 ANALYTIC 130:15 ANALYTIC 130:15 ANALYTIC 130:20,26 37:15 39:28 50:27 ANALYZED 196:27 202:13 ANALYZES 40:6 ANALYZING 72:2 ANATOMICAL 59:26 ANGELES 6:1	ANGLES 179:27 ANIMAL 12:3 119:19 147:8 164:9 180:26 189:2 ANIMALS 189:23 190:1 201:10 ANIMALS' 189:21 ANNALS 175:12 ANNUAL 144:1 ANOMALIES 125:24 ANSWERING 96:16 ANTICIPATE 69:12 ANTICIPATED 138:7 ANTICIPATION 127:9 ANXIETY 100:3 ANYMORE 15:1 141:16 177:13 199:2 204:12 APOLOGIZE 115:5 APPARENTLY 150:17 APPEAL 11:11 12:4,11,16 13:26 14:4 APPEARANCES 105:5	192:15 APPLAUD 209:9 APPLIED 28:13 172:16 188:16 193:18 APPLIES 187:12 APPLY 15:1 40:9 157:16 163:12 172:14 174:7 APPLYING 39:25 49:16,23 173:25 APPOINTMENT 138:24 APPOINTMENT S 138:19 APPROACH 130:16 157:15 163:10,13 182:1 208:20 APPROACHES 27:10 APPROACHES 27:10 APPROACHING 181:28 APPRIL 9:17 10:7 57:22 ARCHITECTUR E 60:4,25 ARCHIVES 66:18 AREA 11:5 14:11 19:18 20:3 21:10,20
81:25 142:6,11, 13,17,23 149:28 170:1 178:24,25	AMERICA	ANATOMICAL 59:26	12:4,11,16 13:26 14:4 APPEARANCES	66:18 <b>AREA</b> 11:5 14:11 19:18

120:3,8 125:8 142:19 146:27 <b>AREAS</b> 11:4 20:22 65:12 91:9,11 101:18 <b>ARGUE</b> 200:18 <b>ARGUED</b> 64:10 <b>ARGUMENT</b> 15:19 174:24 200:9 <b>ARGUMENTS</b> 93:22 <b>ARISE</b> 206:4 <b>ARORA</b> 36:12, 13,26 115:18 186:18 187:7,9, 10 191:26 206:18 <b>ARRAY</b> 79:23 125:24	ARTIFACT 130:3 ASAD 196:19 ASCERTAINME NT 156:20 ASCHNER 11:2 19:28 28:14 30:1 84:14 ASD 7:3 15:16, 27 16:3,4,6,25 17:2,3 19:2 21:11 22:17,18, 20,21 23:4,7,18 29:4 36:17 38:2, 23,24 43:13 44:28 53:24 55:20 56:17 60:16 61:8,10 62:1,4,5,7,9,11, 12,16,18,20,23 63:8,16 64:3 66:6,8,9 67:13	ASPARTATE 85:22 ASSEMBLE 193:15 ASSEMBLED 178:21 ASSERTION 63:10 ASSESS 152:6, 11,16 171:16 ASSESSED 206:16 ASSESSING 39:18 171:23 173:20 210:16 ASSESSMENT 153:19 173:15 202:15 ASSIMILATING 65:23	80:3 ASSUMPTION 77:25 ATTEND 46:13 144:10 ATTENTION 10:15 11:10 62:28 71:17 91:1 ATTENTIONAL 188:28 191:3 ATTORNEY 216:10,12 ATTRIBUTABLE 75:26 77:20 AUSTRALIA 210:25 AUTHOR 19:12 25:1 45:14 112:5 129:2	32:6,10,12,14, 18,25,27 $33:10,11,16,27$ $34:12,13,17$ $35:2,5,9,13,14,16,21,2436:1,3$ $37:11,12,19,21,23$ $38:16,25$ $39:7,11,1441:11$ $42:22,24,25$ $43:16,21,23,27$ $44:3,9,21,2445:4,8,9,2650:3,7,12,2253:14$ $55:2,556:2,21$ $59:1461:15,24$ $63:5,21$ $64:12,1566:17$ $67:21,23,26$ $71:20,2572:7,9,10,13,14,17,26$ $73:2,4,6,10,11,18$ $74:6,13,18,20,27,2877:3,4,9,14,16$
ARRIVE 19:16 ARRIVING 12:8 ARSENIC 8:28 9:1,18 24:16 29:3 32:6 38:6, 18,22 39:1 85:19,21,28 178:5 193:6 194:5,10 196:7 198:16 208:8, 10,11 ARTICLE 27:21 45:16 69:7,16 128:25 129:2 130:6 175:7 205:15 ARTICLES 69:13 94:5,7 119:18	75:3,12 79:8,10 81:15,19 85:11 89:9 90:11,12, 14 91:14,16,23 95:9,10,12,17 107:14,25 113:6 122:3,19,21 129:27 132:8,20 133:2 138:11 151:4 171:10,17 173:13 181:7 183:20 185:20 188:27 189:8,11 190:10,16,19,22 191:4 192:7,9, 16 196:8 202:17 203:27 209:13 211:5,6 <b>ASKS</b> 107:28 108:4,11,22	ASSISTANT 46:2 140:15 ASSOCIATION 22:24,25,27 25:25 45:25 48:12 93:11,16 100:5 114:12,18 163:17,19,20,23 171:16 173:12, 21 175:15,23 202:20 205:19 ASSOCIATIONS 34:17 93:23 181:8 ASSUME 7:2 92:5 104:12 164:11 ASSUMING 65:24 73:26	AUTHORS 24:28 25:14 36:6,15 48:14 66:25,26 67:17 129:10 146:14 170:7 196:24 204:17 208:21 209:6 AUTISM 9:25 10:6,7,8,17,18, 24 11:18,22,26, 28 12:14,17 14:12,14,17,18 15:3,14,24 16:9 17:12,23,25 18:13,17,20 19:9,19 21:6 22:9,12,25 23:13 25:26 26:5,11,13 27:5 29:20,22 31:18	77:3,4,9,14,16 78:3,28 79:5,20 81:5,24,28 82:3, 17 83:4,7,13,15, 17,26 84:3,25 85:2 86:15,17, 22 87:4,9 88:5, 16,17,18,21 89:1,14,21 92:22 93:17 94:2,10,16,23, 28 95:3,20,23, 24,27 96:1,8,20, 25 97:7,9,24,25 98:3,5,15,17,27 99:9,18,20,23 100:2,16,21 101:12 103:3,5, 9,16,28 105:27, 28 107:25 108:17 110:25 111:20 112:8,23

120.01 140.14	12.20.21.22	78:11	20 16:27 83:3	
138:21 143:14 158:19 167:11	13:20,21,22 19:13,15 24:21		97:9 98:17	<b>CERTIFY</b> 216:5, 10
179:21	26:12 28:27	CATEGORIES	166:25,26	
<b>CAMERA</b> 46:28	29:3 31:24 34:28 35:7 36:5	100:21 101:3,21 CATEGORIZE	168:28 174:14 212:5,10	CERVICAL 212:6
CANCER 13:21	38:13,21 41:10	152:7 204:6	<b>CAUTION</b> 36:27	<b>CETERA</b> 20:15
14:12,14 139:8	42:11,19,22	CATEGORIZING		47:20 155:20
141:24 152:11	43:3,11,22,26	157:18	CDC 16:1 18:26	180:9 199:12
158:23 212:3,4,	44:1,16,17	CATEGORY	19:11 35:20,21	CHALLENGE
6,7	45:21 48:11	35:25 101:9,25	36:2 103:7 107:24 108:26	54:14 174:24
CANCERS	49:28 50:3 57:16 76:19,21		112:15 128:9	CHALLENGES
124:1 212:10	77:24 78:4 79:8	CAUSAL 7:4		63:12
<b>CAPA-</b> 39:6	83:5 88:13,25	23:17 36:23 171:16 173:21	<b>CDC'S</b> 15:10,11	CHANCE 27:8
<b>CAPABLE</b> 6:18,	91:23 98:20	179:22 200:17	106:23	49:9 56:28
19 41:10 85:20	105:1,2 116:9	206:15	CELEPROCTOS	68:14 161:10
	134:8 145:13,24		<b>IS</b> 120:21	199:10 200:4
<b>CAPTURE</b> 153:16	147:15 149:4	CAUSALITY 93:23 173:15,28	CELL 86:3 87:2,	<b>CHANGE</b> 183:1,
	154:6,9 155:10,	192:24 203:10	15 123:2 147:10	10
CARCINOGENIC	11,19,27		<b>CELLS</b> 60:10	
ITY 212:8	156:10,20,27 157:1,16,25		65:3,11,16	CHANGED
CARDIOVASCU	160:1,25 164:13	29:2,21,26 30:3 32:3 36:8,9,28	124:5	102:17 184:15
LAR 124:2	165:12 166:7,	39:19 40:7,15	CELLULAR	CHANGING
166:5,8	10,23 168:3,9	41:3 44:7,12,13,	87:1	84:6 179:3
<b>CARE</b> 99:6	172:25,26	19 48:25 84:14	<b>CENTER</b> 35:11,	CHAPTERS
137:9 141:23	178:26 180:11	91:13,16,20	13 94:28	210:19
147:14	185:13 187:3	117:10 162:16	138:21,23	CHARACTERIS
<b>CAREER</b> 95:7	193:1,12,18,24	165:16,19,20	145:22	TIC 39:7 205:18
140:3 141:3	197:6 199:14 207:2,3 214:5	191:15 192:20	CENTERED	CHARACTERIS
CAREERS 11:4		199:20 201:5	143:21	TICS 44:22
	CASES 10:14	CAUSATION/		103:27
<b>CAREFUL</b> 50:23 135:11 198:27	11:9,11,13	NEED 37:4	<b>CENTERS</b> 35:9	CHARACTERIZ
	22:20 50:5,13,	CAUSATIONS	156:18 184:12, 13	ATION 61:4
CAREFULLY	16,17 61:14,17 63:22 64:13,19	208:27		_
199:22 200:6	73:3 79:11,13	<b>CAUSED</b> 6:23,	CENTRAL	
CARING 147:28	83:1 89:13	27,28 29:22	159:23 160:2	ATIONS 61:1
<b>CART</b> 143:14	154:10,15,16,	35:16 44:14,15	195:2	CHARACTERIZ
144:1 205:20	17,28 155:8,9	50:7 82:23	<b>CEREAL</b> 199:24	E 21:6 59:14
<b>CASE</b> 6:26 7:2	156:16 161:7	86:27 98:27	CERTIFICATION	156:15
8:22,25 9:10,20,	193:20,21	133:17 162:18	216:1	CHARACTERIZ
26,27 11:11,17,	198:23	165:21 212:5,8	CERTIFIED	ED 62:18
19 12:1,11,12	CATEGORICAL	CAUSING 6:18,	156:19 216:3,21	

		I	i	
CHARACTERIZI NG 211:10 CHARGE 81:24 91:17 CHARGED 57:14 CHART 183:11, 12 199:13 209:20,25 CHECKLIST 40:13 173:24 CHERS 170:18 CHEMICAL 26:22 CHEMICALS 26:2 79:2 149:16,19 CHEW 168:8 CHEWING 167:16 CHIEF 72:5 CHILD 11:19 14:20,22,26 15:5 16:6,19 24:3 25:23 43:15 52:5 54:26 56:5 62:24,26 83:22 84:2 101:23 102:23 103:1 111:14 125:5 129:15 164:6 197:25 202:18 206:20 211:20 CHILD'S 26:24 CHILDHOOD 20:21,28 21:8 23:16 26:20 36:18 43:19	60:27 61:19 84:23 85:1 89:27 173:13 188:23 192:3 CHILDREN 9:12 15:13,20 16:20, 22 17:1,2,12 20:11 23:3,7,13 25:16 32:1 34:8 43:12 44:21,23 45:18 50:12,20 53:13 55:2,5,21, 26 63:21,22 64:11,15 66:5 78:8 81:26 82:3, 20 83:17,25 86:15 87:9 89:1, 9,13,21 95:28 99:21 103:8 107:24 108:27 110:25 148:9 149:6 164:15 166:16,25 167:13,25 169:5,16 172:17 177:22,23 180:5,7 186:10, 13 187:21 188:6,14,18 193:7,16,19 195:21 196:8,11 198:5,11,12,15 201:25,28 204:2,4,18 207:12,21,22 208:10 209:8 CHILDREN'S 33:24 34:22 37:22 147:14, 15,22 CHILDRENS 56:5 CHIPS 44:25	111:14 CHOOSE 172:10 CHRISTIAN 46:3,24 CHROMOSOMA L 108:5 CINCINNATI 20:10 146:20 CIRCUIT 49:28 CIRCULAR 15:2 CIRCUMSTANC E 180:1 CIRCUMSTANC ES 62:25,27 66:1 175:25 177:1 178:11, 13,20 211:23 CITATION 17:5 19:11 134:7 CITE 20:10 33:1 94:4 115:22 134:7 CITED 40:5 49:26 50:5,13 79:4 94:6 115:18,19 120:16 122:9 127:12 CITES 134:5 CITIES 201:25 202:19 CLAIM 11:19 91:18 98:25,26 131:1,15	CLAIMING 50:11 CLAIMS 6:27,28 CLARIFICATION S 124:24 CLARIFIED 113:22 CLARIFY 6:12 CLASSICALLY 21:2 CLASSROOM 165:24 CLEAR 12:18 24:20 63:6 93:2, 9 96:19 110:4 114:1,17 119:25,27 121:6 123:28 126:4,14 130:20 150:16 174:1 181:21 206:12 207:5 CLERK 52:8,10, 18,21,28 53:4 136:7,12,15,21, 25 CLEVE 20:9 CLIENT 9:24,25 CLEVE 20:9 CLIENT 9:24,25 CLEVE 20:9 CLIENT 9:24,25 CLINIC 94:20 154:14 178:15, 18 CLINIC 94:20 154:14 178:15, 18 CLINICAL 41:22,26 42:3,6 55:4,20,27 56:1 61:14 84:1 99:6 103:24 105:28 147:14	CLINICALLY 72:11 73:17 100:27 102:22 CLINICIAN 93:2 94:19 97:15 CLINICIANS 83:24 98:3 CLOSE 10:15 21:26 CLOSELY 83:14 190:5 CLOSER 9:14 161:11 163:5 169:2 199:28 204:7 CLR 216:20 CLUE 190:4 CO- APPOINTMENT 143:20 CO-AUTHOR 95:21 CO-AUTHOR 95:21 CO-AUTHOR 95:21 CO-AUTHORS 145:5 CO-TWINS 22:21 COEH 145:22 COFFEE 165:24,25,27 166:6,10 COGNITION 118:21 COGNITIVE 65:2 89:26 90:20 COHORT 42:11, 13,15,17,21 71:26 143:3
	CHIPS 44:25	131:1,15		

		1	1	
152:4,5,12,28 153:27 154:12 155:11,16,17,28 156:3,22,25 157:2 172:25 185:13 187:2 201:16 203:14 206:20,21 207:4 210:4,13 COHORTS 72:1 COINCIDES 64:23 COLLABORATE D 95:2 COLLEAGUES 143:21 149:2,5 174:2 201:23 210:3 COLLECT 72:6 156:4,5 183:8 COLLECT 72:6 156:4,5 183:8 COLLECTING 183:3 COLLECE 25:21 34:5 146:21 148:17 COLORS 184:23 COLUMN 210:1 COMBINATION 135:7 COMBINE 206:25 COMBINED 130:17 COMMENT 67:28 COMMERCIALL Y 42:4	COMMITTED 14:3 COMMON 15:16 23:19 41:4 48:5 77:8 121:3,12 194:21 COMMONLY 120:16 COMMUNICATE 14:25 65:4,12 149:2 COMMUNICATI NG 52:14 149:3 COMMUNICATI ON 65:15 100:24 101:15, 19 103:16 127:2 COMMUNITIES 148:3 168:10, 14,17 186:8,13 COMMUNITY 11:25,27 13:13 18:21 19:19 25:26 26:13 27:4 32:23,24 50:3,22 97:24 98:2,10 128:26 132:8,16 139:1 148:6 150:25 168:12,13 210:25 COMPANY 11:20 COMPARE 21:25 169:11 176:2 193:20 COMPARED 22:10 159:14 165:28 195:22 196:9 202:12 207:20	COMPARING         22:17 155:7         COMPARISON         182:3,4 208:22         COMPELLED         180:28         COMPENDIUM         100:1         COMPLETED         56:11         COMPLETELY         39:12 73:15         COMPLETING         56:10         COMPLEX         13:20 40:7         183:12         COMPONENT         66:6,8 83:2         134:27         COMPONENTS         101:10,13         142:12 143:24         158:22         COMPOSED         86:3         COMPOSITION         86:4         COMPOUNDS         38:11         COMPRISED         59:8         COMPRISES         57:12 59:18         COMPULSIVE         110:28	CONCENTRATE D 142:7 CONCENTRATI ONS 196:26 198:8 202:26 CONCEPT 64:2 165:15,17 211:1 CONCEPTS 151:21 CONCEPTUALL Y 9:8 CONCERN 58:9 113:25 CONCERNED 70:27 84:2 203:9 CONCERNS 83:26 84:4 191:15 210:10 CONCLUDE 41:3 75:14 161:23 180:18 CONCLUDED 32:26 48:24,27 201:4 CONCLUDES 192:13 CONCLUDES 192:13 CONCLUSION 12:8 23:16 40:21 75:22 113:7,12 129:25 130:6,9,13 131:17 162:15 179:22 191:28 194:14 202:25 CONCLUSION- ORIENTED 40:22 CONCLUSION- ORIENTED 40:22	12:20 13:8 14:7 20:26 28:16 34:2 37:17 50:28 174:8,20 191:6 197:7 <b>CONCORDANC</b> E 17:10,19,28 18:6 22:11 72:25,28 73:1 74:7,11,23 75:4, 8,24 76:8,9 94:5 <b>CONCORDANT</b> 130:3 <b>CONDITION</b> 6:18 133:20 <b>CONDITIONS</b> 62:20 83:14 100:2 108:5 123:10 125:15 <b>CONDUCT</b> 120:2 169:3 <b>CONDUCTED</b> 119:28 <b>CONDUCTED</b> 119:28 <b>CONDUCTED</b> 119:28 <b>CONFER 83:13</b> <b>CONFER 83:13</b> <b>CONFER 83:13</b> <b>CONFERENCE</b> 96:27 <b>CONFERENCE</b> 96:27 <b>CONFERENCES</b> 97:4 <b>CONFIDENCE</b> 159:28 160:1,7, 12,20,24 161:8 162:1,6,9 163:7 <b>CONFIDENT</b> 172:28 176:23 <b>CONFIRMATIVE</b> 161:25
				161:25
<b>Y</b> 42:4	196:9 202:12		CONCLUSIONS	101.25
		110:28	CONCLUSIONS	
	207:20			
		1	1	1

	1	I	1	1
75:15 91:22 130:21 135:16 138:11,12 142:25 143:2,4 153:17 155:28 156:4,5 157:24 162:20 167:24 168:26 169:22 172:21 174:16 177:13,14 180:27 182:18 183:3,8,9,20 184:14,17 201:5,11 206:26 208:15,18 209:4 211:14 DATED 33:11 DAUBERT 50:15 DAVIS 25:9 67:6 146:20 DAY 9:26 10:7 12:23 22:15 28:8 29:14 100:14,17 114:3,4 143:27 172:9 212:24 214:1,22 216:18 DAYS 59:1 68:3, 21 126:13 191:23 DEAL 95:24 163:28 179:13 DEAL 95:24 163:28 179:13 DEALING 68:19 89:22 96:24 DECADE 147:7 DECADES 163:26 DECEMBER 96:2 126:12	DECIDE 13:12 37:20 DECIDES 37:22 DECISION 11:11 12:16,21, 26 DECISIONS 11:14 DECORUM 69:2 DECREASED 39:5 45:2 82:20 103:6 DECREASING 179:3 186:6 DECS 213:21 DEDICATED 33:24 34:21 DEFECTIVE 14:25 DEFECTS 21:6 172:18 DEFENDANT 6:28 69:10 DEFENDANTS 11:22 12:12 13:28 28:21,25 54:8 60:15 68:14 69:22 71:11 90:9 DEFENDANTS' 71:5 165:14 DEFENSE 29:15 69:25 87:19 91:12 92:2 181:21 DEFENSE'S 30:10	DEFERS 30:6 DEFICIENCIES 112:4 188:24 190:14 192:4 DEFICIT 38:26 DEFICITS 39:13 45:9 55:21 100:24 101:19 111:28 DEFINE 49:3 62:10 139:5 DEFINED 59:20 100:5 167:15 DEFINITION 48:4,5,6 49:16 61:24,27 62:14 73:11,16 97:22 103:5 181:22 DEFINITIONS 72:25 73:10 DEGREE 55:8, 10 65:27 83:7 87:4 140:9 165:5 DELAY 102:27 206:15 DELETERIOUS 19:26 86:28 DELIVERED 214:21 DELVE 57:25,26 DEMOGRAPHIC 55:25 DEMONSTRATE 129:26 DEMONSTRATE 129:26	130:16 189:3 DEMONSTRATE S 173:11 DEMONSTRATI VE 151:20 DEMONSTRATI VES 213:25 215:4 DENMARK 143:1,4,10 DEPARTMENT 6:3 67:4 95:6 105:4 DEPEND 87:12 90:21 135:10 DEPENDENT 65:23 83:9 DEPENDING 8:5 63:20 90:1 145:1 197:27 DEPENDS 61:12 79:28 113:13 124:4 157:3 197:23 DEPOSE 68:7, 14 DEPOSED 49:2 59:8 68:7 96:3 DEPOSITION 49:8 58:27 59:2 70:8 71:4 97:19, 28 98:19 99:5 107:4 110:3 114:13,15 115:10 132:26 DEPOSITIONS 69:24 DEPRESSION 100:3	DEPTH 84:15 85:9 DESCRIBE 124:10,17 140:7 169:6 171:15 201:19 DESCRIBES 67:20 DESCRIBING 187:8 DESCRIPTION 135:12,13 DESIGN 155:25 156:2 178:15 188:9 210:23 DESIGNATED 138:25 DESIGNATED 67:25 106:15,16 131:14 155:18 DESIGNS 48:10 128:14 155:26 159:4 176:18 185:10,13,14, 19,23,24 DESPERATE 50:22 DESTRUCTION 87:5 DETAIL 100:9 124:22 DETAIL 100:9 124:22 DETAILED 188:13 191:12 DETERMINE 12:27 72:19 73:21 155:3,8 168:23,27
		1	1	

DETERMINES         19 61:22.25         DIAGNOSTIC         74:21 94:17         135:17           77:18         62:22 64:22         38:27 39.7 63:2,         111:18 117:12         DISCOUNTED           9DETOXIFY         172:18 187:17         188:26 190:15         92:6 400:1         126:2 137:1         99:26 100:1           77:16 81:27         122:56,15         212:13         DIAGRAM 14:19         01.82 00:15         01.82 00:12           76:46 44:290:22         10:18 18:13,17         01.82 00:25:55         DIFFER 73:7         DIFECTOR         DISCOVERED           23:4 42:24:26         DIAGNOSED         15:21 17:23         15:15 130:18 20:25:5         DIFFER 73:7         111:14 167:16         DISCUSSED           16:21 72:23:18         43:21 61:9,10         62:12 47:32,3         76:13 82:4         99:18 134:14         19:22 22:17         19:32 137:01         19:22 12:12           0:VELOPING         15:21 17:23         75:23 76:4         05:22 17:12         07:62 08:17         19:32 137:11         19:22 12:12           0:11 101:15         17:8:18 99:9,         99:18 134:14         19:22 22:17         07:62 08:17         19:21 23:23:24 14:6:11           0:22 27 61:22         16:02         76:23 76:4         19:22 09:72         15:21 13:23:23:24 14:6:11         19:22 24:17:23         19:22 24:17:23 <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th>					
	77:18 DETERMINING 78:6 DETOXIFY 45:19 DEVELOP 16:25 42:22 60:11 77:16 81:27 82:4 84:2 90:22 107:14 135:8 158:4 DEVELOPED 23:4 42:24,26 DEVELOPING 9:4 19:2,7 23:18 63:23 87:12 91:11 101:15 108:17 134:16 DEVELOPMENT 11:22 26:11,20, 22 33:18 41:11, 12 43:27,28 57:15 59:22,25 60:22,27 63:12 64:8 65:20 78:27 82:7,9,25 83:19 85:6 86:24 89:7 90:11 94:1 100:26 102:18 115:26 118:19, 20 122:19,28 124:5,20 125:12,14,27 13:9 148:10 173:13 187:21 189:4 190:26 191:19 196:13 204:27 205:22 DEVELOPMENT	62:22 64:22 66:11 97:5 102:5,27 125:4, 8 132:18,19 172:18 187:17 188:26 190:15 192:5,6,15 212:13 <b>DEVELOPS</b> 10:18 18:13,17 63:14 90:24 158:4 191:21 <b>DIAGNOSED</b> 15:21 17:23 43:21 61:9,10 62:1,24 73:2,3, 17 88:18 99:9, 12 154:13,16 155:5 156:16 164:17 166:7,17 188:3,6 202:2 <b>DIAGNOSES</b> 36:18 99:13 <b>DIAGNOSING</b> 156:20 <b>DIAGNOSIS</b> 61:18 71:25 72:7,9,10,11,17, 19,21 74:13,18, 19,27,28 75:11, 12 76:1,2,16 77:4,16,19 78:7, 10,11 81:24 83:12 85:7 90:15 99:22 100:22 101:12 102:4 103:24 105:27,28 143:6 152:13 153:25	38:27 39:7 63:2, 3 72:13,14 99:26 100:1 103:22 156:24 DIAGRAM 14:19 37:2 DIET 110:12,20 168:20 205:23 DIETARY 104:21 189:10, 15 190:8 205:25 DIFFER 73:7 DIFFERENCE 10:1 63:11,13 75:23 76:4 78:13 82:4 99:18 134:14 170:11 198:28 199:6 207:26 DIFFERENCES 42:23 79:16 80:20 85:15 112:9 163:21 DIFFERENTIAL 81:21 82:15 91:9 DIFFERENTLY 111:8 198:5,12 DIFFERENTLY 111:8 198:5,12 DIFFICULT 69:26 DIFFICULTY 91:6 DIG 27:9 183:15 DIG 27:9 183:15 DIG 27:9 183:15	111:18 117:12 126:2 137:1 186:16 DIRECTIONS 41:18 DIRECTLY 37:26 76:3 91:3 DIRECTOR 25:10 27:1 53:15 145:4 DIRT 44:25 111:14 167:16 DISABILITIES 9:6 53:14 DISABILITY 15:22 25:17 89:22,24 101:2 102:26 147:23 151:2 188:27 DISABLED 90:6 DISADVANTAG ES 155:26 DISADVANTAG ES 155:26 DISAGREE 14:6 70:3 113:11 DISAGREEMEN T 92:19 DISCIPLINE 180:26 DISCIPLINE 147:2 DISCLAIMS 29:25 30:5 DISCORDANCE 17:11 18:1,5,6 22:9 DISCORDANT	$\begin{array}{l} \textbf{DISCOUNTED}\\ 90:11\\ \hline \textbf{DISCOVER}\\ 200:11\\ \hline \textbf{DISCOVERED}\\ 24:27\\ \hline \textbf{DISCOVERY}\\ 31:23\\ \hline \textbf{DISCUSS} \ 10:13\\ 118:26 \ 126:5,7\\ 145:7 \ 196:18\\ \hline \textbf{DISCUSSED}\\ 118:24 \ 127:26\\ 137:10 \ 193:1\\ 207:6 \ 208:17\\ \hline \textbf{DISCUSSING}\\ 53:23,24 \ 146:11\\ \hline \textbf{DISCUSSION}\\ 6:21 \ 41:5 \ 53:19\\ 80:25 \ 104:22\\ 126:18 \ 127:25\\ 143:11 \ 148:16\\ 150:23 \ 194:15, 16\\ 195:18\\ 204:18\\ \hline \textbf{DISCUSSIONS}\\ 27:12\\ \hline \textbf{DISCUSSIONS}\\ 27:12\\ \hline \textbf{DISCUSSIONS}\\ 27:12\\ \hline \textbf{DISCUSSIONS}\\ 27:12\\ \hline \textbf{DISCUSSIONS}\\ 14,15,17 \ 141:7, 27 \ 145:23\\ 152:23 \ 154:2,5\\ 158:4,5 \ 159:14, 17,20 \ 161:2\\ 166:5,8 \ 168:28\\ 180:4,5,7,8\\ 205:23,24\\ 206:13 \ 211:4, \\ \end{array}$

EASIER 139:18	131:2 162:4,12	EMOTION 91:6	63:26 167:2,3	9,14,15 56:25
EASIEST 212:3	164:8,24 177:22	EMOTIONAL	ENGAGED	57:17,18 60:12
<b>EASILY</b> 188:4	180:2,3 181:13 182:9,12,13	91:1 101:14	28:11 56:1	65:23 66:6,8,10, 16 67:25 71:24
194:25 197:23	183:7 198:25	EMPLOY 31:7	ENGAGING	73:22,26,28
198:3	204:10	EMPLOYEE	158:20	75:16 76:18,20,
EAT 32:1 42:4	EFFECTS 20:1	216:12	ENGLISH 130:7	26 77:8,13,21,
44:24 110:15,18	21:8 38:19,23	<b>ENCEPH</b> 195:11	ENROLL 188:4	23,26 78:13,16, 23 79:1,28 80:6,
111:20	87:1 91:9	ENCEPHALOGR	ENROLLED	20 81:4,14,22,
<b>EATEN</b> 110:10	119:18,27 129:27,28	AM 195:10	161:6 188:6	23 83:3,9 84:9,
EATING 9:13	131:12 141:19	ENCEPHALOPA	201:24 204:19	21 87:24,28
45:10 110:26,	163:16 189:3	THY 195:12	ENROLLING	109:11,16,19, 24,25 113:4,17
27,28 111:3,9, 19	203:26 204:23	ENCODING	72:1	115:24,26 116:1
	EFFICIENT	65:22	ENSURING	121:4,9 127:26,
EATS 111:14	154:10,14 156:2	ENCOMPASSES	125:20	28 128:4
ECOLOGICAL 185:15	EFFORT 156:1,	59:21,24 73:17	ENTER 114:3	129:24,28 130:2 131:2,12
	14,19	ENCOUNTER	ENTIRE 11:4	131.2,12
ECOLOGICALL Y 23:10	EFFORTS 203:1	158:14	35:9 80:5	135:10 138:22
	EINSTEIN 35:1	ENCOUNTERIN	ENTIRETY	139:2,4,10,15
EDIT 33:12	ELECTRONICAL	<b>G</b> 158:13	174:18	140:17 142:4 143:8 144:23
EDITED 33:13	LY 106:20	ENCOURAGE	ENVIRONMENT	145:3,10,14,16,
<b>EDITOR</b> 172:7,	ELECTROTHER	145:9	10:19 18:23	19,21,23,26
14	<b>APY</b> 97:2	END 28:8 30:4	38:9 56:21 71:7	146:28 147:6
EDUCATE	ELEMENTAL	76:28 113:27	76:22,23 80:11 81:19 83:22	151:1 155:14 178:2,26 189:9,
149:8	192:8	114:2 133:22 135:20 138:11	84:5,7 87:25	178.2,20 189.9,
EDUCATED	ELEMENTS	153:17 158:10	124:11 134:23	ENVIRONMENT
140:22 155:13 165:2 182:22	188:24 190:14,	159:28 174:5	144:12 145:24	AL/40 79:9
	25 191:2 196:10,27 197:1	196:22 198:27	153:14 158:13, 19 168:4 176:10	ENVIRONMENT
EDUCATION 139:25 140:12		204:21 209:14	184:6,7 186:5	<b>S</b> 168:6 176:20
	ELEVATED 167:26 185:20	214:16,22	188:11,12	186:6
EDUCATIONAL 25:23 148:23		<b>ENDED</b> 72:1,2	190:5,8 194:22	<b>EPI</b> 16:13,15
	EMBRYONIC 26:19	ENDOCRINE	198:9 205:27 206:2	92:25 138:6
<b>EFFECT</b> 19:27 27:25,28 28:7		25:24		151:19 155:18
32:19 34:19	EMERGE 88:6	ENDORSE	<b>ENVIRONMENT</b> <b>AL</b> 16:7,11,15	164:20 171:8
39:2 65:26		25:20 148:12	18:24 25:3	EPIDEMIOLOGI
86:12 89:8	64:7 86:21	<b>ENERGY</b> 87:3	32:11,21 33:17,	<b>C</b> 55:25 79:4 93:20 176:16
90:10 104:17 124:9 127:4	EMINENTLY 174:7	ENGAGE 14:5	19,27 34:11	180:27
	1/4./		35:23,26 38:1,6,	

<b>EPIDEMIOLOGI</b> <b>CAL</b> 14:1,6 25:14 42:9	34:19 ERROR 14:3 ESFANDIARY	ETHNIC 15:15 ETHNICITIES 177:23	88:24 93:19,20 108:16 113:23 166:23 179:10,	EXCLUSIVELY 172:3 EXCRETE 16:21
55:19 91:22 93:11,16 140:25	51:9,16,17,18,	ETHNICITY	13 180:17,19 196:25 197:10,	45:13 82:21
166:23 173:10 211:8	21,24 53:6 54:4, 9,22 58:10,24	79:25 ETIOLOGIC	11 201:8 208:16,28	EXCUSE 116:13 213:12
EPIDEMIOLOGI ST 93:3,5 138:23 139:8,9 142:1 159:8 162:2 164:28 172:12 197:5	59:1,5,13 61:2,7 68:10,13 71:3, 13 78:21 81:2 104:10 106:24, 27,28 113:20 114:2 126:28 129:4 131:8,26	123:11 143:23 ETIOLOGICAL 64:3 150:26 189:11,16 ETIOLOGIES	EVIDENCED 61:15 EVIDENT 134:23 EXACT 22:15	EXECUTIVE 14:24 21:3 90:20 91:2 EXERCISE 39:21,24,26,27 70:25
206:27 EPIDEMIOLOGI	132:2 133:21 135:19,27 136:3	67:13 ETIOLOGY	191:8 EXAMINATION	EXERCISED
<b>STS</b> 26:28 28:14 29:27 30:6 40:8	<b>ESSENTIAL</b> 116:18 190:14	66:6,8 85:11 130:17 192:8,16	51:23 58:22,23 68:17 69:11,15	36:27 EXERCISING
44:11 139:5 144:11 147:3 155:14 157:20	<b>ESSENTIALLY</b> 67:24 72:20	EUROPE 79:21 186:12 210:26	92:1,13 104:14 132:1 137:1	39:18 EXHAUSTIVE
160:25 162:20 174:6,19 209:2	116:12 119:13 120:28 122:7	EVALUATE 145:11 157:7 174:18	EXAMINE 69:25 70:9 71:23 72:24	106:17 EXHIBIT 19:11 56:19 64:15,16
EPIDEMIOLOGY 12:2 30:5 55:23 138:24 139:3,4,	ESTABLISH 43:7 44:6 93:11, 16,18	EVALUATING 31:9	EXAMPLES 26:1 33:1 40:10 149:15,19	66:13,14 97:19 112:24 114:14, 22,28 127:21,23
11,27 140:19,22 141:3 142:4 144:1,7 146:28	ESTABLISHED 19:26 36:25 37:5 41:23	EVALUATION 63:2,3,6 171:26 174:5	EXCEPTIONS 43:6	129:1 139:21 146:7 151:22 173:8 175:3
151:1,3 157:9 165:5 171:20,26 173:23 175:12	98:10 113:18 129:5 190:18	EVALUATIONS 179:22	EXCESS 192:4 195:8	193:4 202:24 205:10 207:8
176:6 178:2 182:22 211:2	192:14 203:25 210:13	<b>EVENT</b> 127:8	EXCITING 151:10,14	EXHIBITS 113:26 114:4
EPILEPSY	ESTABLISHES 152:18	EVENTS 190:23 EVERYBODY'S	EXCLUDE 131:11 161:10	214:14,17 EXIST 64:14
123:7,16 EQUAL 198:22	ESTIMATE	113:25	EXCLUDED	156:13 183:27
EQUALIZE	79:15 127:13 159:11,12,13, 22 24 160:2 18	<b>EVIDENCE</b> 7:5, 7,8 13:16 15:25	13:7 40:6 51:3 202:3	EXISTING 142:16
134:23 EQUALLY 28:6	23,24 160:2,18, 20 161:28 162:7	19:1 24:15 27:24,27 29:1,9	EXCLUDES 145:24 160:13	EXOGENOUS 65:26
<b>EQUATE</b> 105:26	ESTIMATES 130:25 160:27	31:2,9 32:5,7 41:22 42:8	EXCLUDING	<b>EXPECT</b> 21:11
EQUATED		48:19 69:24	160:7	49:14,18 54:10

$161:12 \ 165:4$ $173:21 \ 185:18$ $192:14 \ 199:17$ $200:10 \ 206:15$ $208:10 \ 211:6,13$ <b>FACT-FINDER</b> $7:6$ <b>FACTOR</b> $6:16$ , $20 \ 23:17 \ 35:26$ $40:21 \ 41:1,2,6$ $48:2,28 \ 49:2,14$ $78:6 \ 79:1 \ 82:10$ $83:7 \ 108:26$ $109:20,24 \ 113:1$ $114:19 \ 115:10$ , $11 \ 135:6 \ 150:26$ $174:27,28$ <b>FACTORS</b> $16:1,$ $5,7,12 \ 18:28$ $27:13,21 \ 28:13$ $32:11,21 \ 33:17$ , $28 \ 35:23,25$ $38:1,6,9,15$ $40:13,19,20,26$ $49:24 \ 55:26$ $65:19,26 \ 66:16$ $73:22,27,28$ $75:16,26 \ 76:3,$ $17,18,20 \ 77:8,$ $13,21,23,26$ $78:13,16,23$ $79:4,28 \ 80:9,13,$ $22 \ 81:4,14$ $84:21 \ 106:23$ $107:13 \ 109:11,$ $16,21 \ 113:5,18$ $115:25 \ 116:1,5$ $127:26,28 \ 128:3$ $129:24 \ 133:28$ $145:10,11$ $173:4,18 \ 174:7,$ $22 \ 176:21$ $189:11,17$ $205:11 \ 206:6$	<ul> <li>FACTS 28:5 191:20</li> <li>FACTUALLY 59:5</li> <li>FACULTY 56:12 138:24,26</li> <li>FAIR 46:20 57:5 59:14,17 62:12 70:4 72:19 74:2 86:16 93:25 94:22 97:14 100:19 101:3 102:11 103:8,25 106:18 107:8 109:22 110:25 111:11 116:18 118:14 121:28 124:15 127:8 144:5 148:7 157:1 164:22 169:19 200:15</li> <li>FAIRLY 143:26 183:12</li> <li>FAIRNESS 70:28</li> <li>FAITH 201:9</li> <li>FAITHFUL 12:28</li> <li>FALL 35:25</li> <li>FALL 35:25</li> <li>FALLS 69:3</li> <li>FAMILIAR 63:15 100:13 102:16 103:15,18 106:11 118:3 127:11,15 129:12 138:8 143:15 145:17 151:26 165:17 166:20 167:10</li> </ul>	173:3 FAMILIARITY 143:17 FAMILIES 98:3 110:18 148:2 149:5 FAMILY 107:28 109:20 110:15 FASCINATED 141:6 FASCINATING 194:13 FAST 27:24 195:27 FATAL 44:15 FATHER 129:14,15 FATHER'S 109:4 FAULT 157:21 174:15 FAVORITE 184:8 FDA 9:13 FEATURE 123:13 FEATURES 175:21 211:7,21 FEBRUARY 8:26 9:11 216:18 FED 104:19 FEDERAL 33:8 50:16 FEED 164:12 FEEDING 176:11	FELLOWSHIP 56:11 140:21 FELT 61:27 100:4 FEMALE 74:23 FEMALES 17:27 73:7 FETAL 26:19 188:23 FETUSES 164:15 195:20 FIDO 196:18 200:27 FIELD 37:19 49:22 55:19 57:15 187:11 FIELDS 57:9 141:18 FIGHTING 150:24 FIGURE 31:12 128:26 FIGURED 121:3 142:2 166:2 FIGURES 7:6 FILE 214:4,19 FILE 9:26 11:22 FILING 50:10 FILE 156:9 FILON 186:22 192:28 193:6 200:27 FINAL 39:16 123:22 209:13 FINALLY 10:26 11:2 13:19	24:15 27:6 31:5 34:3 36:4 37:6 102:25 108:26 112:8 171:24 189:26 FINANCIALLY 216:13 FIND 22:13 44:27 50:23 69:3 73:27 149:6 155:2 157:21 160:1 186:15 188:3 200:1,6 209:5 211:28 214:28 FINDING 81:12 154:15 199:20 FINDINGS 81:25 131:5 FINE 21:3 54:12, 13 129:19 215:2 FINISH 53:25 88:26 90:17 205:13 FINISHING 170:22 FIRM 34:2 113:7,11 FIT 174:4 FITS 172:1 FIVE-MINUTE 70:16 135:27 170:21 FLAGGED 31:1 FLAME 149:28 FLASHED 35:21 FLAWS 14:2 FLESH 66:12
---	---	--	--	--

83:13 95:17	GENTLEMEN	72:4 92:15	GROUNDS	HAIN 105:2
107:12 135:7	68:25	104:3 105:13	127:1	HAIR 23:9 44:1,
158:1,3,10,11	GEOGRAPHIC	114:9 124:21	<b>GROUP</b> 29:20	5 166:18 168:26
GENESIS 197:1	181:10	137:3 138:13	41:27 42:18,20	185:3 186:27
		143:1 154:22	95:4,5 143:17,	193:7,17,18,19
GENETIC 7:3	GEOGRAPHY	169:23 170:17	18 144:28	194:1 196:7,10
16:7,10,26	178:1,3	172:12 176:15	146:17,25,26	197:20,22,24,
18:27 33:26	<b>GERBER</b> 28:24	179:13 190:3	147:22,26 154:1	25,27 198:2,4,6,
34:14 38:1,14		210:3 212:23	158:25 169:15	11,13,17,19,20,
65:19 66:15	GERMAN	215:5	177:15 193:25	22,23,26,27
67:25 71:23	137:25 140:20	GOVERNMENT	196:11 207:15	199:11,15
72:2 73:21	GERMANY	32:25 48:23		207:12
74:17 75:17,26	137:21 140:23,		<b>GROUPS</b> 15:16	
76:3,17 78:2	24	GRACIOUS	25:17 148:5	HALF 7:27 44:8
79:9 80:9,13,22		106:8	156:1	88:28 89:9,15,
81:4,20 82:5,9, 19 83:2,6,18	GESCHWIND 37:10,13 118:2,	<b>GRAINS</b> 31:18	<b>GROW</b> 80:5	16 99:8 102:13 103:9 194:9
95:16,22,26	3 144:13	110:10	GROWING	200:28
107:20 108:4	<b>GIRLS</b> 15:17	GRANDFATHER	198:2	
109:16,21	18:8	158:28	<b>GROWN</b> 20:17	HALLMAYER
121:3,10		GRANTED		17:6,8 71:18
123:19,21	<b>GIVE</b> 15:9 39:3	11:23	GROWTH 80:18	77:28 79:18
127:13 129:24,	41:27 42:16		82:11 195:27	126:3,5,15
27 130:18	49:9 52:24	<b>GRAPH</b> 159:10	<b>GUESS</b> 58:4	127:14,21,26,27 128:20,27
134:27 135:4,6	91:25 92:7	<b>GRASS</b> 80:3,4,	109:23 128:23	129:23 130:21,
158:18 176:21	126:24,25	5,8,10,17	137:23 150:22	25 131:6,9
212:14	136:17 186:18		182:16 198:21	133:24,26
GENETICALLY	196:4	<b>GREAT</b> 8:12,16	203:8	134:5,10 135:14
17:14,22,24	GIVING 96:11	16:19 30:20		
18:11 45:3 80:4	188:7	31:1 39:11	GUIDE 58:11	HAMBURG
83:14 158:16	GLOBAL 15:2	71:16 86:17	173:20	140:4,10,13
	102:26	124:15 135:20 137:17 157:14	GUIDELINES	HAND 52:22
GENETICIST		161:21 171:6	174:3,20	80:14 136:13
107:19	GLUTAMATE 85:23	190:24 192:25	GUIDES 65:25	HAND/MOUTH
<b>GENETICS</b> 10:19 16:13,15,	<b>GOAL</b> 8:1	215:7	GUIDING 7:12	167:7
17 33:16 35:22		GREATER 24:6,	GYNECOLOGIS	HANDED
78:4,9,17 83:18	GOD 52:26	7 28:2 193:24	TS 25:22 34:5	213:20,27
94:1 118:11	136:19	195:25	148:18	HANDLE 82:17,
130:22 134:19,	GOLD 72:16,18	GREATLY		21
22,24 158:13	GOOD 14:23	179:12	н	
184:1,11	21:9 28:22,23		П	HANDY 6:14
GENTLEMAN	50:17 51:13,25,	GROUND 187:14	HABITS 45:10	HANGING 82:23
46:3 68:23	26 52:1 56:14		110:28 205:25	HAPPEN 15:6

			1	
51:2 60:16,20	67:4 114:24	143:23	33:26 94:11	211:22
62:25 65:3	138:18,22	HEAVY 9:15	HEY 24:22	HISTORICAL
118:26 121:23,	139:2,26 143:5,	10:21,22,23,24	HIERARCHY	14:28 167:27
25 132:24,28 133:1 181:2	9 145:3,14,16, 19,21 147:7,11,	19:28 21:8 27:2	41:22,23,25	169:22
200:4	14,16,23 148:9	29:23 34:11,24	152:1 157:10,	HISTORIES
	155:14 180:25	35:3,17 36:2	12,18	156:12
	181:2 188:2	41:11 78:22,28	HIGH 8:28 39:8	HISTORY
20:19 153:25 174:9 187:21	HEALTH-	84:23 85:2 92:22 93:17	91:5 94:5	107:28 108:1
	BASED 33:9	97:9,24 98:5,16,	162:26 164:5	109:20
HAPPENING		26 110:5,11,16,	168:10 178:4	HIT 66:2 180:14
18:19 47:10		19 112:11,28	180:1 215:12	
64:5,8,20 88:7 148:1 189:22,28	53:13	114:18 115:10,	HIGHER 17:3	HIV 139:8
203:14	HEALTHY	13 116:13,15,22	22:20 23:13	HOGUE 6:3
	148:10 159:1	117:5,7,27	36:1 44:4,28	51:13 105:4,14
HAPPILY 50:13	HEAPING 13:16	119:5,10,19,27	45:7 76:10 87:7	128:25 136:9
HAPPY 197:14	HEAR 8:21	120:5 121:4,7, 16,17,21 122:2,	103:9 107:25	HOMAGE
HARD 10:3	10:28 19:27	18 128:17	108:27 155:9	137:24
17:17 24:18	29:12 37:7,9	132:13,16,24	159:20 163:1 166:25 168:25	HOMOGENOUS
27:24 76:23	52:10,14,16,19	150:25 151:4	169:18 188:18	79:21
174:15 191:20	71:14 105:25	158:25,26	195:21 196:9	HON 6:3 105:4
HARDER 16:20	109:24 137:10	163:24 164:24		
139:18 182:26	139:7	166:12,26	HIGHLIGHT 129:25	HONEST 147:27
197:22	HEARD 37:27	196:12,28		HONESTLY
HARM 6:20,23	38:17 97:16	HEIGHT 80:8	HIGHLIGHTED	141:16
26:23 155:6	129:17	HELD 179:9	150:10	HONOR 7:22
HARVARD	HEARING 8:10,	HELPED 173:20	HIGHLY 33:26	8:12,13,17 9:22
25:10 55:10,13	18 28:25 29:14		83:7 163:26	10:13,26 11:6,
98:14 146:20	37:26,28 38:5,	HELPFUL 22:3	164:9 168:17	17 12:20 13:15,
<b>HAT</b> 151:11	21 39:23 40:2 45:24 69:21,27	67:18,19 100:7	170:10 182:9 194:25 204:20	19 16:8,19 17:9,
	90:26 113:27	110:2 125:28 164:11 170:3	211:4	21 18:10,22 10:11 24 21:14
HAU 210:9	126:20 214:14			19:11,24 21:14 23:1,5,21 24:3,
HAUS 210:8		HERETOFORE	HIGHWAY 79:3	8,21 27:8 28:8,
HE'LL 52:19	HEARINGS 113:28	105:5	HILL 27:12,20	18,22,27 29:7,
HEAD 53:16		HERITABILITY	28:13 39:25	13 30:4,9 32:28
66:2 161:17	HEARSAY 58:8,	75:17,23 76:6,	40:6,9,26 41:2	36:4 37:6,9 39:3
	15,19,22 69:6, 16 70:27 80:28	12,14 79:12,15,	48:1,27 49:14, 23 173:3,14,19,	40:5 45:22 46:7,
HEALTH 25:16		17,20,26,27 80:21,22 127:14	27 174:7,22	15,25,26 47:7,
33:7,20,24 34:7, 22 37:21,22	HEART 13:5	130:24 134:15	175:6 179:6	25 49:15,25
50:18 56:25	166:2,3		181:6,19	50:5 51:4,8,18 53:6 54:4,10,22
57:11,17,19	HEAVILY	HERITABLE	205:11,15	58:1,10,26 59:9
. ,				,

60:28 61:7 67:27 68:14 69:1,19 70:5,13, 17,18 71:3 80:28 92:3 96:18 104:2,7, 10,13,22 110:8 114:2 129:9 131:22,27 135:23,28 136:4 137:6,9 170:20 171:3 212:22 213:10,18 214:7,8 215:14, 17 HOOKUP 47:1 HOPE 28:17 174:19 HORRIBLE 141:19 HORSE 205:20 HOSPITAL 56:5, 6,7 HOST 21:27 25:8,13 185:10 HOUR 7:28 HOUSEKEEPIN G 213:8 HOUSES 168:14 HUMAN 41:22 119:19,21,22 147:10 164:10 201:11 HUMANS 119:22 152:5 176:11	HUNDREDS 28:10 41:16,17 214:17 HURT 9:20 50:18 HYPERACTIVIT Y 38:26 HYPOTHESES 119:11 HYPOTHESIS 27:28 180:12 190:12 191:27 192:1,3,12,14 HYPOTHETICAL 43:8,9 HYPOTHETICAL LY 90:4 I I.E. 206:16 IAN 100:11 112:17 115:1,7 128:1 129:21 IDEA 18:22 98:16 169:23 IDEALLY 61:2 157:5 IDENTICAL 17:14,22,25 18:4,11 74:14, 16,24 80:4 IDENTIFIED 15:14 26:18 33:19 62:5,17, 19 69:13,17 115:27 122:24	IDENTIFY 35:3 62:7 83:26 85:10 103:26 IDIOSYNCRATI C 45:10 IGNORE 161:13 ILLNESS 133:16 ILLUSTRATES 14:19 IMAGINE 80:2 106:11 156:4 181:20 210:20 IMBALANCE 86:28 IMBROSCIO 54:13 58:1,3,16, 25 60:28 67:27 68:20,24,27 69:1 70:18 92:14 113:21 132:6,21 213:10,14,16, 18,24 214:7 215:8,17 IMBROSCIO'S 68:15 IMMEDIATELY 7:28 19:3 108:18 IMPACT 80:18 89:25 90:7 129:23 133:8 IMPAIR 86:8,10 IMPAIRMENT 26:3 100:28 102:22 149:21 IMPAIRS 82:10	IMPLICATE         117:6         IMPLICATED         65:19 95:17,23         116:13 117:1,7         120:12,16         122:24 123:7         124:1 189:8         190:19,22         IMPLICATION         74:21         IMPLICATIONS         37:21         IMPORTANCE         8:25 110:19         IMPORTANT         8:22 9:10 10:14         11:17 12:15         13:19 15:23         16:8 17:9 18:28         27:18 29:17         30:11 31:5,11,         20,21,26 40:26         42:15 48:3 50:2,         21 55:17 60:13         69:20 73:25         78:2 83:19         85:15 125:20         134:17 141:2         142:3 147:11         151:10 152:15,         17 153:23,26         165:6,13 172:13         175:27 178:2,7,         23 187:24         189:10,16         192:22 199:4         IMPORTANTLY         10:24 13:27         27:11 42:9	INADMISSIBLE         30:22         INCIDENCE         24:7         INCLUDE 128:4         INCLUDED         41:24 79:6,23         120:20         INCLUDES         15:22 34:11         145:25 187:19         INCLUDING         16:6 25:21         93:19 100:2         108:1 110:26         121:4 132:20         188:27         INCONCLUSIVE         48:18         INCONSISTENC         Y 199:19 200:10         INCONSISTENT         34:18 48:18         182:13         INCREASE         44:22 162:11,22         168:5         INCREASED         23:4 33:28         113:5 160:5         161:1 167:4         INCREASES         166:4,5 208:24         INCREASING         179:2         INCREDIBLY         20:4 162:12         20:4 162:12
	33:19 62:5,17,	26:3 100:28	IMPORTANTLY	179:2
		IMPAIRS 82:10	27:11 42:9	
83:13 145:1	IDENTIFIES	IMPEACHMENT	IMPRESSIVE	188:9
156:5 160:15	175:14	113:23	176:7 200:20	

<ul> <li>INDEPENDENT 6:22 57:13</li> <li>INDEX 128:4</li> <li>INDICATING 153:11</li> <li>INDICATION 110:13</li> <li>INDISPUTABLE 27:27</li> <li>INDIVIDUAL 79:11,13 134:15 135:2,4,13 177:10</li> <li>INDIVIDUALLY 29:8 162:16</li> <li>INDIVIDUALLY 29:8 162:16</li> <li>INDIVIDUALS 66:27 79:24 91:5 147:27 154:19 164:14, 19 177:18 180:26 212:15</li> <li>INDUCE 87:17 132:22 204:26</li> <li>INDUCED 139:15</li> <li>INDUSTRY 206:7</li> <li>INEDIBLE 167:14</li> <li>INFANCY 26:20 188:18 206:17</li> <li>INFARCTION 165:26,27</li> <li>INFECTION 205:27</li> <li>INFECTIONS 121:13 128:6</li> </ul>	133:18,19 INFLAMMASON E 117:26 INFLAMMATION 85:16 87:8 117:23 124:27 125:2 INFLAMMATOR Y 117:26 120:21 INFLUENCE 78:2 121:4 131:13 134:22 135:10 INFLUENCES 10:19 16:15 71:24 81:22 84:9 128:4 130:2,19 INFORM 205:1 INFORMAL 214:5 INFORMAL 214:5 INFORMAL 214:5 INFORMATION 65:5,6,12,23 106:2 108:6 128:14 139:1 161:9 INFORMED 192:23 INGESTING 111:10 INGESTION 79:2 INGREDIENTS 31:17 INHERITABILIT Y 66:16 INHIBITION 91:2	INHIBITS 85:28 INJURE 87:11 INJURY 7:1,8 21:1 163:27 INPUT 60:12 66:10 INPUTS 65:24 82:12 83:9 INQUIRE 30:14 INQUIRY 179:9, 11 INSERT 133:23 INSIDE 31:8 INSTANCE 107:11 172:23 INSTANCES 18:12 INSTITUTE 57:16 115:3 143:8 145:3,16, 19,20 147:6 187:27 INSTITUTES 33:7,20 56:25 57:11,12,14 114:24 INSTITUTES 33:7,20 56:25 57:11,12,14 114:24 INSTITUTION 32:26 34:3 57:4, 7 67:8 148:17 INSTITUTIONS 33:5 34:28 66:27 67:11,12 INSTRUMENTS 72:12,15,20 73:19 103:22,26 INSULTS 121:9	107:18,28 108:5,11,22 109:3 128:13, 15,19 INTEGRATE 65:6 INTELLECTUAL 26:3 31:7 37:19 39:6 89:2,7,10, 16,18,22,24,26 101:1 102:26 149:21 157:16 188:27 INTELLECTUAL LY 90:6 INTENSE 133:10 INTENSE 133:10 INTERACT 7:9 81:14,19 INTERACT 7:9 81:14,19 INTERACTION 100:25 158:20 INTERACTION 100:25 158:20 INTERACTIONS 63:27 INTERACTIONS 15:1816:28 141:11 170:5 178:16 216:14 INTERESTING 15:18 16:28 18:3,25 19:4 20:7 142:28 147:26 161:18 188:9 201:22	INTERESTINGL Y 87:6 142:8 194:4 INTERFERE 82:25 125:21,25 INTERFERES 26:23 INTERJECT 78:14 INTERNAL 194:27 INTERNAL 194:27 INTERNATIONA L 55:24 INTERNET 50:8 INTERPOSE 113:20 INTERPRET 24:19 65:7 159:6 INTERVET 45:27 47:9 54:2 58:3 67:28 INTERVAL 159:28 160:7, 13,21,24 161:9 162:1,6,9 INTERVALS 163:7 INTERVIEW 72:14 INTERVIEWED 118:5 INTOXICATION 195:22 INTRACELLULA R 85:28 INTRAUTERINE
INFECTIONS	<b>Y</b> 66:16	,	20:7 142:28	

LAUNCH         135:25         8,9 187:19         163:15 212:20         63:23 90.7         14,21 69:18           LAW         10:13 11:8         188:17 190:6,17         193:6,23 194:1,         123:3,41 125:16         70.4,6,7,8 71:1,           14:10 50:1,25         17,20 195:8,21         123:3,41 125:16         124:190:13         114:21 69:18           51:1         1967 198:1,16,         LEGIT 24:25         174:13 183:12,25         112:28 113:17           LAWSUITS         22 199:23         162:03:204:8,         LEND 160:18         191:14 204:13         131:16:3146:14           50:11         201:27 202:13,         LENDS 15:24         210:14,16         149:18 175:21         114:28:8,9           126:25         23:24 207:18,         LENGTH 19:27         LIFE-LONG 9:5         LISTED 113:2         126:14 128:8,9           126:25         20:23 208:9,24,         LENGTH 9:27         LIKEWISE         LISTED 113:2         126:14 128:8,9           128:25         20:10.24         LEADED         LEVEL 26:26         LIKEWISE         LISTEN 14:4           199:23:411,13,         136:10.24         20:16:12,4,         108:26:15:15         108:26:15:15           21:19         133:14         21:52:48:16:22         LIMITATION         LISTEN 14:4           199:23:41:10					1
	LAW 10:13 11:8 14:10 50:1,25 51:1 LAWSUITS 50:11 LAWYERS 46:23 50:10 126:25 LAY 27:23 109:23 LAYER 191:21 LAYS 100:1 LEAD 7:20 8:28 9:1,17 19:9 20:10,13,21,28 21:9,17 22:14, 19 23:4,11,13, 15,17,24 24:6 26:7 27:5 29:3,4 32:5 38:6,18,22, 28 39:4,10 42:19,20,25 43:12 44:4,10, 26,28 51:1,9 64:8 80:16 81:15,21 85:19, 21,27 86:4,6,12 87:1 88:9 89:7, 14,27 90:2,5,17 111:27 123:3,4 132:19 138:11, 12 150:7 153:10 167:6,8,9,21,23, 25 168:6,7,10, 13,20,26 169:10,11,12, 17:8 170:9,19 171:9,17 173:12 176:11 178:6 181:6 183:24,25	188:17 190:6,17 193:6,23 194:1, 17,20 195:8,21 196:7 198:1,16, 22 199:23 201:27 202:13, 16 203:2 204:8, 23,24,25 205:2, 23,24 207:18, 20,23 208:9,24, 25 209:13,15,20 210:10,24 211:19 212:12 <b>LEADED</b> 186:10,12 <b>LEADING</b> 16:18 118:10 133:3 <b>LEADS</b> 21:9 63:12 64:21 <b>LEAP</b> 13:1 23:18 31:1 39:11 <b>LEAPS</b> 12:19 13:8 39:28 50:28 201:6,9 <b>LEARN</b> 182:28 <b>LEARN</b> 182:28 <b>LEARNED</b> 16:3 <b>LEARNED</b> 16:3 <b>LEARNING</b> 26:2 149:20 <b>LEAVE</b> 14:8 27:19 35:19 49:26 70:19 164:24 <b>LED</b> 20:21 142:15 167:27 178:20 <b>LEFT</b> 21:18	LEGAL 14:16 LEGIT 24:25 LEND 160:18 LENDS 15:24 LENGTH 19:27 27:15 LENGTHY 69:24 122:11 LETTERS 144:17 LEVEL 26:26 31:7 42:8,18,20 45:4 78:12 82:2 90:26 135:1,2,4, 12 152:8 165:22 166:9,25 182:14 202:16,18,26 208:24 212:14 215:12 LEVELS 8:28 22:19 23:4 24:5 31:22,25 42:25 43:12 44:4,10, 22,28 84:24 87:7 167:26,27 168:10,25 169:17,19 178:9 179:2 182:11 185:20 186:5 193:20,21,23 201:27 202:13 204:9,24,25 208:25 LIABILITY 75:16 77:9,14,15,17 78:3,5 LIABLE 206:1 LIFE 10:1 23:17	123:3,4 125:16 154:19 164:4,20 174:13 183:1,25 188:12 190:13 191:14 204:13 210:14,16 LIFE-LONG 9:5 LIKELIHOOD 77:19 181:12 LIKES 141:9 LIKEWISE 92:17 LIMINE 11:23 LIMIT 61:26 LIMITATION 179:24,25 LIMITATIONS 196:25 LIMITED 36:16 55:22 68:8 LIMITS 138:2 160:1 161:9 LINEAR 14:28 LINES 97:20 127:19 153:5 159:25 181:23 LINK 36:23 46:4,15,17 47:7, 21 143:2 LINK 36:23 46:4,15,17 47:7, 21 143:2 LINKS 65:10,15 LIST 25:28 26:6 35:24,26 58:14	70:4,6,7,8 71:1, 4,5,10 106:26 112:28 113:17 114:18 115:10, 13 116:3 146:14 149:18 175:21 LISTED 113:2 126:14 128:8,9 145:28 LISTEN 14:4 46:15 47:4,5,9 LISTENING 7:13 LISTS 27:21 108:26 115:15 126:10 127:27 LITERALLY 21:10 69:22 152:3 LITERATURE 10:20 12:7,9 13:7 14:7 21:27 23:6,24 24:19 34:10,23 35:16 36:21 37:16 40:27 48:17,20 109:14 164:20 165:2 171:19, 20,26,28 172:3 173:2,10,11 174:10,18 179:20 183:19 189:20 196:5,23 197:16 LITIGATION 19:17 25:5 40:8 60:15 91:16 92:22 150:19 183:18 LIVE 158:14
185:20 186:5,7, 95.0 134.25 59:16,18 60:6 59:6,7 68:2,11,					

	1	1	1	1
NEUROTOXICA NT 190:18 NEUROTOXIN 39:10 151:10 183:25 NEUROTOXINS 9:2,5 38:20 142:9 205:5 NEUROTRANS MISSION 189:5 NEWBORNS 34:8 NICE 51:13,15 92:16 136:9,11 NICELY 157:14 164:20 NIEH 145:19 NIEHS 145:20 146:20 184:8 NIH 22:4 57:3,6, 8,10,26 58:6 80:27 81:12	NONSIGNIFICA NT 200:14 NONVERBAL 101:14 NOON 92:5 NORMAL 39:8 65:22 86:5 103:9 121:13 197:5 204:16 NORTH 210:25 NORTHERN 79:21 NORTHERN 79:21 NORWEIGIAN 206:21 NOTE 70:15 NOTED 105:5 215:21 NOTES 212:22 214:15 NOTICE 68:5 NOTICE 68:5	160:8 161:6,7, 16,20,21,25,26 163:2,22 164:14 200:25 NUMBERS 103:7 NUMEROUS 86:13 121:11 195:7 196:11 NUTRIENTS 80:7 111:25 NUTRITIONAL 45:9 110:23 111:27 112:2 188:24 OBJECT 126:28 129:4 OBJECTION 70:28 104:21 113:21 133:3	OBSERVER 47:14 OBSERVING 15:4 OBSTETRICIAN S 25:21 34:5 148:18 OBSTRUCTIONI ST 68:16 OBTAINED 56:24 OBVIOUS 83:20 178:24 OCCUPATION 97:6 205:26 OCCUPATIONA L 138:22 139:2, 3,10,15 145:10 205:26 OCCUR 43:18 121:8 124:28 125:11 154:20	116:10 OFFERING 58:28 92:21 113:26 117:10 OFFERS 30:2 OFFICIAL 145:15 216:4,21 OFFICIALLY 114:3 OLDER 108:27 182:25 185:26 OLDS 203:23 205:6 ONE'S 161:28 ONGOING 85:6 115:16 ONSET 62:21 123:2 152:6,22 153:4 OOPS 133:25 OPEN 189:21
114:25 115:10, 12,24,28 NM 123:6 NMDA 123:6,9, 13 125:19,21 NNDA 86:13 NNDAR 86:9 NOAH 9:25 NOISE 198:28 NONEDIBLE 111:10 NONGENETIC 109:19,28 128:3 131:12 133:28	NOTWITHSTAN DING 196:25 NOVEMBER 126:12 NUANCES 17:15 NULL 21:21 23:27 24:10 160:8,13,21 199:5 NUMBER 17:1,6 23:28 24:17 44:23 66:14 72:6,24 85:14 103:8 105:1 133:17 144:22	61:1,6 68:15 <b>OBJECTS</b> 111:10 167:14 <b>OBSERVATION</b> 47:22 72:13 179:23 <b>OBSERVATION</b> <b>AL</b> 42:8 119:22 155:17 172:20 176:14 <b>OBSERVE</b> 15:7 176:12 <b>OBSERVED</b> 27:25 76:10 175:23,24 209:24	41:11 70:14 152:24 206:14 OCCURRENCE 64:18 194:21 OCCURRING 19:8 87:16 125:16 OCCURS 15:15 19:2 43:15,16, 17,18 60:8,17, 20 63:18 108:17 OCTOBER 10:6 OFFER 29:21 92:26 OFFERED	OPENING 7:23 10:11 34:4 40:25 87:19 91:12 107:3 152:1 165:14 213:22 OPENINGS 213:21 OPINE 124:9 OPINED 88:20, 23 OPINION 12:25, 28 13:1 29:21, 24,26 30:3,4,21 31:3,4 53:26 58:12 66:5 71:8 84:12,17 92:21,

26 116:11,19,21 117:10 121:1 192:24 OPINIONS 7:16 19:16 26:27 30:22 51:2 56:16 58:5,28 59:3,9 61:3 69:28 70:12 93:7 116:9 137:12 OPPORTUNITIE S 141:21 143:2 OPPORTUNITY 82:25 OPPOSED 79:13 167:26 OPPOSITE 36:9 49:21 OPPOSITION 6:10 39:20 OPTIMIZING 84:5	ORIENT 53:19 57:20 ORIENTED 143:24 ORIGIN 55:28 ORIGINAL 175:5,6 179:10, 12 202:13 ORIGINATED 49:28 OUTCOME 41:7,8 48:8 141:14 152:9 153:20 163:23 165:21 174:14 178:16 202:16 210:16 211:10 OUTCOMES 164:3 180:11 188:26 191:4 202:21 OUTDATED 33:12	123:20 OVERLAPPING 128:12 OVERLAPS 133:2 OVERRULED 61:5 129:7 133:4 OVERSIGHT 127:17 OVERT 64:9 OVERWHELMIN G 23:28 24:11 OVERWRITTEN 183:2 OXIDATIVE 82:26 85:17 86:27 87:8,14 120:22 124:27 125:2 OXYGEN 86:28	20,27 134:4 149:11 157:13 PAPERS 6:10 39:17 95:9,12, 19,21,24,28 96:6,24 97:1,4 171:21 172:8,10 PARADOXICAL 179:7 PARAGRAPH 76:28 128:1 130:11 149:12 179:4 PARALLEL 7:2 PARENTAL 128:4 134:1 PARENTS 50:19 87:8 98:3 108:27 109:18 169:6 202:1,10, 15 204:15 PARKINSON'S 122:24	PARTIES         216:11         PARTIES' 127:1         PARTIES' 127:1         PARTLY 76:7         PARTS 21:5         212:9         PARTY 216:12         PASS 12:18         32:3 50:15         91:27         PASSES 29:1         160:21         PAST 31:25         32:13,22 62:1,5         197:21,27 198:1         PATCH 80:15         PATERNAL         18:2         PATHOGENESI         S 67:26 71:24         134:20
ORDER 41:2 46:18 47:15,17 65:2 69:8 104:16 145:7 171:18 199:24 ORGANISMS 158:12 ORGANIZATION 32:26 33:23 34:6,21 48:24 53:12,17 ORGANIZATION S 25:19 33:5,22 148:12 ORGANS 194:27	OUTLINE 10:10 137:8 OUTREACH 138:28 OUTSET 29:7 152:16 OVER- INCLUSION 130:3 OVERCOME 157:24 174:16 OVERESTIMATI ON 162:8 OVERLAP 86:17 116:22 120:15,28	P           P.M. 105:7           215:21           PAGES 106:21           143:26           PAID 140:24           PAID 140:24           PAINT 44:25           111:14 167:9           168:13           PAIRS 22:19           66:17 72:3 74:8,           24 135:16           PAPER 68:19           77:1 96:13           112:23 128:19,	PART 27:11 29:14 35:11 49:20 55:1 59:8 61:24 79:15 80:14,17,24 82:4 84:4 90:22, 23,25 95:1,2 101:14 133:27 146:26 147:5 150:12 187:18, 24 PARTIALLY 128:11 178:3 PARTICIPANTS 191:13 PARTICIPATE 69:27 147:27	PATHOGENETI C 116:23 PATHOLOGICA L 133:1,5,9 PATHOLOGY 60:27 133:10 PATHOPHYSIO LOGICAL 196:28 PATHWAY 117:6 121:3,6 123:28 PATHWAYS 86:17,20,22 116:12,15 119:15 120:12 122:23 124:3,

PLACING 131:4	177:28 186:2	POPULATION	POST-NATALLY	34:14
PLAINER 130:7	196:12	44:20 77:2	60:11 125:1	PREEMINENT
PLAINTIFF 6:26	PLAYED 141:28	79:14,15,24 134:15,20	POSTNATALLY	33:8,15 35:8
131:24	PLAYING 87:10	134.15,20	22:15 87:16	PREEXISTING
PLAINTIFF'S	<b>PLAYS</b> 78:4	148:1 175:28	POTENTIAL	7:1
6:25 29:18 32:4,	94:1 134:19	177:5 178:10,21	26:25 33:27	PREFACE 73:20
19 34:24,28	PLOT 80:2,5	180:3,4 182:8,	35:4 64:14	PREFER
36:7,11,22 37:2,		10,12 193:17	96:20 110:11 113:4 120:11	214:11,22
16 39:19 41:23	POINT 9:28	202:18 204:10, 14	123:24 124:11	·
42:7,14 43:2,10, 17 44:18 47:21	11:15 13:17 17:1 18:10		133:14 150:26	PREFERENCE 59:6 110:20
48:15,20,27	26:15 27:2 29:7	POPULATION- BASED 71:26	178:8 208:27	
50:26 54:6	31:23 36:4	72:7	POTENTIALLY	PREFRONTAL 90:21,24,28
213:28	38:17 68:16	POPULATIONS	125:13 189:16	
PLAINTIFFS	70:25 76:27	24:13 48:9	POWERED	PREGNANCY 35:27 108:10,23
12:2 28:28	103:13 104:4 109:23 112:1	79:21 117:24	131:14	128:6 164:5,21
29:12 31:13	118:6 122:1	181:9,17	POWERFUL	188:17
45:15	126:20 154:19	PORTION 64:19	22:3	PREGNANCY-
PLAINTIFFS'	160:20,27	POSITION 33:2	POWERPOINT	RELATED 164:2
50:10	161:28 191:21	179:7	215:6	PREGNANT
PLAN 60:28	203:24	POSITIONS	PRACTITIONER	20:12 164:12
65:8 214:8,20	POINTED 79:19	61:2 138:26,28	178:19	PREMATURE
<b>PLANTS</b> 80:10,	120:15	POSITIVE	<b>PRE-</b> 124:28	134:1
18	POINTING	21:19,20,25		PRENATAL
PLASTIC 38:11	147:12	24:12 48:11	<b>PRE-BIRTH</b> 20:11	19:6 60:1 84:22
PLASTICITY	<b>POINTS</b> 20:14	105:25 157:23		124:11 190:13
19:7 55:17	88:27 89:28	181:8 184:18	PRE-NATAL 124:19 192:3	PRENATAL/
PLAUSIBILITY	90:1 92:18 153:13	200:5,7,21		EARLY 59:22
13:10 15:19,25		209:27	PRECEDE 41:8	PRENATALLY
23:15 29:24	POLLUTANT 82:2	POSITIVES	PRECISE	22:14,28 60:17
30:3 53:26		200:16	181:16	87:10
84:12,15,16 93:7,10,15,22	POLLUTANTS 81:25,28	POSSIBILITY	PRECISELY	PREPARED
121:1 165:9		44:11 131:11	99:17 179:11	128:24 151:20
196:24	POLLUTION	POSSIBLY	PREDICTED	PRESCRIPTION
<b>PLAY</b> 16:17	23:11 38:11 142:6,11,13,17	142:13 162:7 190:8 211:17	202:16	35:27
33:17 73:28	149:28 178:24,		PREDICTIVE	PRESENCE
81:5 82:7 83:3,	25 185:4	<b>POST-NATAL</b> 23:4 59:21,22	203:18	132:25
19 84:21 85:7	<b>POP</b> 53:20	60:5 124:11	PREDISPOSITIO	PRESENT 40:15
115:25 134:22	202:23	125:16	N 7:3 16:26	

REASON 9:22         40:4 49:1 59:2         167:6 176:9         184:3 198:4,10         REASONABLE         12:28 13:9         REASONED         11:3         REASONING         30:17 119:17         REASONS         11:24 73:7         82:20         RECALL 106:26         114:15 193:2,14         RECEIVE 55:8         78:7         RECEIVED         55:10 71:5         129:6 139:26         RECENT 179:19         RECENTLY         24:27 127:9	RECOGNIZED         62:5,12 72:16         83:18 85:11         144:10         RECONCILE         89:10         RECONSTRUCT         ING 156:12         RECORD 46:22         47:10 53:1         113:27 114:3         115:21 126:4         136:22 146:7         156:13 202:24         206:11 214:19         216:8         RECORDED         206:17         RECORDING         47:19         RECORDS         154:21 155:2         156:13         RECORDS         154:21 155:2         156:13         RECYCLING         169:11	99:24 126:19 155:4,7 <b>REFERENCED</b> 134:12 <b>REFERRAL</b> 129:17 <b>REFERRED</b> 41:16 125:3 171:21 <b>REFERRING</b> 17:21 22:24 75:21 98:1 113:14 124:14 189:19 <b>REFERS</b> 63:17 74:11 99:27 177:6 185:1 <b>REFINEMENT</b> 125:17 <b>REFINEMENT</b> 125:17 <b>REFLECT</b> 8:24 <b>REFLECTION</b> 19:13 <b>REFUTE</b> 179:9	REGULAR         144:9 145:6         202:18         REGULATING         91:6         REGULATION         21:3         REGULATORY         9:16 48:23         REINSTATED         14:8         REJECT 91:13         131:1         REJECTED         91:18         RELATED 33:28         35:24 38:17         39:14 91:3,10         113:5 142:19         192:20 210:10         211:24         RELATES 11:18         37:27 40:26         100:16 141:26	60:26 64:3 78:27 124:24 180:8 190:9 192:16 205:21 <b>RELIABLE</b> 7:17 12:19 13:24 28:17 29:1 32:5 41:26 197:18,20 <b>RELIABLY</b> 117:24 <b>RELIABLY</b> 117:24 <b>RELIANCE</b> 68:2,11,13,21 70:6,7,8 <b>RELIED</b> 12:27 36:7 37:2 58:13 69:14 70:1,2 126:11 172:2 <b>RELIES</b> 85:26 <b>RELY</b> 12:18 13:8 28:17 30:15 34:24 36:11 42:14 43:3,11 45:15 48:15,20 58:15, 18 65:11
78:7 <b>RECEIVED</b> 55:10 71:5 129:6 139:26 <b>RECENT</b> 179:19 <b>RECENTLY</b>	206:17 <b>RECORDING</b> 47:19 <b>RECORDS</b> 154:21 155:2 156:13	REFINEMENT 125:17 REFLECT 8:24 REFLECTION 19:13	35:24 38:17 39:14 91:3,10 113:5 142:19 192:20 210:10 211:24 <b>RELATES</b> 11:18 37:27 40:26	RELIES 85:26 RELY 12:18 13:8 28:17 30:15 34:24 36:11 42:14 43:3,11 45:15 48:15,20 58:15, 18 65:11
81:27 85:23,27 86:1,9 123:9,13 125:22,25 <b>RECEPTORS</b> 85:23 86:2,5,13 125:19 <b>RECESS</b> 70:21 104:25 136:5 170:28 <b>RECIPROCITY</b> 101:14 <b>RECOGNIZE</b> 33:15 146:3,8 175:4	RED 23:9 153:5 184:24 185:3 REDEFINED 49:2 REDEFINING 40:19 REDIRECT 132:1 REDUCE 203:2 REFER 17:11 REFERENCE 41:5,21 48:4 58:14 59:7,10 71:10 96:23	REGARDED         65:17         REGIONS       21:2         181:10,17         REGISTER         188:1         REGISTRIES         143:7         156:18         REGISTRY         154:15         187:25         REGRESSION         63:15,17         64:2,         16,18	RELATING         110:26         RELATIONSHIP         34:20 98:6         151:4 205:19         RELATIONSHIP         S 101:16         RELATIVE 38:2         71:23 191:4         216:11         RELEVANCE         73:6 79:26         RELEVANT         31:27 54:16	RELYING 12:7         13:6 36:22         37:17 42:7         44:16 126:15         REMARKABLE         22:16 34:27         35:18 36:5         REMEMBER         96:2,5,10,12         97:18 126:18         132:6,8 134:2         REMEMBERED         206:5         REMINDED         126:25

SLOWLY         184:11         SMALL 161:10         195:21         SMALLER         156:1 161:5,28         162:4         SMART 155:15         SMELTER         210:25         SMELTER         210:25         SMELTER         210:25         SMELTERS         186:7         SMELTING         178:6         SMOKED         158:28         SMOKERS         158:28         SMOKES         158:28         SMOKES         158:29,26,28         SMOKES         158:23         SMOKING         145:25 212:3,5, 9         SO-CALLED         149:1 164:20         SOCIAL 63:25, 27 64:1 101:19         103:16,17         SOCIOECONOM         IC 15:15 79:25         SOCIOLOGY         140:11,16         SOIL 80:8 167:9	138:23 SOLEMNLY 52:23 136:16 SOLUTION 47:2 SOLVE 47:11 SOONER 214:21 SORT 7:11 9:27 10:9 11:6 12:22 14:28 15:1,2,9 30:3,5,18 35:20 57:8,14 59:20, 21,25 62:20 63:10,13 64:23 65:22 71:22,25 73:11,13 79:23 82:2,16 86:21 87:12 90:23,26 91:10 100:4 102:7 110:21 119:20 121:2 122:27 123:20 124:25 125:8 129:14 133:7 135:2 146:25 151:21 171:7,22 175:12 SORTS 38:11 SOUL 13:5 SOUND 12:25 49:21 SOURDS 8:12 99:4,10 215:2 SOURCE 6:14 170:8	179:1 SPAN 154:19 SPEAK 27:7 28:21,24 47:8 91:19 115:21 SPEAKER 144:1,9 SPEAKING 42:28 65:8 105:14 SPECIAL 186:6 188:4 SPECIALIZED 143:18 SPECIALLY 175:21 SPECIALLY 175:21 SPECIALTY 118:18 140:13 178:15,18 SPECIFIC 14:1 20:22 26:4 33:18 38:5,6,15, 24,27 79:1 95:22 98:21 99:20 113:17 115:26 117:18 121:7,17,21 122:18,19,21 124:9,26 125:10 132:21 133:18 138:26 139:6,11 143:13 149:21 170:6 171:9 190:25 191:12 211:12	56:16 71:7 79:6 84:22 87:19 88:16 91:23 94:24 95:10,25 110:13 113:14 122:13 126:7 128:28 137:6 140:21 141:26 142:12 143:21 145:21 148:22, 26 150:7,28 151:1,2 166:15 181:3,6 182:1 192:27 <b>SPECTRUM</b> 14:18,26 15:1, 14 26:5 38:25 56:21 59:15 73:18 75:3 81:5 84:26 85:2 114:26 130:17 131:2,13 139:19 149:23 167:3 193:7 196:14 197:2 202:2,12 204:2,5,15 211:10,11 <b>SPECULATE</b> 208:27 209:1 <b>SPEECH</b> 97:6 144:12 <b>SPEED</b> 138:2 <b>SPELL</b> 52:28 136:21 <b>SPEND</b> 6:8 20:8 23:23 116:8 143:27 151:25 156:1	SPOKE 97:15         SPOKEN 33:5         SPONSOR 68:4         SPOTS 169:27         SPRAYING         11:20         SPRAYING         11:20         SPRINGBOARD         58:13         SPRINGBOARD         58:13         SPRINKLE         80:16 199:23         STAFF 8:20         92:8         STAGE 29:3         31:24,26 32:3         125:11,26         187:20 191:14         204:26         STAGES 82:8         205:24         STAKEHOLDER         S 149:4         STAMPS 210:14         STAND 8:1         52:19         STANDARD         12:23 13:11         72:16,18 173:28         STANDARDS         31:13 77:3         STANDARDS         31:13 77:3
IC 15:15 79:25 SOCIOLOGY	99:4,10 215:2 SOUP 145:18	143:13 149:21 170:6 171:9	<b>SPEND</b> 6:8 20:8 23:23 116:8	31:13 77:3 <b>STANDPOINT</b>
				211:9 STANFORD 66:28 STAR 187:10

$\begin{array}{c} 28\ 78:16,19\\ 79:18,22\ 81:24,\\ 25\ 113:7,9\\ 115:18,19,20\\ 122:1,4\ 126:3,5,\\ 17,24,26\ 127:9,\\ 11,27\ 129:22,26\\ 130:13,25,28\\ 131:5,7,9,14,17\\ 133:24,26\\ 134:5,10,12\\ 141:27\ 142:4,\\ 12,16,28\ 143:9\\ 150:4\ 152:4,5\\ 153:4,27\ 154:1,\\ 4,12\ 155:11,25,\\ 26,27,28\ 156:2,\\ 3,10,22,28\\ 157:6,17\ 158:7,\\ 19\ 159:4,10\\ 160:4,15,26\\ 161:4,6,7,16,17,\\ 19,20,21,25,26,\\ 27\ 162:5,10,21,\\ 22,27\ 163:1,5,\\ 14,18,19,20,22,\\ 23\ 164:2,13\\ 165:13,26\\ 168:24\ 169:3\\ 172:25,26\\ 174:12\ 176:16\\ 177:5,19\\ 178:14,17,20,21\\ 179:23,24\\ 180:16,28\\ 181:1,25\ 182:6\\ 183:14\ 185:10,\\ 14,23\ 186:19,\\ 22,24\ 187:3,7,9,\\ 12,13,25\ 188:5,\\ 6,20\ 191:12\\ 192:19,26,28\\ 193:1,5,12\\ 196:24,26\\ 197:6,11,15,17\\ \end{array}$	199:13,14,15,16 200:19,27 201:15,16,22 202:23 203:6, 10,14 206:18,20 207:7,8 208:15, 16 210:8,9 <b>STUDYING</b> 19:28 31:16 35:14,23 139:7, 12,20 140:17 <b>STUFF</b> 15:22 149:26 169:1 <b>SUB-INSTITUTE</b> 115:4 <b>SUB-INSTITUTE</b> 115:4 <b>SUBDIVISION</b> 115:2,24 <b>SUBDIVISIONS</b> 114:24 <b>SUBJECT</b> 32:10 171:21,28 172:13 174:11, 12 <b>SUBJECTED</b> 156:25 <b>SUBJECTS</b> 154:25 163:1 <b>SUBMISSION</b> 214:5 <b>SUBMISSIONS</b> 214:5 <b>SUBMISSIONS</b> 214:25 <b>SUBMIT</b> 214:19 <b>SUBMIT</b> 214:19 <b>SUBMIT</b> 214:19 <b>SUBMIT</b> 214:19	SUBSTANTIAL 6:16,19 7:10 64:19 76:13 78:8 89:20 120:15 SUBSTANTIALL Y 11:21 116:23 SUBSTRATE 124:7 SUBTLE 64:12 SUBTYPE 211:12 SUBUNITS 86:2,3 SUDDEN 164:8 178:16 184:4 SUFFER 16:24 SUFFER 16:24 SUFFERS 192:19 SUFFICIENT 153:1 SUFFICIENT 153:1 SUFFICIENTLY 7:16 SUGGEST 8:10 45:3 77:7 94:5 SUGGEST 8:10 153:16 SUGGESTING 75:9 162:22 163:16 SUGGESTIVE 161:18 SUGGESTS 87:9 162:10 163:20 192:7 196:26 SUITABLY	131:14 SUMMARY 20:27 67:19 101:3 116:18 159:11,12,24 SUNLIGHT 80:7 SUPERIOR 6:4 SUPPLEMENTS 112:3 SUPPORT 7:6 25:18,20 103:24 137:12 148:12, 23 201:5 208:28 SUPPORTED 7:7 21:27 SUPPORTING 31:3 SUPPORTS 25:22 30:16,21 83:25 192:2 SUPREME 30:19 SURELY 184:11 SURPRISED 183:22,23,26 189:26 SURVEY 36:21 SUSCEPTIBILITI ES 178:9 212:15 SUSCEPTIBILITI Y 73:22,27 76:2, 16 77:15 78:3 81:21 82:5,16 83:18 91:10 135:5 195:25 SUSCEPTIBLE 81:27 82:22 158:16	SWEAR 52:8,10 136:6 SWEDEN 187:26 188:1 SWEDISH 187:25 SWEEPING 197:7 SWOOP 46:21 SYMPTOM 202:11 SYMPTOM- BASED 90:15 SYMPTOMATIC 14:20 SYMPTOMATIC 14:20 SYMPTOMATOL OGY 85:8 SYMPTOMS 15:3 56:2 61:12, 13,15,17,21 62:4,7,13,15,16, 19,22,23 64:7,9, 12,16 66:9 73:13 75:9 78:12,27 83:8, 23 84:7 86:21 87:5 88:6 90:11, 12,14 99:19,21 100:26,27 102:3,4,9,21,25 103:2 116:17 133:2 197:1,2 202:10 SYNAPSIS 60:7, 9 65:15,22,27 82:11 SYNAPSIS 60:7, 9 65:15,22,27 82:11
				, ,

SYNAPTOGENE SIS 60:7 65:14, 16 86:10,14,24         26 33:10 37:24 40:24 43:1 48:1 53:25 68:25         TAUGHT 140:23 157:20,21,22         174:28 205:12, 16 206:13,25,27 207:5 210:11,13           125:11,15,23         84:11,13,14 85:9 99:2         TEACH 138:25 139:12 152:3         161:15         TEMPORE 216:4,21           SYNERGISTIC 38:3,4         110:23 116:26         157:4 161:15         TENPORE 216:4,21         216:4,21           SYNERGISTICA LLY 29:8         10 147:8 159:6 166:11 167:21         157:4 161:15         TEN 170:24         201:24 202:19           SYNERGY 6:24         186:17,18,22,24 16:26         189:2 201:14         205:11 21:25         TEASE 124:18         TENDR 25:2,4, 201:24 52;4, 201:24 52;24, 201:21 215:7         146:26 148:12 150:12 151:7           SYSTEM 82:21, 24 119:9 125:12         205:11 21:25         TECHNICALLY 109:15         TERESA 47:13         TERESA 47:13           156:24 188:2 36:12 37:8 82:7         36:12 37:8 82:7         187:12         TERMS 79:8         134:25 139:2           SYSTEMATICAL LY 164:18         110:3,19 112:14 183:18         110:3,19 112:14 162:18         185:3 186:27         TERMS 79:8           SYSTEMS         124:28 125:7         132:25 134:13 197:18 211:22         191:8 197:18 206:17         TERRIFIC 104:23           SYSTEMS         TALKING 24:24 156:14 195:3         25:15 31:24         TELEPHONE         TERRIFIC 104:23	137:26 138:1 209:14 TESTS 182:25 THALIDOMIDE 35:28 108:10 THEME 10:7 THEORETICAL 44:20 THEORIES 117:1 THEORY 15:25 THERAPIES 97:6 THERAPY 97:6 THESIS 55:15 THING 10:12 18:3 21:14 23:21 24:20,26 27:19 33:4 34:27 35:18 37:28 39:16 41:25 49:18 82:6 87:15 90:16 109:9 110:22 154:24
24 119:9 125:12 143:5 154:28 156:24 188:2 195:20 208:12       TALKED 30:12 31:22 35:19 36:12 37:8 82:7 195:20 208:12       TECHNICALLY 109:15       TERESA 47:13         SYSTEMATICAL LY 164:18 183:18       94:16 96:3 103:6 107:4 114:7 122:20       TECHNOLOGIE S 187:12       TERMINOLOGY 109:9         SYSTEMIC 126:2 130:20       110:3,19 112:14 114:7 122:20       TEETH 22:13 23:10 36:13       TERMS 79:8 134:25 139:2         SYSTEMIC 126:2 130:20       126:2 130:20       187:12,13,15,22       TERMS 79:8         142:18       197:18 211:22       188:8 190:24       147:28 152:21         SYSTEMS 156:14 195:3       TALKING 24:24       TELEPHONE       TERRIFIC 104:23	97:6 THERAPY 97:6 THESIS 55:15 THING 10:12 18:3 21:14 23:21 24:20,26 27:19 33:4 34:27 35:18 37:28 39:16 41:25 49:18 82:6 87:15 90:16 109:9

84:1 161:17 176:7 200:21 WORRIED 168:15 170:6 WORRIES 118:9 WORRISOME 204:11,24 WORRY 176:13 177:16 205:7 WORSE 141:22 WRAP 47:28 209:18 WRITE 151:8 173:10 181:7 206:13 WRITES 175:20 179:6 192:2 205:18 WRITEN 95:12,19 96:7 WRONG 7:15 16:10,11 155:25 191:22,23 199:28 205:10	59:23 60:6 61:10,11,16,28 62:25 63:19 84:2 88:4,5,11, 12 102:8,17 137:23 156:7 157:13 158:21 159:1 184:15 191:23 201:28 202:14,21,27,28 203:17 YELLOW 23:8 184:24 185:1 YESTERDAY 215:2 YOUNG 9:4 20:15 24:4 YOUNG'S 56:7 YOUNGER 19:8 205:7 ZINC 45:11 ZOOM 46:4,21, 28 47:14 52:15
WRONG 7:15 16:10,11 155:25 191:22,23	<b>ZINC</b> 45:11
	<b>ZOOM</b> 46:4,21,
Y YASUDA 196:18	
YEAR 8:26 9:11 63:23 184:10 200:28 203:23 205:2,6	
YEARS 19:8 20:13,15,17 24:4 26:9 32:13, 15,22 35:14 42:21 43:19,27, 28 44:3,8,27 50:6 56:12	