

Message

---

**From:** HEERING, DAVID C [AG/1000] [/o=MONSANTO/ou=NA-1000-01/cn=RECIPIENTS/cn=68681]  
**on behalf of** HEERING, DAVID C [AG/1000]  
**Sent:** 2/12/2016 10:48:28 PM  
**To:** STUMP, JEREMY [AG/1920] [/O=Monsanto/OU=NA-1000-01/cn=Recipients/cn=JJSTUM]; MILLER, PHILIP W [AG/1000] [/o=Monsanto/ou=NA-1000-01/cn=Recipients/cn=212392]; VAUGHN, TY T [AG/1000] [/o=Monsanto/ou=NA-1000-01/cn=Recipients/cn=555738]; STATER, STACEY L [AG/1000] [/o=Monsanto/ou=NA-1000-01/cn=Recipients/cn=604991]; JENKINS, DANIEL J [AG/1920] [/o=Monsanto/ou=NA-1000-01/cn=Recipients/cn=813004]; DUNCAN, MELISSA S [AG/1000] [/o=MONSANTO/ou=AP-5340-01/cn=RECIPIENTS/cn=590663]  
**CC:** KUSCHMIDER, SCOTT [AG/1920] [/O=Monsanto/OU=NA-1000-01/cn=Recipients/cn=SRKUSC]  
**Subject:** RE: Summary of this afternoon's meeting with EPA

Did they comment on the suggestion to wait on announcing the SAP/B until after JMPR and other country announcements? Also, in working across EPA for expertise, is this in reference to the SAP/B? Do they consider those who work with NGO's/Activists as having a conflict of interest? What about IARC participants?

Any chance they delay until after the election?

Thanks,

David

**From:** STUMP, JEREMY [AG/1920]  
**Sent:** Friday, February 12, 2016 4:37 PM  
**To:** MILLER, PHILIP W [AG/1000]; VAUGHN, TY T [AG/1000]; STATER, STACEY L [AG/1000]; HEERING, DAVID C [AG/1000]; JENKINS, DANIEL J [AG/1920]; DUNCAN, MELISSA S [AG/1000]  
**Cc:** KUSCHMIDER, SCOTT [AG/1920]  
**Subject:** Summary of this afternoon's meeting with EPA

All,

I wanted to share with everyone a summary of the meeting Dan and I had with Jim Jones and Jack Housenger earlier this afternoon.

## Dicamba

- Metabolite
  - 3 sp of Bat, Bear... pretty confident “no effect” w refinements
  - Should know by next week
- 4-sided volatility buffer
  - Shared comparative bar chart
  - Argued no buffer needed for 1691
- Said we needed 3 things to move forward
  - Meeting with EFED
    - What is needed to fill volatility gap to create defensible approach
    - Jack agreed to tell them to meet with us
  - That vaporgrip has a path forward without a 4 sided buffer to approval by September 2016

## Glyphosate

- They haven't decided if it will be an SAP or SAB
- They will not release the PRA until after the SAB/P
- They intend to have the SAB/P ~6 months
  - If their current thought process holds, then it is unlikely that the PRA will come out in 2016
- They haven't landed the scope of the SAB/P yet
  - Will at least focus on cancer due to IARC controversy
- They are working across EPA to gather expertise as well as NIH
  - They are talking to ATSDR and believe ATSDR will continue to wait on them
- They wouldn't give a clear answer on when they might announce SAB/P
- We argued that they should wait on making any announcements given upcoming JMPR and possibly other gov't determinations.

Thoughts on next steps/strategy

Hugh/McCarthy meeting: Feb. 16<sup>th</sup> and 24<sup>th</sup> will not work for Administrator McCarthy, however a meeting the first week of March is likely.

We will need to strategize around what core messages will be important to convey during this meeting.

Jeremy